

The Solvability of Concave-Convex Quasilinear Elliptic Systems Involving p -Laplacian and Critical Sobolev Exponent

Somayeh Khademloo^{1*} and Saeed Khanjany Ghazi²

ABSTRACT. In this work, we study the existence of non-trivial multiple solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems equipped with concave-convex nonlinearities and critical growth terms in bounded domains. By using the variational method, especially Nehari manifold and Palais-Smale condition, we prove the existence and multiplicity results of positive solutions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The aim of this paper is to establish the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial positive solutions of the following quasilinear elliptic system:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + a(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda|u|^{q-2}u + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^\beta, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\Delta_p v + a(x)|v|^{p-2}v = \mu|v|^{q-2}v + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}|v|^{\beta-2}v|u|^\alpha, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 2$) is a smooth bounded domain, $0 \in \Omega$, $\alpha > 1$, $\beta > 1$, $\alpha + \beta = p^*$, and $p^* = \frac{pN}{N-p}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent. Also $\lambda, \mu > 0$, $1 < q < p < N$, $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ is the p -Laplacian, and $a(x)$ is the weight function that is also positive and bounded.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J50, 35J62, 35J92.

Key words and phrases. Variational methods, Nehari manifold, Dirichlet boundary condition, Critical Sobolev exponent.

Received: 07 August 2016, Accepted: 02 February 2017.

* Corresponding author.

Many problems in science and engineering are described by semilinear and quasilinear elliptic equations and systems which usually difficult to solve, we refer the reader to Ambrosetti et al. [3, 2], Hsu [13, 14], Brown et al. [10, 11] and Wu [16, 17], and so forth. Although by methods of nonlinear analysis like variational method, we are able to tackle such problems. Afrouzi and Rasouli [1] have investigated the following system with subcritical nonlinearity:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + a(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda|u|^{q-2}u + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}c(x)|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^\beta, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\Delta_p v + a(x)|v|^{p-2}v = \mu|v|^{q-2}v + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}c(x)|v|^{\beta-2}v|u|^\alpha, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

where $p > 2$ and $2 < \alpha + \beta < p < q < p^*$, and the weight c satisfy some suitable conditions. They have proved that, there exists $\delta^* > 0$ such that if the parameters $\lambda, \mu > 0$, satisfy

$$0 < \lambda^{\frac{p}{q-p}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{q-p}} < \delta^*,$$

then the problem (1.2) has at least two nontrivial positive solutions (u_0^+, v_0^+) and (u_0^-, v_0^-) .

Set $p = 2, a(x) = 0$ and $1 < q < 2 < \alpha + \beta < 2^*$ and also by adding sign changing functions f, g and h that $h \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, the problem (1.1) changes to

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}h(x)|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^\beta, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\Delta v = \mu g(x)|v|^{q-2}v + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}h(x)|v|^{\beta-2}v|u|^\alpha, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Wu in [16] proved that the system (1.3) has two positive solutions with same conditions as in [1]. Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *If λ, μ satisfy*

$$0 < \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} < \Lambda_1,$$

then (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

Theorem 1.2. *If λ, μ satisfy*

$$0 < \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} < \Lambda_2,$$

then (1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

We divide this paper into four sections. In the next section, we give properties of Nehari manifold and set up the variational method. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is in the third section and in the last section, by the Palais-Smale condition, we prove Theorem 1.2.

2. NEHARI MANIFOLD

Problem (1.1) is posed in the framework of the Sobolev space $W = W_0^{1,p} \times W_0^{1,p}$ with the standard norm

$$\|z\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + a(x)|u|^p) dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^p + a(x)|v|^p) dx \right)^{1/p},$$

for any $z = (u, v) \in W$. An element z is said to be a weak solution of (1.1) if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi_1 + a(x)u\varphi_1) dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \varphi_2 + a(x)v\varphi_2) dx \\ & - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} u \varphi_1 - \mu \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q-2} v \varphi_2 dx - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha-2} u |v|^{\beta} \varphi_1 dx \\ & - \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{\beta-2} v |u|^{\alpha} \varphi_1 dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in W$.

Thus the corresponding energy functional of (1.1) is defined by

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = \frac{1}{p} \|z\|^p - \frac{1}{q} K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) - \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} L(z),$$

where $K_{\lambda,\mu}, L : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are the functionals defined by

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = \int_{\Omega} (\lambda|u|^q + \mu|v|^q) dx, \quad L(z) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} dx.$$

As the energy functional $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ is not bounded below on W , we consider the Nehari manifold $N_{\lambda,\mu} = \left\{ z \in W \setminus \{0\} \mid \langle J'_{\lambda,\mu}(z), z \rangle = 0 \right\}$. Thus $z \in N_{\lambda,\mu}$ if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad \langle J'_{\lambda,\mu}(z), z \rangle = \|z\|^p - K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) - L(z) = 0.$$

Note that $N_{\lambda,\mu}$ contains every nonzero solution of (1.1). Define

$$\phi_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = \langle J'_{\lambda,\mu}(z), z \rangle.$$

