

Density near zero

Elham Bayatmanesh¹ and Mohammad Akbari Tootkaboni^{2*}

ABSTRACT. Let S be a dense subsemigroup of $(0, +\infty)$. In this paper, we state definition of thick near zero, and also we will introduce a definition that is equivalent to the definition of piecewise syndetic near zero which presented by Hindman and Leader in [6]. We define density near zero for subsets of S by a collection of nonempty finite subsets of S and we investigate the conditions under these concepts.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(S, +)$ be a discrete semigroup. The collection of all ultrafilters on S is denoted by βS . For $A \subseteq S$, define $\overline{A} = \{p \in \beta S : A \in p\}$, then $\{\overline{A} : A \subseteq S\}$ is a basis for the open sets (also for the closed sets) of βS that is called the Stone-Čech compactification of S . There is a unique extension of the operation to βS making $(\beta S, +)$ a right topological semigroup (i.e., for each $p \in \beta S$, the right translation ρ_p is continuous where $\rho_p(q) = q + p$) and also for each $x \in S$, the left translation λ_x is continuous where $\lambda_x(q) = x + q$. The principal ultrafilters being identified with the points of S and S is a dense subset of βS . Given $p, q \in \beta S$ and $A \subseteq S$, $A \in p + q$ if and only if $\{x \in S : -x + A \in q\} \in p$, where $-x + A = \{y \in S : x + y \in A\}$.

Any compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup $(S, +)$ has a smallest two sided ideal, denoted by $K(S)$, which is the union of all minimal left ideals, and also the union of all minimal right ideals, as well. For more detail see [7].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 54D80, 22A15; Secondary: 22A20.

Key words and phrases. The Stone-Cech compactification, Density, Piecewise syndetic set near zero.

Received: 24 September 2016, Accepted: 6 December 2016.

* Corresponding author.

Definition 1.1. Let S be a dense subset of $(0, \infty)$. Then

$$0^*(S) = \{p \in \beta S_d : (\forall \epsilon > 0) (0, \epsilon) \cap S \in p\}.$$

The set $0^*(S)$ of all nonprincipal ultrafilters on $S = ((0, \infty), +)$ that converge to zero is a semigroup under the restriction of the usual operation $'+'$ on βS . Let X be a topological space. Recall that an ultrafilter p on X is said to converge to a point x of X if and only if p contains the filter of neighborhoods of x . In [6] Hindman and Imer Leader characterized the smallest ideal of $(0^*, +)$, its closure, and those sets central set in $(0^*, +)$, that is, those sets which are members of minimal idempotents in $(0^*, +)$. It is proved in [6] that $0^*(S)$ is a compact right topological semigroup of $(\beta S_d, +)$ which is disjoint from $K(\beta S_d)$. In [1] the authors applied the algebraic structure of $0^*(S)$ on their investigation of image partition regularity near 0 of finite and infinite matrices. In [2] used algebraic structure of $0^*(\mathbb{R})$ to investigate image partition regularity of matrices with real entries from \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1.2. Let $(S, +)$ be a semigroup, let $A \subseteq S$, and $p \in \beta S$. Then $A^*(p) = \{s \in A : -s + A \in p\}$.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $(S, +)$ be a semigroup, let $p + p = p \in \beta S$, and let $A \in p$. For each $s \in A^*(p)$, $-s + A^*(p) \in p$.*

Proof. See Lemma 4.14, in [7]. □

We have been considering semigroups which are dense in $((0, \infty), +)$ with natural topology. When passing to the Stone-Ćech compactification of such a semigroup S , we deal with S_d , which is the set S with the discrete topology. In this paper, the collection of all nonempty finite subsets of S is denoted by $P_f(S)$ and $P(S)$ is the set of all subsets of S .

2. ADDITIVE PROPERTIES NEAR ZERO

Recall that $A \subseteq S$ is thick if and only if for every $F \in P_f(S)$ there exists $x \in S$ such that $F + x \subseteq A$, A is syndetic if and only if there exists $H \in P_f(S)$ such that $S = \bigcup_{t \in H} -t + A$ and A is piecewise syndetic if and only if there exists $H \in P_f(S)$ such that $\bigcup_{t \in H} -t + A$ is thick.

