Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis (SCMA) Vol. 17 No. 2 (2020), 37-53 http://scma.maragheh.ac.ir DOI: 10.22130/scma.2018.86797.440

# Some Fixed Point Theorems in Generalized Metric Spaces Endowed with Vector-valued Metrics and Application in Linear and Nonlinear Matrix Equations

#### Hasan Hosseinzadeh

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a partially ordered set and d be a generalized metric on  $\mathcal{X}$ . We obtain some results in coupled and coupled coincidence of g-monotone functions on  $\mathcal{X}$ , where g is a function from  $\mathcal{X}$  into itself. Moreover, we show that a nonexpansive mapping on a partially ordered Hilbert space has a fixed point lying in the unit ball of the Hilbert space. Some applications for linear and nonlinear matrix equations are given.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(\mathcal{V}, \preceq)$  be an ordered Banach space. The cone  $\mathcal{V}_+ = \{v \in \mathcal{V} : \theta \preceq v\}$ , where  $\theta$  is the zero-vector of  $\mathcal{V}$ , satisfies the usual properties

- (i)  $\mathcal{V}_+ \cap -\mathcal{V}_+ = \{\theta\};$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{V}_+ + \mathcal{V}_+ \subset \mathcal{V}_+;$
- (iii)  $\alpha \mathcal{V}_+ \subset \mathcal{V}_+$ , for  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a nonempty set. A mapping  $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{V}$  is called a vector-valued metric on X, if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i)  $d(x,y) \succeq \theta$  for each  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , if  $d(x,y) = \theta$ , then x = y;
- (ii) d(x,y) = d(y,x) for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ ;
- (iii)  $d(x,y) \preceq d(x,z) + d(z,y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ .

The pair  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  is called the vector-valued metric space. Similarly, we can define a generalized normed space.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 34B24, 34B27.

Key words and phrases. Fixed points, Coupled fixed point, Coupled coincidence fixed Point, Generalized metric.

Received: 24 May 2018, Accepted: 30 July 2018.

Corresponding author.

#### H. HOSSEINZADEH

A set  $\mathcal{X}$  equipped with a vector-valued metric d is called a generalized metric space and denoted by  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$ . By  $M_{m,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , we mean the set of all  $m \times m$  matrixes with positive elements. We denote by I the identity  $m \times m$  matrix. Let  $A \in M_{m,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , A is said to be convergent to zero if and only if  $A^n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  (for more details see [10]).

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $\alpha \leq \beta$  (resp.  $\alpha < \beta$ ) means  $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$  (resp.  $\alpha_i < \beta_i$ ) for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and also  $\alpha \leq c$  (resp.  $\alpha < c$ ) means  $\alpha_i \leq c$  (resp.  $\alpha_i < c$ ) for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , respectively. We can define addition and multiplication on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  as follows:

$$\alpha + \beta = (\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_m + \beta_m),$$

and

$$\alpha \cdot \beta = (\alpha_1 \beta_1, \alpha_2 \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_m \beta_m).$$

In this paper, we need the following equivalent statements:

- (i) A is convergent towards zero;
- (ii)  $A^n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ ;
- (iii) The eigenvalues of A are located in the open unit disc, that is,  $|\lambda| < 1$ , for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ ;
- (iv) The matrix I A is nonsingular and

$$(I - A)^{-1} = I + A + \dots + A^n + \dots;$$

(v)  $A^n q^T \to 0$  and  $qA^n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , for each  $q \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $q^T$  is the transpose of q.

The above statements are the classical results in matrix analysis (for more details see [1, 5, 9]). Denote , by  $\mathcal{ZM}$  the set of all matrices  $A \in M_{m,m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  such that  $A^n$  converges to zero. Let  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  be a generalized metric space and let  $T : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be a mapping. For a given  $A \in \mathcal{ZM}$ , we call the function mapping T is an A-nonexpansive if  $d(T(x), T(y)) \leq Ad(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and T to be said to be  $\mathcal{ZM}$ -nonexpansive if for any B in  $\mathcal{ZM}$ , T is a B-nonexpansive function.

Clearly, if  $A \in \mathcal{ZM}$ , then there exists a norm ||.|| such that ||A|| < 1, so every  $\mathcal{ZM}$ -nonexpansive operator is nonexpansive, but the converse is not true, in general.

Fixed point theorems on spaces endowed with vector-valued metrics considered by Filip and Petruşel in [3] and some new results around this notion are obtained in [4].

**Definition 1.1** ([2]). Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and let F:  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ . The mapping F is said to be has the *mixed monotone property* if F(x, y) is monotone nondecreasing in x and is monotone nonincreasing in y, that is, for every  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

(i) for each  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ , if  $x_1 \preceq x_2$ , then  $F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y)$ ;

(ii) for each  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ , if  $y_1 \leq y_2$ , then  $F(x, y_1) \succeq F(x, y_2)$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and d be a metric on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  is a complete metric space. The product space  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  is endowed with the following partial order:

 $\text{for}, \qquad \left(x,y\right), \left(u,v\right) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, \qquad \left(u,v\right) \leq \left(x,y\right) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x \geq u, y \leq v.$ 

**Definition 1.2** ([2]). Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and let  $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be a mapping. An element  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  is said to be a coupled fixed point of the mapping F, if F(x, y) = x and F(y, x) = y.