Then for $z \in N_{\lambda,\mu}$,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \phi'_{\lambda,\mu}(z), z \rangle &= p \|z\|^p - qK_{\lambda,\mu}(z) - p^*L(z) \\ &= (p - q) \|z\|^p - (p^* - q)L(z) \\ &= (p^* - q)K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) - (p^* - p) \|z\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Now, similar to the method used in [15], we split $N_{\lambda,\mu}$ into three disjoint parts:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\lambda,\mu}^+ &= \{z \in N_{\lambda,\mu} : \langle \phi'_{\lambda,\mu}(z), z \rangle > 0\}, \\ N_{\lambda,\mu}^0 &= \{z \in N_{\lambda,\mu} : \langle \phi'_{\lambda,\mu}(z), z \rangle = 0\}, \\ N_{\lambda,\mu}^- &= \{z \in N_{\lambda,\mu} : \langle \phi'_{\lambda,\mu}(z), z \rangle < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

To state our main result, we present some important properties of $N_{\lambda,\mu}^+$, $N_{\lambda,\mu}^0$ and $N_{\lambda,\mu}^-$.

Lemma 2.1. $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ is coercive and bounded from below on $N_{\lambda,\mu}$.

Proof. If $z \in N_{\lambda,\mu}$, then by (2.1), the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\mu}(z) &= \frac{p^* - p}{pp^*} \|z\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{qp^*} K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N} \|z\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{qp^*} S^{-\frac{q}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p^* - q}{p^*}} \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \|z\|^q, \end{aligned}$$

where S is the best Sobolev constant for the embedding of $W_0^{1,p}$ in $L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$(2.4) \quad S = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + a(x)|u|^p) dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}}.$$

Since $1 < q < p$, we see that $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ is coercive and bounded below on $N_{\lambda,\mu}$. \square

By modifying the proof of Alves et al. [4] (Theorem 5), we have

$$(2.5) \quad S_{\alpha,\beta} = \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} + \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}} \right) S,$$

where S is the best Sobolev constant defined in (2.3) and

$$(2.6) \quad S_{\alpha,\beta} = \inf_{u,v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|z\|^p}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}}.$$

This is achieved if and only if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ by the function

$$U_\varepsilon(x) = C_N \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\varepsilon + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \right)^{(N-p)/p}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that z_0 is a local minimizer for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ on $N_{\lambda,\mu}$ and that $z_0 \notin N_{\lambda,\mu}$, then z_0 is a critical point of the $J_{\lambda,\mu}$.*

Proof. If z_0 is a local minimizer for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ on $N_{\lambda,\mu}$. Then z_0 is a solution of optimization problem. Since $\phi_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = \langle J'_{\lambda,\mu}(z), z \rangle$, then by the theory of Lagrange multipliers, there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle J'_{\lambda,\mu}(z_0), z_0 \rangle = \gamma \langle \phi'_{\lambda,\mu}(z_0), z_0 \rangle.$$

Since $z_0 \in N_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $z_0 \notin N_{\lambda,\mu}^0$, we get

$$\langle \phi'_{\lambda,\mu}(z_0), z_0 \rangle \neq 0.$$

Hence $\gamma = 0$ and this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Set*

$$(2.7) \quad \Lambda_1 = \left(\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*-q}} \left(\frac{p^*-q}{p^*-p} |\Omega|^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*}} \right)^{-\frac{p}{p^*-q}} S^{\frac{N}{p} + \frac{q}{p^*-q}} > 0,$$

then for (λ, μ) satisfying

$$0 < \lambda^{\frac{p}{p^*-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p^*-q}} < \Lambda_1,$$

we have $N_{\lambda,\mu}^0 = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose opposite, i.e., there exist $\lambda, \mu > 0$ with

$$0 < \lambda^{\frac{p}{p^*-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p^*-q}} < \Lambda_1,$$

such that $N_{\lambda,\mu}^0 \neq \emptyset$. Then for $z \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^0$, by (2.2), we have

$$\|z\|^p = \frac{p^*-q}{p-q} L(z), \quad \|z\|^p = \frac{p^*-q}{p^*-p} K_{\lambda,\mu}(z).$$

Then we have

$$\|z\| \geq \left(\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} S^{\frac{p^*}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*-p}},$$

and similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1, (see (2.3)) by the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, one can get

$$\|z\| \leq \left(\frac{p^* - q}{p^* - p} S^{-\frac{q}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p^* - q}{p^*}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{q-p}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{q-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This implies

$$\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \geq \left(\frac{p-q}{p^* - q} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^* - p}} \left(\frac{p^* - q}{p^* - p} |\Omega|^{\frac{p^* - q}{p^*}} \right)^{-\frac{p}{p-q}} S^{\frac{N}{p} + \frac{q}{p-q}} = \Lambda_1,$$

that is a contradiction. Therefore, we can conclude that there exists a positive number Λ_1 such that for

$$0 < \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} < \Lambda_1,$$

we have $N_{\lambda, \mu}^0 = \emptyset$. □

Let

$$\Theta_\Lambda = \left\{ (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (0, 0) : 0 < \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} < \Lambda \right\},$$