Now we define thick near zero, and piecewise syndetic near zero.

Definition 2.1. Let S be a dense subsemigroup of $((0, \infty), +)$. A subset B of S is syndetic near zero if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $F \in P_f(0, \epsilon)$ and some $\delta > 0$ such that $S \cap (0, \delta) \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in F} (-t + B)$.

Definition 2.2. Let S be a dense subsemigroup of $((0, \infty), +)$. A subset A of S is piecewise syndetic near zero if and only if there exist sequences $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

- (1) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_n \in P_f \left(\left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap S \right)$ and $\delta_n \in \left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right)$,
(2) for each $G \in P_f(S)$ and each $\mu > 0$, there exists $x \in (0, \mu) \cap S$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(G \cap (0, \delta_n)) + x \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in F_n} (-t + A)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $A \subseteq S$, then $K(0^*) \cap \bar{A} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if A is piecewise syndetic near 0.*

Proof. See Theorem 13.35, in [7]. □

Definition 2.4. Let S be a dense subsemigroup of $((0, \infty), +)$ and let $A \subseteq S$.

- (a) A is thick near zero if and only if
 $(\exists \epsilon > 0)(\forall F \in (P_f(0, \epsilon) \cap S))(\forall \delta > 0)(\exists y \in (0, \delta) \cap S)(F + y \subseteq A)$.
(b) A has the PS property if and only if for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $F \in P_f((0, \delta) \cap S)$ such that $\bigcup_{t \in F} -t + A$ is thick near zero.

Remark 2.5. (a) It is obvious that A is thick near zero if and only if for some $\epsilon > 0$

$$\{-t + A : t \in (0, \epsilon) \cap S\}$$

has the finite intersection property in $(0, \epsilon) \cap S$, i.e. for each $t_1, \dots, t_n \in (0, \epsilon) \cap S$, there exists $x \in (0, \epsilon) \cap S$ such that $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n -t_i + A$.

- (b) Let A be not thick near zero, so for each $\epsilon > 0$, for some $G \in P_f((0, \epsilon) \cap S)$, there exists $\eta > 0$, such that for each $y \in ((0, \eta) \cap S)$, we have $G + y \not\subseteq A$.

Hence $y \notin \bigcap_{t \in G} (-t + A)$ for each $y \in (0, \eta) \cap S$. This implies that

$$(0, \eta) \cap S \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in G} (-t + (S \setminus A)).$$

Thus $S \setminus A$ is syndetic near zero and also has the PS property.

In this paper, the minimal ideal in $0^*(S)$ is denoted by K .

Theorem 2.6. *Let S be a dense subsemigroup of $(0, +\infty)$ and let $p \in 0^*(S)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $p \in K$,
(b) For all $A \in p$, $\{x \in S : -x + A \in p\}$ is syndetic near 0,
(c) For all $r \in 0^*(S)$, $p \in 0^*(S) + r + p$.

Proof. See [6] or see Theorem 3.4 in [9]. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let $A \subseteq S$. Then $K \cap \bar{A} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if A has the PS property.*

Proof. Necessity. Pick $p \in K \cap \overline{A}$ and let $B = \{x \in S : -x + A \in p\}$. By Theorem 2.6, B is syndetic near zero. So for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $F \in P_f((0, \varepsilon) \cap S)$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $(0, \delta) \cap S \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in F} -t + B$. So for each $x \in (0, \delta) \cap S$, there exists $t \in F$ such that $x \in -t + B$, and so $-(x+t) + A \in p$. Thus $-x + (\bigcup_{t \in F} -t + A) \in p$ and since $(0, \delta) \cap S \in p$ for each $\delta > 0$, hence $\{-x + (\bigcup_{t \in F} -t + A) : x \in (0, \delta) \cap S\}$ has the finite intersection property in $(0, \delta) \cap S$. By Remark 2.5 (a), A has the PS property.

