

***G*-ASYMPTOTIC CONTRACTIONS IN METRIC SPACES WITH A GRAPH AND FIXED POINT RESULTS**

KAMAL FALLAHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for G -asymptotic contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph. The result given here is a new version of Kirk's fixed point theorem for asymptotic contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph. The given result here is a generalization of fixed point theorem for asymptotic contraction from metric spaces to metric spaces endowed with a graph.

1. INTRODUCTION

2. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 2003, Kirk [8] investigated the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for asymptotic contractions in metric spaces but Jachymski and Jóźwik [7] constructed a counterexample showing that the continuity of the self-map is essential in Kirk's theorem. They also established a new result for uniformly continuous asymptotic φ -contractions in metric spaces (see [7, Theorem 2]). Finally, Aghanians et al. [1] generalized Kirk's fixed point theorem for p -continuous E -asymptotic contractions in separated uniform spaces via E -distances.

In 2008, Jachymski [6] entered graphs in metric fixed point theory by endowing the underlying metric space with a directed graph and formulated the Banach contraction principle in a graph language. This idea followed by several authors (see e.g., [2, 5]).

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for Kirk's asymptotic contractions in metric

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 47H10; Secondary: 05C20.

Key words and phrases. G -asymptotic contraction, Orbitally G -continuous self-map, Fixed point.

Received: 14 January 2017, Accepted: 30 January 2017.

spaces endowed with a graph. Our main result is a new version of [8, Theorem 2.1] in metric spaces endowed with a graph.

Consistent with Bondy and Murthy as well as Jachymski, the following concepts is needed in the sequel. For a idespread discussion on the theory of graphs, the reader is refered to [3, 6].

Let (X, d) be a metric space and G be a directed graph with vertex set $V(G) = X$ such that the edge set $E(G)$ contains all loops, that is, $(x, x) \in E(G)$ for all $x \in X$. Assume further that G has no parallel edges. Then the graph G can be easily denoted by the ordered pair $(V(G), E(G))$ and it is said that the metric space (X, d) is endowed with G .

The metric space (X, d) can also be endowed with the graphs G^{-1} and \tilde{G} , where the former is the conversion of G which is obtained from G by reversing the directions of the edges, and the latter is an undirected graph obtained from G by ignoring the directions of the edges. In other words, $V(G^{-1}) = V(\tilde{G}) = X$, $E(G^{-1}) = \{(x, y) : (y, x) \in E(G)\}$ and $E(\tilde{G}) = E(G) \cup E(G^{-1})$.

If $x, y \in X$, then a finite sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$ consisting of $N + 1$ vertices is called a path in G from x to y whenever $x_0 = x$, $x_N = y$ and (x_{i-1}, x_i) is an edge of G for $i = 1, \dots, N$. The graph G is called connected if there exists a path in G between each two vertices of G .

A graph H is called a subgraph of G if $V(H)$ and $E(H)$ are contained in $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively and also $(x, y) \in E(H)$ implies $x, y \in V(H)$.

Following Petruşel and Rus [9], one can naturally formulate Picard operators in metric spaces as follows:

Definition 2.1 ([6, 9]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. A self-map f on X is called a Picard operator if f has a unique fixed point x^* in X and $f^n x \rightarrow x^*$ for all $x \in X$.

We also need a weaker type of continuity in metric spaces endowed with a graph. The idea of this definition comes from the definition of orbital continuity defined by Ćirić [4].

Definition 2.2 ([6]). Let (X, d) be a metric space endowed with a graph G . A self-map f on X is called orbitally G -continuous if for each $x, y \in X$ and each sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ of positive integers satisfying $(f^{\lambda_n} x, f^{\lambda_{n+1}} x) \in E(G)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $f^{\lambda_n} x \rightarrow y$, one has $f(f^{\lambda_n} x) \rightarrow fy$.

Obviously, a continuous mapping on a metric space is orbitally G -continuous for all graphs G but the converse is not necessarily true. The next example shows the effective role played by the graph to imply a weaker type of continuity.

Example 2.3. Consider the set $X = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \geq 1\} \cup \{0\}$ equipped with the usual metric and define a self-map $f : X \rightarrow X$ by $f(0) = 0$ and $f(x) = \frac{2}{3}$ for all $x \in X$ with $x \neq 0$. Then it is clear that f is not continuous at $x = 0$, and so on the whole set X . Now assume that X is endowed with a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, where $V(G) = X$ and

$$E(G) = \{(x, x) : x \in X\} \cup \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n+1} \right) : n \geq 1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{n}, 0 \right) : n \geq 1 \right\}.$$

If $x, y \in X$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of positive integers satisfying $(f^{\lambda_n}x, f^{\lambda_{n+1}}x) \in E(G)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $f^{\lambda_n}x \rightarrow y$, then $\{f^{\lambda_n}x\}$ is necessarily a constant sequence and either $y = 0$ or $y = \frac{2}{3}$. Thus, $f^{\lambda_n}x = y$ for all $n \geq 1$ and so $f(f^{\lambda_n}x) \rightarrow fy$. Hence f is orbitally G -continuous on X .