and $\Lambda_0 = \left(\frac{q}{p} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \Lambda_1 < \Lambda_1$. If $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_1}$, by Lemma 2.3, we have $N_{\lambda, \mu} = N_{\lambda, \mu}^+ \cup N_{\lambda, \mu}^-$. Define

$$\theta_{\lambda, \mu} = \inf_{z \in N_{\lambda, \mu}} J_{\lambda, \mu}(z),$$

$$\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^+ = \inf_{z \in N_{\lambda, \mu}^+} J_{\lambda, \mu}(z),$$

$$\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^- = \inf_{z \in N_{\lambda, \mu}^-} J_{\lambda, \mu}(z).$$

Theorem 2.4. (i) If $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_1}$, then $\theta_{\lambda, \mu} \leq \theta_{\lambda, \mu}^+ < 0$;
(ii) If $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_0}$, then there exists

$$d_0 = d_0(\lambda, \mu, p, q, N, S, |\Omega|) > 0,$$

such that $\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^- > d_0$.

Proof. (i) For $z \in N_{\lambda, \mu}^+$, by (2.2), we have

$$K_{\lambda, \mu}(z) > \frac{p^* - p}{p^* - q} \|z\|^p,$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\mu}(z) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \|z\|^p - \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) \\ &< \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \|z\|^p - \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \frac{p^* - p}{p^* - q} \|z\|^p \\ &< \frac{p^* - p}{p^*} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \|z\|^p < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from the definition of $\theta_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $\theta_{\lambda,\mu}^+$, we can deduce that $\theta_{\lambda,\mu} \leq \theta_{\lambda,\mu}^+ < 0$.

(ii) For $z \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^-$, by (2.2) we have $\frac{p-q}{p^* - q} \|z\|^p < L(z)$. Moreover, using (2.4), we get $L(z) \leq S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} \|z\|^p$. This implies that

$$\|z\| \geq \left(\frac{p-q}{p^* - q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^* - p}} S^{\frac{N}{p^2}}.$$

By (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\mu}(z) &\geq \|z\|^q \left(\frac{p^* - p}{p^* p} \|z\|^{p-q} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} S^{-\frac{q}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p^* - q}{p^*}} \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \frac{p-q}{p} \right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{p-q}{p^* - q}\right)^{\frac{q}{p^* - p}} S^{\frac{qN}{p^2}} \left(\frac{p^* - p}{p^* p} \left(\frac{p-q}{p^* - q}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p^* - p}} S^{\frac{(p-q)N}{p^2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} S^{-\frac{q}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p^* - q}{p^*}} (\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}})^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_0}$, then for $z \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^-$ we have

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(z) > d_0 = d_0(\lambda, \mu, p, q, N, S, |\Omega|) > 0.$$

□

For each $z \in W$ such that $L(z) > 0$, let

$$(2.8) \quad t_{\max} = \left(\frac{(p-q)\|z\|^p}{(p^* - q)L(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^* - p}} > 0.$$

Then the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_1}$, then for every $z \in W$ with $L(z) > 0$, one has the following:*

- (i) if $K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) \leq 0$, then there exists $t^- = t^-(z) > t_{\max}$ such that $t^-z \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^-$ and

$$(2.9) \quad J_{\lambda,\mu}(t^-z) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,\mu}(tz);$$

- (ii) if $K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) > 0$, then there exist

$$0 < t^+ = t^+(z) < t_{\max} < t^- = t^-(z),$$

such that $t^\pm z \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^\pm$ and

$$(2.10) \quad J_{\lambda,\mu}(t^+z) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq t_{\max}} J_{\lambda,\mu}(tz); \quad J_{\lambda,\mu}(t^-z) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,\mu}(tz).$$

Proof. Fix $z \in W$ with $L(z) > 0$. Let

$$m(t) = t^{p-q} \|z\|^p - t^{p^*-q} L(z),$$

for $t \geq 0$. Clearly $m(0) = 0$ and $m(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$m'(t) = (p-q)t^{p-q-1} \|z\|^p - (p^*-q)t^{p^*-q-1} L(z),$$

there exists a unique $t_{\max} > 0$ such that $m(t)$ achieves its maximum at $t_{\max} > 0$, increasing for $t \in [0, t_{\max})$ and decreasing for $t \in (t_{\max}, \infty)$. Clearly, $tz \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^+$ (or $N_{\lambda,\mu}^-$) if and only if $m'(t) > 0$ (or < 0). Moreover

$$(2.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} m(t_{\max}) &= \left(\frac{(p-q)\|z\|^p}{(p^*-q)L(z)} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p^*-p}} \|z\|^p - \left(\frac{(p-q)\|z\|^p}{(p^*-q)L(z)} \right)^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*-p}} L(z) \\ &= \|z\|^q \left[\left(\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p^*-p}} - \left(\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} \right)^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*-p}} \right] \left(\frac{\|z\|^p}{L(z)} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p^*-p}} \\ &\leq \|z\|^q \left(\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p^*-p}} \left(\frac{p^*-q}{p^*-q} \right) \left(S^{\frac{p^*}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p^*-p}}. \end{aligned}$$