Sufficiency. Let A has the PS property. So for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $F_n \in P_f((0, \frac{1}{n}) \cap S)$ such that for some $\epsilon_n > 0$ and each $G_n \in P_f((0, \epsilon_n) \cap S)$, for every δ_n there exists $y_n \in P_f((0, \delta_n) \cap S)$ such that $G_n + y_n \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in F_n} (-t + A)$. Pick $\delta_n = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, \epsilon_n \right\}$ for $G \in P_f(S)$ and $\mu > 0$, let

$$C(G, \mu) = \left\{ x \in (0, \mu) : \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (G \cap (0, \delta_n)) + x \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in F_n} (-t + A) \right\}.$$

It is obvious that $C(G, \mu) \neq \emptyset$ for each $\mu > 0$ and every $G \in P_f(S)$. Also,

$$C(G_1 \cup G_2, \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}) \subseteq C(G_1, \mu_1) \cap C(G_2, \mu_2),$$

for each $G_1, G_2 \in P_f(S)$ and for every $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$. Therefore

$$\{C(G, \mu) : G \in P_f(S) \text{ and } \mu > 0\}$$

has the finite intersection property, so pick $p \in \beta S_d$ with

$$\{C(G, \mu) : G \in P_f(S) \text{ and } \mu > 0\} \subseteq p.$$

Since $C(G, \mu) \subseteq (0, \mu) \cap S$, so $p \in 0^*(S)$.

Now we claim that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0^*(S) + p \subseteq cl_{\beta S_d} \bigcup_{t \in F_n} (-t + A)$. Pick $q \in 0^*(S)$. To show that $\bigcup_{t \in F_n} (-t + A) \in q + p$, we show that

$$(0, \delta_n) \cap S \subseteq \left\{ y > 0 : -y + \bigcup_{t \in F_n} (-t + A) \in p \right\}.$$

So let $y \in (0, \delta_n) \cap S$. Then $C(\{y\}, \delta_n) \in p$ and $C(\{y\}, \delta_n) \subseteq -y + \bigcup_{t \in F_n} (-t + A)$. Now pick $r \in (0^*(S) + p) \cap K$ (since $0^*(S) + p$ is a left ideal of $0^*(S)$). Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bigcup_{t \in F_n} (-t + A) \in r$ so pick $t_n \in F_n$ such that $-t_n + A \in r$. Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_n \in F_n \subseteq (0, \frac{1}{n}) \cap S$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$. Now pick $q \in 0^*(S) \cap cl_{\beta S_d} \{t_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $q + r \in K$ and $\{t_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \{t \in S : -t + A \in r\}$ so $A \in q + r$. \square

By Theorem 2.7, $A \subseteq S$ is piecewise syndetic near zero if and only if A has the PS property.

3. DENSITY DETERMINED BY NETS OF FINITE SETS

Let S be a dense subsemigroup of $((0, \infty), +)$. Since $((0, \infty), +)$ has an uncountable subsemigroup, we define densities by nets [8]. Given any net in $P_f(S)$, there are corresponding natural notions of density for subsets of $(0, +\infty)$.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$, and let $A \subseteq S$.

- (a) $\underline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \sup\{\alpha : (\exists m \in D)(\forall n \geq m)(|A \cap F_n| \geq \alpha \cdot |F_n|)\}$.
- (b) $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \sup\{\alpha : (\forall m \in D)(\exists n \geq m)(|A \cap F_n| \geq \alpha \cdot |F_n|)\}$.
- (c) $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(A) = \sup\{\alpha : (\forall m \in D)(\exists n \geq m)(\exists x \in S \cup \{0\})(|A \cap (F_n + x)| \geq \alpha \cdot |F_n|)\}$.

For discrete case, you can see Definition 3.1 in [8]. In this paper, our main purpose is about density of subsets that infinitely closed to zero.

Example 3.2. (a) Let $\{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ such that

$$\inf\{\min F_n : n \in D\} = \delta > 0.$$

Now let $A = (\delta, +\infty)$, then $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = 1$.

- (b) Let $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $P_f(S)$ and let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max F_n = 0$. Then $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}([\delta, +\infty) \cap S) = 0$ and $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}((0, \delta) \cap S) = 1$.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ and let $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$.