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we assume that (X, d) is a metric space endowed with a graph G . We denote by $\text{Fix}(f)$ the set of all fixed points of a self-map f on X , and we use C_f to denote the set of all points $x \in X$ such that $(f^m x, f^n x)$ is an edge of \tilde{G} for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$. In other words,

$$C_f = \{x \in X : (f^m x, f^n x) \in E(\tilde{G}), \quad m, n = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

We further assume that Ψ is the class of all continuous functions $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $\psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$. Clearly, if $\psi \in \Psi$, then

$$0 \leq \psi(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(t) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t = 0,$$

that is, $\psi(0) = 0$. Therefore, $\psi(t) \leq t$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Now, we are ready to define G -asymptotic contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph. This definition is motivated from [7, Definition 2.1] and [8, Definition 2.1].

Definition 3.1. Let (X, d) be metric space endowed with garph G . We say that a self-map f on X is a G -asymptotic contraction if

- (A1) f preserves the edges of G , that is, $(x, y) \in E(G)$ implies $(fx, fy) \in E(G)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (A2) there exists a sequence $\psi_n : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ converging uniformly to a $\psi \in \Psi$ on the range of d such that

$$(3.1) \quad d(f^n x, f^n y) \leq \psi_n(d(x, y))$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$.

We next give some examples of G -asymptotic contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph.

Example 3.2. Suppose that (X, d) is a metric space and G_0 is the complete graph with the vertex set X , that is, $V(G_0) = X$ and $E(G_0) = X \times X$. Then (A1) holds trivially, and (A2) means that

$$d(f^n x, f^n y) \leq \psi_n(d(x, y)), \quad (x, y \in X),$$

where $\psi_n : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ converges uniformly to a $\psi \in \Psi$ on the range of d . Hence G_0 -asymptotic contractions are precisely the asymptotic contractions introduced by Kirk.

Example 3.3. Suppose that (X, \preceq) is a partially ordered set and d is a metric on X . Consider the poset graph G_1 with $V(G_1) = X$ and $E(G_1) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y\}$. Then (A1) holds if and only if f is nondecreasing with respect to \preceq , and (A2) means that

$$d(f^n x, f^n y) \leq \psi_n(d(x, y))$$

for all comparable elements $x, y \in X$, where $\psi_n : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ converges uniformly to a $\psi \in \Psi$ on the range of d .

Example 3.4. Suppose that (X, \preceq) is a partially ordered set and d is a metric on X . Consider the poset graph G_2 defined by $V(G_2) = X$ and $E(G_2) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y \vee y \preceq x\}$. Then (A1) holds if and only if f maps comparable elements of X onto comparable elements of X , and (A2) means that

$$d(f^n x, f^n y) \leq \psi_n(d(x, y))$$

for all comparable elements $x, y \in X$, where $\psi_n : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ converges uniformly to a $\psi \in \Psi$ on the range of d , as in Example 3.2.

Example 3.5. Suppose that (X, d) is a metric space and ε is a fixed positive real number. Two elements $x, y \in X$ are called ε -close whenever $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$. Define a graph G_3 by $V(G_3) = X$ and $E(G_3) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$. Then (A1) holds if and only if f maps ε -close elements of X onto ε -close elements, and (A2) means that

$$d(f^n x, f^n y) \leq \psi_n(d(x, y))$$

for all ε -close elements $x, y \in X$, where $\psi_n : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ converges uniformly to a $\psi \in \Psi$ on the range of d .

To prove our main theorem, we need the next lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Let (X, d) be a metric space endowed with a graph G and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a G -asymptotic contraction such that the functions ψ_n in (3.1) are continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ for sufficiently large indices n . Then $\{f^n x\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in C_f$.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in C_f$. Then $(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) \in E(\tilde{G})$ for all $n \geq 0$. Moreover, f is clearly a \tilde{G} -asymptotic contraction. So from (3.1) we get