- (i) $K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) \leq 0$, then there exists a unique $t^- > t_{\max}$ such that $m(t^-) = K_{\lambda,\mu}(z)$ and $m'(t^-) < 0$. Now,

$$(p-q)(t^-)^p \|z\|^p - (p^*-q)(t^-)^{p^*} L(z) = (t^-)^{q+1} m'(t^-) < 0,$$

and

$$\langle J'_{\lambda,\mu}(t^-z), (t^-z) \rangle = (t^-)^q [m(t^-) - K_{\lambda,\mu}(z)] = 0.$$

Thus, $t^-z \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^-$. Since for $t > t_{\max}$, we have $m'(t) < 0$ and $m''(t) < 0$, subsequently,

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(t^-z) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,\mu}(tz).$$

(ii) $K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) > 0$. For $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_1}$, by (2.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(0) &= 0 \\ &< K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) \\ &\leq S^{-\frac{q}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*}} \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \|z\|^q \\ &\leq \|z\|^q \left(\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p^*-p}} \left(\frac{p^*-q}{p^*-q} \right) \left(S^{\frac{p^*}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p^*-p}}, \end{aligned}$$

there exists unique t^+ and t^- such that $0 < t^+ = t^+(z) < t_{\max} < t^- = t^-(z)$,

$$m(t^+) = K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = m(t^-), \quad m'(t^+) > 0 > m'(t^-).$$

We have $t^\pm z \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^\pm$, and

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(t^-z) \geq J_{\lambda,\mu}(tz) \geq J_{\lambda,\mu}(t^+z), \quad \forall t \in [t^+, t^-],$$

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(t^+z) \leq J_{\lambda,\mu}(tz), \quad \forall t \in [0, t_{\max}].$$

Thus

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(t^+z) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq t_{\max}} J_{\lambda,\mu}(tz); \quad J_{\lambda,\mu}(t^-z) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,\mu}(tz).$$

□

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

At first, we give the following definitions about $(PS)_c$ -sequence and introduce the Brézis-Lieb lemma (see [9]) as a remark.

Definition 3.1. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$, W be a Banach space and $J \in C^1(W, \mathbb{R})$.

- (i) $\{z_n\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence in W for J if $J(z_n) = c + o(1)$ and $J'(z_n) = o(1)$ strongly in W^{-1} as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) We say that J satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition if any $(PS)_c$ -sequence $\{z_n\}$ in W for J has a convergent subsequence.

Remark 3.2. Let $z_n \in W$ such that

- (i) $\|z_n\| \leq \text{constant}$,
- (ii) $z_n \rightarrow z_0^+$ almost everywhere in Ω , then

$$(3.1) \quad \|\bar{z}_n\|^p \rightarrow \|z_n\|^p - \|z_0^+\|^p,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $\bar{z}_n = z_n - z_0^+$.

Proposition 3.3. (i) If $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_1}$, then there exists a $(PS)_{\theta_{\lambda,\mu}}$ -sequence $\{z_n\} \subset N_{\lambda,\mu}$ in W for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$.

- (ii) If $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_0}$, then there exists a $(PS)_{\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^-}$ -sequence $\{z_n\} \subset N_{\lambda, \mu}^-$ in W for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$.

Proof. The proof is almost the same as that in [15]. \square

Now, we prove the existence of a local minimum for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $N_{\lambda, \mu}^+$.

Theorem 3.4. *If $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_1}$, then $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ has a minimizer z_0^+ in $N_{\lambda, \mu}^+$ and satisfies the following:*

- (i) $J_{\lambda, \mu}(z_0^+) = \theta_{\lambda, \mu}^+ = \theta_{\lambda, \mu} < 0$;
(ii) z_0^+ is a positive solution of (1.1).

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 (i), there exists a minimizing sequence $\{z_n\}$ for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $N_{\lambda, \mu}$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad J_{\lambda, \mu}(z_n) = \theta_{\lambda, \mu} + o(1), \quad J'_{\lambda, \mu}(z_n) = o(1).$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a subsequence $\{z_n = (u_n, v_n)\}$ and $(z_0^+) = (u_0^+, v_0^+) \in W$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u_0^+, v_n \rightharpoonup v_0^+ & \text{weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_n \rightarrow u_0^+, v_n \rightarrow v_0^+ & \text{almost everywhere in } \Omega, \\ u_n \rightarrow u_0^+, v_n \rightarrow v_0^+ & \text{strongly in } L^s(\Omega) \ (1 \leq s < p^*), \end{cases}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to see that

$$(3.4) \quad K_{\lambda, \mu}(z_n) = K_{\lambda, \mu}(z_0^+) + o(1), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