- (a) $D_{\mathcal{F}} = \{p \in \beta S_d : (\forall A \in p)(\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) > 0)\}$.
- (b) $D_{\mathcal{F}}^* = \{p \in \beta S_d : (\forall A \in p)(d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(A) > 0)\}$.
- (c) $N_{\mathcal{F}} = \{p \in 0^*(S) : (\forall A \in p)(\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) > 0)\}$.
- (d) $N_{\mathcal{F}}^* = \{p \in 0^*(S) : (\forall A \in p)(d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(A) > 0)\}$.

If $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) > 0$, then $0 \in \bar{A}$. Now pick $p \in D_{\mathcal{F}}$, so $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) > 0$ for each $A \in p$ and hence $p \in 0^*(S)$. This implies that $N_{\mathcal{F}} = D_{\mathcal{F}}$. It is obvious that $N_{\mathcal{F}}^* = 0^*(S) \cap D_{\mathcal{F}}^*$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ and let $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$. If A and B are subsets of S , then $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A \cup B) \leq \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) + \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(B)$ and

$$d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(A \cup B) \leq d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(A) + d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(B).$$

Consequently,

- (a) if $A \subseteq S$ and $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) > 0$, then $\bar{A} \cap D_{\mathcal{F}} \neq \emptyset$ and if $A \subseteq S$ and $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(A) > 0$, then $\bar{A} \cap D_{\mathcal{F}}^* \neq \emptyset$.
- (b) If $A \subseteq S$ and $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(A) > 0$, then $\bar{A} \cap N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \neq \emptyset$.
- (c) For each $\delta > 0$, $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A \cap (0, \delta))$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 in [8], (a) and (b) are obvious. Since $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A \cap (\delta, \infty)) = 0$, (c) is hold. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ and let $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$. Then $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ is a right ideal of $(0^*(S), +)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 in [8], $D_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ is a right ideal in βS_d . This implies that $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ is a right ideal of $(0^*(S), +)$. \square

Remark 3.6. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ and let $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$. If A is a thick near zero subset of S , then $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(A) = 1$.

The following three requirements will guarantee certain properties of densities determined by nets of finite sets. This definition is stated in [8] for general case, and we use it for $(0, +\infty)$.

Definition 3.7. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$. Followings are three properties that \mathcal{F} might satisfy.

- (*) $(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\forall t \in S)(\exists c \in D)(\exists m \in D)(\forall n \geq m)(\exists k \geq n)(\exists z \in S)(|(t + F_n) \setminus (F_k + z)| < \epsilon|F_n| \text{ and } |F_k| \leq c|F_n|)$.
- (*') $(\forall \epsilon \in (0, 1)(\forall t \in S)(\exists c \in D)(\exists m \in D)(\forall n \geq m)(\exists k \geq n)(|(t + F_n) \setminus F_k| < \epsilon|F_n| \text{ and } |F_k| \leq c|F_n|)$.
- (**) $(\forall H \in \mathcal{F}s(S))(\exists c \in D)(\exists m \in D)(\forall n \geq m)(|F_n| \leq c|\bigcap_{a \in H}(-a + F_n)|)$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ and let $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$. If \mathcal{F} satisfies (*), $B \subseteq S$, $t \in S$, and $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(-t + B) > 0$, then $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(B) > 0$. In particular, $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ is a left ideal of $(0^*(S), +)$.*

Proof. See Theorem 2.7 in [8]. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ and let $\inf\{\max F_n : n \in D\} = 0$. If \mathcal{F} satisfies (*'), $B \subseteq S$, $t \in S$ and $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(-t + B) > 0$ then $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(B) > 0$. In particular, $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a left ideal of $(0^*(S), +)$.*

Proof. Pick $\alpha > 0$ such that $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(-t + B) > \alpha$ and let $\epsilon = \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(-t + B) - \alpha$. Pick $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in D$ as guaranteed by (*)' for $\epsilon/2$ and t . We claim that $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(B) \geq \alpha/c$. To this end, let $r \in D$. Pick $n \in D$ such that $n \geq r$, $n \geq m$, and $|(-t + B) \cap F_n| \geq (\alpha + \epsilon/2) \cdot |F_n|$. Pick $k \geq n$ such that $|t + F_n \setminus F_k| < (\epsilon/2)|F_n|$ and $|F_k| \leq c|F_n|$. Since $B \cap (t + F_n) \subseteq (B \cap F_k) \cup (t + F_n \setminus F_k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |B \cap (t + F_n)| &\leq |B \cap F_k| + |t + F_n \setminus F_k| \\ &= |B \cap F_k| + |t + F_n \setminus F_k| \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
|B \cap F_k| &\geq |B \cap t + F_n| - |t + F_n \setminus F_k| \\
&\geq |-t + B \cap F_n| - (\epsilon/2)|F_n| \\
&\geq \alpha|F_n| \\
&\geq (\alpha/c)|F_k|.
\end{aligned}$$