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n(d(x_0, f x_0)) \\ &= \psi(d(x_0, f x_0)) \\ &\leq d(x_0, f x_0) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) = \eta > 0,$$

then there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ of positive integers such that $d(f^{n_k} x_0, f^{n_k+1} x_0) \rightarrow \eta$, and so by the continuity of ψ , we obtain

$$\psi(d(f^{n_k} x_0, f^{n_k+1} x_0)) \rightarrow \psi(\eta) < \eta.$$

Hence there is a positive integer k_0 with $\psi(d(f^{n_{k_0}} x_0, f^{n_{k_0}+1} x_0)) < \eta$ and thus, by (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(f^{n_{k_0}} x_0), f^n(f^{n_{k_0}+1} x_0)) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n(d(f^{n_{k_0}} x_0, f^{n_{k_0}+1} x_0)) \\ &= \psi(d(f^{n_{k_0}} x_0, f^{n_{k_0}+1} x_0)) < \eta, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$0 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) = 0,$$

that is,

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) = 0.$$

Now, if the sequence $\{f^n x_0\}$ is not Cauchy, then there exist an $\varepsilon > 0$ and sequences $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}$ of positive integers such that

$$m_k > n_k \geq k \quad \text{and} \quad d(f^{m_k} x_0, f^{n_k} x_0) \geq \varepsilon, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Keeping the integer n_k fixed for sufficiently large k , say $k \geq k_0$, we can assume without loss of generality that m_k is the smallest integer greater than n_k with $d(f^{m_k} x_0, f^{n_k} x_0) \geq \varepsilon$, that is,

$$d(f^{m_k-1} x_0, f^{n_k} x_0) < \varepsilon, \quad (k \geq k_0).$$

Hence for each $k \geq k_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq d(f^{m_k}x_0, f^{n_k}x_0) \\ &\leq d(f^{m_k}x_0, f^{m_k-1}x_0) + d(f^{m_k-1}x_0, f^{n_k}x_0) \\ &< d(f^{m_k}x_0, f^{m_k-1}x_0) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

If $k \rightarrow \infty$, then from (3.3) we have $d(f^{m_k}x_0, f^{m_k-1}x_0) \rightarrow 0$, and so it follows from the squeeze theorem that $d(f^{m_k}x_0, f^{n_k}x_0) \rightarrow \varepsilon$.

We next show by induction that

$$(3.4) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{m_k+i}x_0, f^{n_k+i}x_0) \geq \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

To this end, note first that from (3.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{m_k}x_0, f^{n_k}x_0) \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[d(f^{m_k}x_0, f^{m_k+1}x_0) + d(f^{m_k+1}x_0, f^{n_k+1}x_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d(f^{n_k+1}x_0, f^{n_k}x_0) \right] \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{m_k}x_0, f^{m_k+1}x_0) + \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{m_k+1}x_0, f^{n_k+1}x_0) \\ &\quad + \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{n_k+1}x_0, f^{n_k}x_0) \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{m_k+1}x_0, f^{n_k+1}x_0), \end{aligned}$$

that is, (3.4) holds for $i = 1$. If (3.4) is true for a positive integer i , then using (3.3) once more we find

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{m_k+i}x_0, f^{n_k+i}x_0) \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[d(f^{m_k+i}x_0, f^{m_k+i+1}x_0) + d(f^{m_k+i+1}x_0, f^{n_k+i+1}x_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d(f^{n_k+i+1}x_0, f^{n_k+i}x_0) \right] \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{m_k+i+1}x_0, f^{n_k+i+1}x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (3.1) and uniform convergence of $\{\psi_n\}$ to ψ on the range of d we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\varepsilon) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(f^{m_k}x_0, f^{n_k}x_0)) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \psi_i(d(f^{m_k}x_0, f^{n_k}x_0)) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi_i(d(f^{m_k}x_0, f^{n_k}x_0)) \\ &\geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{m_k+i}x_0, f^{n_k+i}x_0) \\ &\geq \varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, the sequence $\{f^n x\}$ is Cauchy. \square

Now we are ready to prove our main theorem on the fixed point of G -asymptotic contractions.

Theorem 3.7. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space endowed with a graph G and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an orbitally G -continuous G -asymptotic contraction such that the functions ψ_n in (3.1) are continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ for sufficiently large indices n . Then f has a fixed point in X if and only if $C_f \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if the subgraph of G with the vertex set $\text{Fix}(f)$ is connected, then the restriction of f to C_f is a Picard operator.*

Proof. Because $\text{Fix}(f) \subseteq C_f$, it follows that if f has a fixed point, then C_f is nonempty. Now let $x_0 \in C_f$. By Lemma 3.6, the sequence $\{f^n x_0\}$ is Cauchy. Since (X, d) is complete, there exists an $x^* \in X$ such that $f^n x_0 \rightarrow x^*$. We are going to show that x^* is a fixed point for f . To this end, note that from $x_0 \in C_f$ we have $(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) \in E(G)$ for all $n \geq 0$. So by orbital G -continuity of f , we get $f^{n+1} x_0 \rightarrow f x^*$. Therefore, $f x^* = x^*$.