First, we prove that z_0^+ is a nontrivial solution of (1.1). By (3.1) and (3.2), we can deduce that z_0^+ is a weak solution of (1.1). By (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda, \mu}(z_n) &= \frac{p^* - p}{pp^*} \|z_n\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{qp^*} K_{\lambda, \mu}(z_n) \\ &\geq -\frac{p^* - q}{qp^*} K_{\lambda, \mu}(z_n), \end{aligned}$$

if $n \rightarrow \infty$, one can get

$$K_{\lambda, \mu}(z_0^+) \geq -\frac{qp^*}{p^* - q} \theta_{\lambda, \mu} > 0.$$

Thus, $z_0^+ \in N_{\lambda, \mu}$ is a nontrivial solution of (1.1). Now, we claim that $z_n \rightarrow z_0^+$ strongly in W and $J_{\lambda, \mu}(z_0^+) = \theta_{\lambda, \mu}$. By applying Fatou's lemma

and $z_0^+ \in N_{\lambda,\mu}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\lambda,\mu} &\leq J_{\lambda,\mu}(z_0^+) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \|z_0^+\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{qp^*} K_{\lambda,\mu}(z_0^+) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{N} \|z_n\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{qp^*} K_{\lambda,\mu}(z_n) \right) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda,\mu}(z_n) = \theta_{\lambda,\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $J_{\lambda,\mu}(z_0^+) = \theta_{\lambda,\mu}$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n\|^p = \|z_0^+\|^p.$$

Let $\bar{z}_n = z_n - z_0^+$, then by Remark 3.2 (see (3.1)) we get

$$\|\bar{z}_n\|^p = \|z_n\|^p - \|z_0^+\|^p.$$

Therefore, $z_n \rightarrow z_0^+$ strongly in W . Next, we show that $z_0^+ \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^+$. Suppose that $z_0^+ \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^-$, then by Lemma 2.5, there exists unique t_0^+ and t_0^- such that $t_0^+ z_0^+ \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^+$ and $t_0^+ < t_0^- = 1$. Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_{\lambda,\mu}(t_0^+ z_0^+) = 0, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_{\lambda,\mu}(t_0^+ z_0^+) > 0,$$

there exists $t_0^+ < \bar{t} \leq t_0^-$ such that $J_{\lambda,\mu}(t_0^+ z_0^+) < J_{\lambda,\mu}(\bar{t} z_0^+)$. Again by Lemma 2.5 we have

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(t_0^+ z_0^+) < J_{\lambda,\mu}(\bar{t} z_0^+) \leq J_{\lambda,\mu}(t_0^- z_0^+) = J_{\lambda,\mu}(z_0^+),$$

which contradicts $J_{\lambda,\mu}(z_0^+) = \theta_{\lambda,\mu}^+$. Thus $z_0^+ \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^+$. Since $J_{\lambda,\mu}(z_0^+) = J_{\lambda,\mu}(|z_0^+|)$ and $|z_0^+| \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^+$, by Lemma 2.2 we deduce that z_0^+ is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of (1.1). By the maximum principle, it follows that $u_0^+ > 0, v_0^+ > 0$ in Ω . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 3.4, we get that for all $\lambda, \mu > 0$ and $0 < \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} < \Lambda_1$ (or $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_1}$), (1.1) has a positive solution $z_0^+ \in N_{\lambda,\mu}^+$. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

For the existence of a second positive solution of system (1.1), we will need here a stronger condition. In this section, at the first we will find the range of c where $(PS)_c$ condition holds for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $\{z_n\} \subset W$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $z_n \rightharpoonup z$ in W , then z is a critical point of $J_{\lambda,\mu}$, and there exists a $C_0 = C_0(p, q, N, S, |\Omega|) > 0$ such that*

$$J_{\lambda,\mu} \geq -C_0 \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right).$$

Proof. Let $z_n = (u_n, v_n)$ and assume that $\{z_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ with $z_n \rightharpoonup z$ in W , it is easy to deduce that $J'_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = 0$, so $\langle J'_{\lambda,\mu}(z), z \rangle = 0$ and by (2.1)

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} dx = \|z\|^p - K_{\lambda,\mu}(z).$$

Consequently as (2.4),

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = \frac{p^* - p}{pp^*} \|z\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{qp^*} K_{\lambda,\mu}(z).$$

By the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\mu}(z) &\geq \frac{1}{N} \|z\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{qp^*} S^{-\frac{q}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p^* - q}{p^*}} \\ &\quad \times \left[\lambda \left(\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + a(x)|u|^p) dx \right) + \mu \left(\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^p + a(x)|v|^p) dx \right) \right]^{\frac{q}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by the Young inequality, one can get

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\mu}(z) &\geq \frac{1}{N} \|z\|^p - \frac{1}{N} \|z\|^p - C_0 \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right) \\ &= C_0 \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 = C_0(p, q, N, S, |\Omega|) > 0$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $\{z_n\} \subset W$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$, then $\{z_n\}$ is bounded in W .*

Proof. Suppose opposite, that $\|z_n\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$(4.1) \quad z_n^* = (u_n^*, v_n^*) = \frac{z_n}{\|z_n\|} = \left(\frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}, \frac{v_n}{\|v_n\|} \right),$$