$N_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a left ideal, see Theorem 2.8 in [8]. \square

Remark 3.10. Let S be a dense subsemigroup of $(0, +\infty)$ and let $A = S \cap (1, 2)$. Let $\{F_n\}_{n \in D} \subseteq P_f((0, 1) \cap S)$ such that $\inf\{\max F_n : n \in D\} = 0$. Since $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = 0$ and $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(-1 + A) = 1$, this implies that Theorem 3.9 is not true for net $\{F_n\}_{n \in D}$. Therefore, if $\{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ is a net in $P_f(S)$ with $\sup\{\max F_n : n \in D\} < \infty$, then $\{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ does not has $(*)'$ property.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ and let $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$. If \mathcal{F} satisfies $(*)$ and $B \subset S$ is piecewise syndetic near zero then $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(B) > 0$.*

Proof. Since B is piecewise syndetic near zero, so for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $G \in P_f((0, \varepsilon) \cap S)$ such that $\bigcup_{t \in G} -t + B$ is thick near zero. So there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for each $F \in P_f((0, \gamma) \cap S)$ and every $\delta > 0$, for some $x \in (0, \delta) \cap S$ with $F + x \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in G} -t + B$. Given $m \in D$ and $\gamma > 0$ with $\max F_m < \gamma$, pick $x \in (0, \delta) \cap S$. So $F_m + x \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in G} (-t + B)$, and

$$\left| \left(\bigcup_{t \in G} (-t + B) \cap (F_m + x) \right) \right| = |F_m|.$$

We conclude that $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(\bigcup_{t \in G} -t + B) = 1$. Thus by Lemma 3.4, there is some $t \in G$ such that $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(-t + B) > 0$, and so by Theorem 3.8, $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(B) > 0$. \square

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net such that $\inf\{\max F_n : n \in D\} = 0$. If \mathcal{F} satisfies $(**)$ and B is a syndetic near zero subset of S , then $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(B) > 0$.*

Proof. B is syndetic near zero, so for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $H \in P_f((0, \varepsilon) \cap S)$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $(0, \delta) \cap S \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in H} (-t + B)$. Pick $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in D$ as guaranteed by $(**)$ for H such that for some $n > m$ implies that $\max F_n < \delta$. Let $k = |H|$, we show that $|B \cap F_n| \geq (\frac{1}{ck})|F_n|$ and thus $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(B) \geq \frac{1}{ck}$. Let $G_1 = \bigcap_{a \in H} (-a + F_n)$, so that $|F_n| \leq c|G_1|$ and $G_1 \subseteq (0, \delta) \cap S$. For $s \in G_1$, pick $t \in H$ such that $t + s \in B$. Since $s \in G_1$, $t + s \in F_n$. So $G_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in H} -t + (B \cap F_n)$. This implies $|G_1| \leq k|B \cap F_n|$ as required. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ and let $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$. If \mathcal{F} satisfies (**) and $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(B) = 1$, then B is thick near zero.*

Proof. Suppose that B is not thick near zero, so that $G = S \setminus B$ is syndetic near zero, see Remark 2.5 (b). So for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $H \in P_f(0, \varepsilon)$ and some $\delta > 0$ such that $(0, \delta) \cap S \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in H} (-t + G)$. Pick $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in D$ as guaranteed by (**) for H . Let $k = |H|$. Since $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(B) > 1 - \frac{1}{ck}$, pick $x \in S$ and $n \geq m$ such that $|B \cap (F_n + x)| > (1 - \frac{1}{ck})|F_n|$ and $F_n \subseteq (0, \delta) \cap S$. Let $G_1 = \bigcap_{a \in H} (-a + F_n)$ and for $t \in H$, let