Next, suppose that the subgraph of G with the vertex set $\text{Fix}(f)$ is connected and $x^{**} \in X$ is a fixed point of f . Then there exists a path $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$ in G from x^* to x^{**} such that $x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} \in \text{Fix}(f)$, that is, $x_0 = x^*$, $x_N = x^{**}$ and $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(G)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Since f is a G -asymptotic contraction, for each $i = 1, \dots, N$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}d(x_{i-1}, x_i) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n x_{i-1}, f^n x_i) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n(d(x_{i-1}, x_i)) \\ &= \psi(d(x_{i-1}, x_i)),\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction unless $x_{i-1} = x_i$. Hence

$$x^* = x_0 = x_1 = \dots = x_{N-1} = x_N = x^{**}.$$

Consequently, the fixed point of f is unique and the restriction of f to C_f is a Picard operator. \square

We now give four simple consequences of Theorem 3.7. If we set $G = G_0$ in Theorem 3.7, then it is clear that G_0 -orbital continuity is precisely the orbital continuity introduced by Ćirić [4] and the set C_f related to any self-map f on X coincides with the whole set X . So we have the following version of [8, Theorem 2.1].

Corollary 3.8. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an orbitally continuous asymptotic contraction such that the functions ψ_n in (3.1) are continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ for sufficiently large indices n . Then f is a Picard operator.*

Secondly, we consider a partially ordered set (X, \preceq) and put $G = G_1$ in Theorem 3.7. Hence we get a partially ordered version of [8, Theorem 2.1] in complete metric spaces equipped with a partial order.

Corollary 3.9. *Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and d be a metric on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an orbitally G_1 -continuous G_1 -asymptotic contraction such that the functions ψ_n in (3.1) are continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ for sufficiently large indices n . Then f has a fixed point in X if and only if there exists an $x_0 \in X$ such that $f^m x$ and $f^n x$ are comparable elements of (X, \preceq) for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*

Similarly, by considering a partially ordered set (X, \preceq) and setting $G = G_2$ in Theorem 3.7, another partially ordered version of [8, Theorem 2.1] in complete metric spaces equipped with a partial order is obtained.

Corollary 3.10. *Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and d be a metric on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an orbitally G_2 -continuous G_2 -asymptotic contraction such that the functions ψ_n in (3.1) are continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ for sufficiently large indices n . Then f has a fixed point in X if and only if there exists an $x_0 \in X$ such that $f^m x$ and $f^n x$ are comparable elements of (X, \preceq) for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*

In particular, if every two elements of $\text{Fix}(f)$ are comparable, then the restriction of f to the set of all $x \in X$ whose every two iterates under f are comparable elements of (X, \preceq) is a Picard operator.

Finally, we put $G = G_3$ in Theorem 3.7 and we get the following version of [8, Theorem 2.1]:

Corollary 3.11. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and ε be a fixed positive real number. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an orbitally G_3 -continuous G_3 -asymptotic contraction such that the functions ψ_n in (3.1) are continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ for sufficiently large indices n . Then f has a fixed point in X if and only if there exists an $x_0 \in X$ such that $f^m x$ and $f^n x$ are ε -close elements of (X, d) for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*

Moreover, if every two elements of $\text{Fix}(f)$ are ε -close, then the restriction of f to the set of all $x \in X$ whose every two iterates under f are ε -close elements of (X, d) is a Picard operator.

Acknowledgment. The author is thankful to the Payame Noor University for supporting this research.

REFERENCES

1. A. Aghanians, K. Fallahi, and K. Nourouzi, *Fixed points for E-asymptotic contractions and Boyd-Wong type E-contractions in uniform spaces*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., 39 (6) (2013), 1261-1272.
2. F. Bojor, *Fixed point of φ -contraction in metric spaces endowed with a graph*, An. Univ. Craiova Ser. Mat. Inform., 37 (4), (2010), 85-92.
3. J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murthy, *Graph Theory*, Springer, New York, 2008.
4. Lj. Ćirić, *On contraction type mappings*, Math. Balkanica., 1 (1971), 52-57.
5. K. Fallahi and A. Aghanians, *On quasi-contractions in metric spaces with a graph*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 45 (4), (2016), 1033-1047.
6. J. Jachymski, *The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136 (4), (2008), 1359-1373.
7. J. Jachymski and I. Jóźwik, *On Kirk's asymptotic contractions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 300 (1), (2004), 147-159.
8. W.A. Kirk, *Fixed points of asymptotic contractions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 277 (2), (2003), 645-650.
9. A. Petrușel and I.A. Rus, *Fixed point theorems in ordered L-spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 134 (2), (2006), 411-418.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PAYAME NOOR UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 19395-3697, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: fallahi1361@gmail.com