$z_n^* \rightharpoonup z^* = (u^*, v^*)$ in W . This implies that $u_n^* \rightarrow u^*$, $v_n^* \rightarrow v^*$ strongly in $L^s(\Omega)$ for all $1 \leq s < p^*$ and

$$(4.2) \quad K_{\lambda,\mu}(z_n^*) = K_{\lambda,\mu}(z^*) + o(1).$$

Now, since $\{z_n\} \subset W$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $\|z_n\| \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\|z_n^*\|^p}{p} - \frac{\|z_n\|^{q-p}}{q} K_{\lambda,\mu}(z_n^*) - \frac{\|z_n\|^{p^*-p}}{p^*} L(z_n^*) = o(1),$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \|z_n^*\|^p - \|z_n\|^{q-p} K_{\lambda,\mu}(z_n^*) - \|z_n\|^{p^*-p} L(z_n^*) = o(1).$$

From (4.2) and (4.4), one can get

$$(4.5) \quad \|z_n^*\|^p = \frac{p(p^* - q)}{q(p^* - p)} \|z\|^{q-p} K_{\lambda,\mu}(z_n^*) + o(1).$$

Since $1 < q < p$ and $\|z_n\| \rightarrow \infty$, (4.5) implies that $\|z_n^*\|^p \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which contradict $\|z_n^*\|^p = 1$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let*

$$C_{\lambda,\mu} = \frac{1}{N} (S_{\alpha,\beta})^{\frac{N}{p}} - C_0 \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right),$$

where C_0 is the positive constant given in Lemma 4.1, then $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition with $c \in (-\infty, C_{\lambda,\mu})$.

Proof. Let $\{z_n\} \subset W$ be a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ with $c \in (-\infty, C_{\lambda,\mu})$. By Lemma 4.2 we have that $\{z_n\}$ is bounded in W . This implies that $z_n \rightharpoonup z$ up to a subsequence, when z is a critical point of $J_{\lambda,\mu}$. Furthermore we may assume

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u, v_n \rightharpoonup v & \text{in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_n \rightarrow u, v_n \rightarrow v & \text{a.e on } \Omega, \\ u_n \rightarrow u, v_n \rightarrow v & \text{in } L^s(\Omega) (1 \leq s < p^*). \end{cases}$$

Hence we have $J'_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = 0$ and

$$(4.7) \quad K_{\lambda,\mu}(z_n) = K_{\lambda,\mu}(z) + o(1).$$

Let $\tilde{u}_n = u_n - u$, $\tilde{v}_n = v_n - v$, and $\tilde{z}_n = (\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)$. Then by Remark 3.2 (see (3.1)), we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \|\tilde{z}_n\|^p = \|z_n\|^p - \|z\|^p + o(1),$$

and by an argument of [12], Lemma 2.1

$$(4.9) \quad L(\tilde{z}_n) = L(z_n) - L(z) + o(1).$$

Since $J_{\lambda,\mu}(z_n) = c + o(1)$ and (4.7) and (4.9), we deduce that

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{1}{p} \|\tilde{z}_n\|^p - \frac{1}{p^*} L(\tilde{z}_n) = c - J_{\lambda,\mu}(z) + o(1),$$

and

$$\|\tilde{z}_n\|^p - L(\tilde{z}_n) = o(1).$$

Thus, we may assume that

$$(4.11) \quad \|\tilde{z}_n\|^p \rightarrow h, \quad L(\tilde{z}_n) \rightarrow h.$$

Assume that $h > 0$; by the definition of $S_{\alpha,\beta}$ and (4.11), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\alpha,\beta} h^{\frac{p}{p^*}} &= S_{\alpha,\beta} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(\tilde{z}_n)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \\ &\leq \|\tilde{z}_n\|^p = h, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $h \geq (S_{\alpha,\beta})^{\frac{N}{p}}$. By (4.10) and (4.11), we have

$$c = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) h + J_{\lambda,\mu}(z),$$

then by Lemma 4.1, we get

$$c \geq \frac{1}{N} (S_{\alpha,\beta})^{\frac{N}{p}} - C_0 \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right) = C_{\lambda,\mu},$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $h = 0$; that is $z_n \rightarrow z$ strongly in W . \square

Next, we will establish the existence of a local minimum for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ on $N_{\lambda,\mu}^-$ to obtain a second positive solution of system (1.1). We point the following fact as a remark that will use in the next lemma.

Remark 4.4. Let $A, B > 0$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{t^p}{p} A - \frac{t^{\alpha+\beta}}{\alpha+\beta} B \right) &= \frac{1}{N} A \left(\frac{A}{B} \right)^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} A \left(\frac{A}{B^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} \right)^{\frac{N}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Precisely, setting

$$f(t) = \frac{t^p}{p} A - \frac{t^{\alpha+\beta}}{\alpha+\beta} B,$$

we have $f'(t) = t^{p-1} A - t^{\alpha+\beta-1} B$, the result obtains by an easy calculation.