$$E_t = \{s \in G_1 : t + s + x \in G\}.$$

$G_1 = \bigcup_{t \in H} E_t$ and $|G_1| \geq \frac{1}{c}|F_n|$ so pick $t \in H$ such that $|E_t| \geq \frac{1}{ck}|F_n|$. Now $t + E_t \subseteq (G \setminus B) \cap (F_n + x)$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |B \cap (F_n + x)| &\leq |F_n + x| - |t + E_t + x| \\ &\leq |F_n| - |E_t| \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{ck}\right) |F_n| \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 3.14. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ with $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$. If \mathcal{F} satisfies (**) and B is piecewise syndetic near zero subset of S , then $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(B) > 0$.*

Proof. Since B is piecewise syndetic near zero, for all $\delta > 0$ there exists $F \in P_f((0, \delta) \cap S)$ such that $\bigcup_{t \in F} -t + B$ is thick near zero. So there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, such that for all $K \in P_f((0, \varepsilon) \cap S)$ there exists $x \in (0, \varepsilon) \cap S$ with $K + x \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in F} -t + B$. Pick $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in D$ as guaranteed for F by (**). Let $k = |F|$. Let $n \geq m$ such that $\max F_n < \varepsilon$ and let $G_1 = \bigcap_{a \in F} -a + F_n$, so $G_1 \in P_f((0, \varepsilon) \cap S)$. Pick z such that $G_1 + z \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in F} -t + B$. Given $y \in G_1$, pick $t \in F$ such that $t + y + z \in B$. Therefore $G_1 + z \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in F} -t + (B \cap (F_n + z))$ and so $|G_1| = |G_1 + z| \leq k|B \cap (F_n + z)|$. Thus

$$|B \cap (F_n + z)| \geq \frac{1}{k}|G_1| \geq \frac{1}{ck}|F_n|.$$

So $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(B) > 0$. \square

Let $B \subseteq S$ and let there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $(0, \delta) \cap S \subseteq B$. Then $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(B) = 1$. \square

Theorem 3.15. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$, and let B be a syndetic near zero subset of S . If*

- (a) \mathcal{F} satisfies (**) and $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$, or
- (b) \mathcal{F} satisfies (*) and $\inf\{\max F_n : n \in D\} = 0$, then $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(B) > 0$.

Proof. If \mathcal{F} satisfies (**), the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.11 so assume \mathcal{F} satisfies (*'). So for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $H \in P_f((0, \varepsilon) \cap S)$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $(0, \delta) \cap S \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in H} -t + B$. Then $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(\bigcup_{t \in H} -t + B) = 1$ so by Lemma 3.4 there is $t \in H$ such that $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(-t + B) > 0$ and thus by Theorem 3.9 $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(B) > 0$. \square

Definition 3.16. Let $S \subseteq (0, +\infty)$, and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$. Then \mathcal{F} is a Følner net if for each $s \in S$, the net

$$\left\{ \frac{|(s + F_n)\Delta F_n|}{|F_n|} \right\}_{n \in D}$$

converges to 0.

Lemma 3.17. Let S be a left cancellative semigroup and let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a Følner net in $P_f(S)$. Then for each $s \in S$, the set

$$\left\{ \frac{|(-s + F_n)\Delta F_n|}{|F_n|} \right\}_{n \in D}$$

converges to 0.

Proof. See Lemma 4.3 in [8]. \square

Theorem 3.18. Let S be an infinite left cancellative semigroup and let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a Følner net in $P_f(S)$. Then for all $A \subseteq S$ and all $s \in S$,

- (a) $\underline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \underline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(s + A) = \underline{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(-s + A)$.
- (b) $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(s + A) = \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(-s + A)$.
- (c) $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(A) = d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(s + A) = d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(-s + A)$.

Proof. See Theorem 4.5 in [8]. \square

Remark 3.19. Let S be a dense subsemigroup of $((0, \infty), +)$ and let \mathcal{F} be a net in $P_f(S)$ with $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$. For each $s \in S$, $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) = 1$ and $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(s + S) = 0$, so Theorem 3.18 is not true for \mathcal{F} . Therefore \mathcal{F} is not a Følner net.