Lemma 4.5. *There exist a nonnegative function $z \in W \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ and $\Lambda^* > 0$ such that for $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda^*}$, we have*

$$(4.12) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,\mu}(tz) < c_{\lambda,\mu},$$

where $c_{\lambda,\mu}$ is the constant given in Lemma 4.3. In particular, $\theta_{\lambda,\mu}^- < c_{\lambda,\mu}$, for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda^*}$.

Proof. Since $0 \in \Omega$, we know that there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that $B^N(0, 2\rho_0) \subset \Omega$. Now, we consider the functional $I : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$I(z) = \frac{1}{p} \|z\|^p - \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta} L(z),$$

for all $z \in W$, and define a cut-off function $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| < \rho_0, \\ 0 & |x| > 2\rho_0, \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $|\nabla\eta| \leq C$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$(4.13) \quad u_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\eta(x)}{(\varepsilon + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{N-p}{p}}}.$$

Step 1. We show that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda, \mu}(tz_0) \leq \frac{1}{N} S_{\alpha, \beta}^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}).$$

From [13] (Lemma 4.3), we have

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} &= \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(\varepsilon), \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx &= \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} |\nabla U|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(1), \\ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} &= S + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U(x) = \left(1 + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Set $u_0 = \sqrt[p]{\alpha} u_\varepsilon$, $v_0 = \sqrt[p]{\beta} u_\varepsilon$ and $z_0 \in W$. Then from Remark 4.4, (2.4) and (4.14), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda, \mu}(tz_0) &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx}{\left(\alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} \right)^{\frac{N}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} + \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}} \right)^{\frac{N}{p}} \left(S + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right) \right)^{\frac{N}{p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} + \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}} \right)^{\frac{N}{p}} \left(S^{\frac{N}{p}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} (S_{\alpha, \beta})^{\frac{N}{p}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. We claim that if we set $\varepsilon = \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}}\right)^{\frac{p}{N-p}}$, then there exists $\Lambda^* > 0$, such that for $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda^*}$ we have $\sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda, \mu}(tz) < C_{\lambda, \mu}$.

Let C_0 be the positive constant given in Lemma 4.1. We can choose $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\delta_1}$, we have

$$C_{\lambda, \mu} = \frac{1}{N} (S_{\alpha, \beta})^{\frac{N}{p}} - C_0 \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}}\right) > 0.$$

Using the definition of $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ and z_0 , we get

$$J_{\lambda, \mu}(tz_0) \leq \frac{t^p}{p} \|z_0\|^p = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{p} t^p |\nabla u_\varepsilon|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \lambda, \mu > 0,$$

which implies that there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ satisfying

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} J_{\lambda, \mu}(tz_0) < C_{\lambda, \mu}, \quad \forall (\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\delta_1}.$$

Using the definition of $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ and z_0 and by $\alpha, \beta > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq t_0} J_{\lambda, \mu}(tz_0) &= \sup_{t \geq t_0} \left(I(tz_0) - \frac{t^q}{q} K_{\lambda, \mu}(z_0) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} (S_{\alpha, \beta})^{\frac{N}{p}} + 0 \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) - \frac{t_0^q}{q} \left(\alpha^{\frac{q}{p}} \lambda + \beta^{\frac{q}{p}} \mu \right) \int_{B^N(0, \rho_0)} |u_\varepsilon|^q dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} (S_{\alpha, \beta})^{\frac{N}{p}} + 0 \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) - \frac{t_0^q}{q} (\lambda + \mu) \int_{B^N(0, \rho_0)} |u_\varepsilon|^q dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq \rho_0^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$, by (4.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B^N(0, \rho_0)} |u_\varepsilon|^q dx &= \int_{B^N(0, \rho_0)} \frac{1}{\left(\varepsilon + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{q \frac{N-p}{p}}} dx \\ &\geq \int_{B^N(0, \rho_0)} \frac{1}{\left(2\rho_0^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{q \frac{N-p}{p}}} dx \\ &= C_1 \\ &= C_1(N, p, q, \rho_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then for all $\varepsilon = \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}}\right)^{\frac{p}{N-p}} \in (0, \rho_0^{\frac{p}{p-1}})$, one can get

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} J_{\lambda, \mu}(tz_0) \leq \frac{1}{N} (S_{\alpha, \beta})^{\frac{N}{p}} + 0 \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}}\right) - \frac{t_0^q}{q} C_1 (\lambda + \mu).$$

Hence, we can choose $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\delta_2}$, we have

$$0 \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}}\right) - \frac{t_0^q}{q} C_1 (\lambda + \mu) < C_0 \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}}\right).$$

If we set $\Lambda^* = \min \left\{ \delta_1, \rho_0^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \delta_2 \right\}$ and $\varepsilon = \left(\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{p}{N-p}}$, then for $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda^*}$, we have

$$(4.15) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda, \mu}(tz_0) < C_{\lambda, \mu}.$$

Step 3. We prove that $\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^- < C_{\lambda, \mu}$ for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda^*}$.