Theorem 3.20. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ such that $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$, and let $A \subseteq S$. There is a countable additive regular measure μ on the set \mathcal{B} of Borel subsets of βS_d such that

- (1) $\mu(\bar{A}) = \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$,
- (2) for all $B \subseteq S$, $\mu(\bar{B}) \leq \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(B)$, and
- (3) $\mu(0^*(S)) = 1$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 4.7 in [8], (1) and (2) are trivial. By Theorem 4.7 in [8], $\mu(\beta S_d) = 1$. If $\delta > 0$, then $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}((\delta, +\infty)) = 0$ and

by Lemma 3.4, $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A \cap (0, \delta))$. Now pick $\delta > 0$, and let $A_{\delta} = S \cap (0, \delta)$. Then

$$1 = \mu(\beta S_d) = \mu(\overline{A_{\delta}} \cup \overline{S - A_{\delta}}) = \mu(\overline{A_{\delta}}).$$

Therefore

$$1 = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mu(\overline{A_{\delta}}) = \mu(0^*(S)).$$

□

Theorem 3.21. *Let S be a dense subsemigroup of $((0, \infty), +)$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ such that $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$, and let $A \subseteq S$ be piecewise syndetic near zero. Then for each $\delta > 0$, there exists $F \in P_f(S \cap (0, \epsilon))$ such that $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(\bigcup_{t \in F} (-t + A)) = 1$.*

Proof. By Remark 3.6 and Definition 2.4 (c), it is obvious. □

Theorem 3.22. *Let S be a dense subsemigroup of $((0, \infty), +)$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in D}$ be a net in $P_f(S)$ and let $\lim_{n \in D} \max F_n = 0$. If \mathcal{F} satisfies (**), let for each $\delta > 0$, there exists $F \in P_f(S \cap (0, \epsilon))$ such that $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(\bigcup_{t \in F} (-t + A)) = 1$, then A is piecewise syndetic near zero.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.13 and (**), $\bigcup_{t \in F} (-t + A)$ is thick near zero. □

Theorem 3.23. *Let S be a dense subsemigroup of $((0, \infty), +)$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $P_f(S)$ and let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max F_n = 0$. Let $A \subseteq S$ and $d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(A) = \alpha > 0$ then there exists a sequence $\mathcal{A} = \{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{A}}(A) = \alpha$.*

Proof. Let for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $m \geq n$ and $x \in S \cup \{0\}$ such that $|A \cap (F_m + x)| \geq \left(d_{\mathcal{F}}^*(A) - \frac{1}{n}\right) |F_m|$ thus we have $\bar{d}_{\mathcal{A}}(A) = \alpha$. □

REFERENCES

1. D. De and N. Hindman, *Image partition regularity near zero*, Discrete Math., 309 (2009), pp. 3219-3232.
2. D. De and R.K. Paul, *Image partition regularity near zero with real entries*, New York J. Math., 17 (2011), pp. 149-161.
3. D. De and R.K. Paul, *Combined Algebraic Properties of IP^* and Central* Sets Near 0*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2012 (2012), pp. 1-7.
4. E. Følner, *On groups with full Banach mean values*, Math. Scand, 17 (1955), pp. 243-254.
5. A. Frey, *Studies on Amenable Semigroups*, Thesis, University of Washington, 1960.
6. N. Hindman and I. Leader, *The Semigroup of Ultrafilters Near 0*, Semigroup Forum, 59 (1999), pp. 33-55.

7. N. Hindman and D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Ćech Compactification, Theory and Applications*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2011.
8. N. Hindman and D. Strauss, *Density in Arbitrary Semigroups*, *Semigroup Forum*, 73 (2006), pp. 273-300.
9. M. A. Tootkaboni and T. Vahed, *The semigroup of ultrafilters near an idempotent of a semitopological semigroup*, *Topology Appl.*, 159 (2012), pp. 3494-3503.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF BASIC SCIENCE, SHAHED UNIVERSITY, TABRIZ, IRAN.

E-mail address: bayatmanesh.e@gmail.com

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF BASIC SCIENCE, SHAHED UNIVERSITY, TABRIZ, IRAN.

E-mail address: tootkaboni.akbari@gmail.com