By the definition of z_0 and u_ε , we have

$$L(z_0) > 0, \quad K_{\lambda, \mu}(z_0) > 0.$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.5(ii), from the definition of $\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^-$, we obtain that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $t_0 z_0 \in N_{\lambda, \mu}^-$ and

$$\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^- \leq J_{\lambda, \mu}(t_0 z_0) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda, \mu}(tz_0) < C_{\lambda, \mu},$$

for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda^*}$. \square

Theorem 4.6. *If $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_2}$, then $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ has a minimizer z_0^- in $N_{\lambda, \mu}^-$ which satisfies the following*

- (i) $J_{\lambda, \mu}(z_0^-) = \theta_{\lambda, \mu}^-$;
- (ii) z_0^- is a positive solution of (1.1),

where $\Lambda_2 = \min \{ \Lambda^*, \Lambda_0 \}$, Λ^* is the same as in Lemma 4.5.

Proof. If $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_0}$, then by Proposition 3.3, there exists a $(PS)_{\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^-}$ -sequence $\{z_n\} \subset N_{\lambda, \mu}^-$ in W for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$. From Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5 and Theorem 2.4 (ii), for $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda^*}$, $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ satisfies $(PS)_{\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^-}$ condition and $\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^- \in (0, C_{\lambda, \mu})$. By Lemma 2.1 and from coercivity of $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $N_{\lambda, \mu}$, we get that $\{z_n\}$ is bounded in W . Therefore, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\{z_n\}$ and a nontrivial solution $z_0^- \in N_{\lambda, \mu}^-$ such that $z_n \rightharpoonup z_0^-$ weakly in W . Finally by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_2}$, we have that z_0^- is a positive solution of (1.1). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Theorems 3.4 and 4.6, we obtain that for all $\lambda, \mu > 0$ and $0 < \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} + \mu^{\frac{p}{p-q}} < \Lambda_2 < \Lambda_1$ (or $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Theta_{\Lambda_2}$), (1.1) has two positive solutions z_0^+, z_0^- with $z_0^\pm \in N_{\lambda, \mu}^\pm$. Since $N_{\lambda, \mu}^+ \cap N_{\lambda, \mu}^- = \emptyset$, this implies that z_0^+ and z_0^- are distinct. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

1. G.A. Afrouzi and S.H. Rasouli, *A remark on the existence of multiple solutions to a multiparameter nonlinear elliptic system*, Nonlin. Anal., 71 (2009), pp. 445-455.
2. A. Ambrosetti, H. Brézis, and G. Cerami, *Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems*, J. Funct. Anal., 122 (1994), pp.519-543.
3. A. Ambrosetti, J. Garcia-Azorero, and I. Peral, *Multiplicity results for some nonlinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal., 137 (1996), pp. 219-242.
4. C.O. Alves, D.C. de Moraes Filho, and M.A.S. Souto, *On systems of elliptic equations involving subcritical or critical Sobolev exponents*, Nonlin. Anal., 42 (2000), pp. 771-787.
5. G. Azorero and I. Peral, *Some results about the existence of a second positive solution in a quasilinear critical problem*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 43 (1994), pp. 941-957.
6. T. Barstch and M. Willem, *On a elliptic equation with concave and convex nonlinearities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 123 (1995), pp. 3555-3561.
7. P.A. Binding, P. Drabek, and Y.X. Huang, *On Neumann boundary value problems for some quasilinear elliptic equations*, Electron J. Differ. Eqs, 5 (1997), pp. 1-11.
8. H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 36 (1983), pp. 437-477.
9. H. Brézis and E. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 88 (1983), pp. 486-490.
10. K.J. Brown and Y. Zhang, *The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function*, J. Differ. Eq.s, 193 (2003), pp. 481-499.
11. K.J. Brown and T.F. Wu, *A semilinear elliptic system involving nonlinear boundary condition and sign-changing weight function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 337 (2008), pp. 1326-1336.
12. P. Han, *The effect of the domain topology on the number of positive solutions of elliptic systems involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Houston J. Math., 32 (2006), pp. 1241-1257.
13. T.S. Hsu, *Multiple positive solutions for a critical quasilinear elliptic system with concave-convex nonlinearities*, Nonlin. Anal., 71 (2009), pp. 2688-2698.
14. T.S. Hsu, *Multiplicity results for P -Laplacian with critical nonlinearity of concave-convex type and sign-changing weight functions*, Abs. and Appl. Anal. Article ID 652109, 24 pages, 2009.
15. G. Tarantello, *On nonhomogeneous elliptic involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 9 (1992), pp. 281-304.
16. T.F. Wu, *The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic system involving sign-changing weight functions*, Nonlin. Anal., 68 (2008), pp. 1733-1745.
17. T.F. Wu, *On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 318 (2006), pp. 253-270.

¹ DEPARTMENT OF BASIC SCIENCES, BABOL NOUSHIRVANI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, 47148-71167, BABOL, IRAN.

E-mail address: s.khademloo@nit.ac.ir

² DEPARTMENT OF BASIC SCIENCES, BABOL NOUSHIRVANI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, 47148-71167, BABOL, IRAN.

E-mail address: s.khanjany@gmail.com