**Definition 1.3.** An element  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  is called

- (i) a coupled coincidence point of mappings  $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  and  $g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  if g(x) = F(x, y) and g(y) = F(y, x), and (gx, gy) is called a coupled point of coincidence.
- (ii) a common coupled fixed point of mappings  $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  and  $g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  if x = g(x) = F(x, y) and y = g(y) = F(y, x).

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  and  $g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be two self mappings. We say F has the mixed g-monotone property if F is monotone g-non-decreasing in its first argument and is monotone g-non-increasing in its second argument, that is, for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $gx_1 \preceq gx_2$  implies  $F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y)$  for any  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ , and for all  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $gy_1 \succeq gy_2$  implies  $F(x, y_1) \preceq F(x, y_2)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a non-empty set. We say that the mappings  $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  and  $g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  are commutative if g(F(x,y)) = F(gx,gy), for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham in [2], studied the existence of coupled fixed points for continuous mapping with the mixed monotone property  $F: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ , where  $(\mathcal{X}, \preceq)$  is a partially ordered set. The existence of coupled fixed point for a mapping with the mixed monotone property  $F: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ , where  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  is a complete generalized metric space, is considered in [7].

In this paper, we consider the existence and uniqueness of coupled fixed points for mappings  $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ , under some contractive conditions, where  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  is a complete generalized metric space.

## 2. Main Results

We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies in condition (NDI) if  $\mathcal{X}$  has the following properties:

(i) if a non-decreasing sequence  $x_n \to x$ , then  $x_n \preceq x$  for all n.

(ii) if a non-increasing sequence  $x_n \to x$ , then  $x \preceq x_n$  for all n.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set,  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  be a complete generalized metric space which satisfies the condition (NDI), and for all  $x, y, u, v \in \mathcal{X}$ , and let  $g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  with  $gx \preceq gu$  and  $gv \preceq gy$ . Suppose that  $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  satisfies the following condition

$$(2.1) d(F(x,y),F(u,v)) \le Ad(gx,gu) + Bd(gy,gv),$$

where  $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij})$  are in  $M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{R}^+), (A + B) \in \mathcal{ZM}, A$  and B are nonzero matrices in  $\mathcal{ZM}$ . Furthermore, assume that F and g satisfy the following conditions

- (i)  $F(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}) \subset g(\mathcal{X}),$
- (ii)  $g(\mathcal{X})$  is a complete subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$ ,
- (iii) F satisfies the mixed g-monotone property.

If there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $g(x_0) \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $F(y_0, x_0) \preceq g(y_0)$ , then F and g has a unique coupled coincidence fixed point.

Proof. Let  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  be such that  $gx_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $F(y_0, x_0) \leq gy_0$ . Since  $F(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}) \subset g(\mathcal{X})$ , we can choose  $x_2, y_2 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $gx_2 = F(x_1, y_1)$  and  $gy_2 = F(y_1, x_1)$ . Since F satisfying the mixed g-monotone property, we have  $gx_0 \leq gx_1 \leq gx_2$  and  $gy_2 \leq gy_1 \leq gy_0$ . By continuing this process, we can construct two sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $gx_n = F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \leq gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$  and  $gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n) \leq gy_n = F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1})$ . Further, for n = 1, 2, ..., by (2.1), we have

$$d(gx_{n}, gx_{n+1}) = d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(x_{n}, y_{n}))$$
  
$$\leq Ad(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n}) + Bd(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n}),$$

and similarly,

$$d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) = d(F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), F(y_n, x_n))$$
  

$$\leq Ad(gy_{n-1}, gy_n) + Bd(gx_{n-1}, gx_n).$$

Therefore, by letting  $d_n = d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gy_n, gy_{n+1})$ , we have

$$d_{n} = d$$

$$\leq f(gx_{n}, gx_{n+1}) + d(gy_{n}, gy_{n+1})$$

$$\leq Ad(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n}) + Bd(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n})$$

$$+ Ad(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n}) + Bd(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n})$$

$$\leq (A + B) (d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n}) + d(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n}))$$

$$\leq (A + B) d_{n-1}.$$

If we set C = A + B, then for all  $n \in N$ , we have

(2.2) 
$$0 \le d_n \le Cd_{n-1} \le C^2 d_{n-2} \le \dots \le C^n d_0.$$

If  $d_0 = 0$  then  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a coupled fixed point of F. Now, let  $d_0 > \theta$ . For each  $n \ge m$ , we have

$$d(gx_n, gx_m) \le d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2}) + \dots + d(gx_{m-1}, gx_m),$$

and

$$d(gy_n, gy_m) \le d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}) + d(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n-2}) + \dots + d(gy_{m-1}, gy_m).$$

We have

$$d(gx_n, gx_m) + d(gy_n, gy_m) \le d_{n-1} + d_{n-2} + d_{n-3} + \dots + d_m$$
  
$$\le (C^{n-1} + C^{n-2} + \dots + C^m) d_0$$
  
$$\le (C^{n-1} + C^{n-2} + \dots + C^m + \dots) d_0$$
  
$$\le C^m (I - C)^{-1} d_0.$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \le (A+B)^n (d(gx_0, gx_1) + d(gy_0, gy_1))$$

and

$$d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \le (A+B)^n \left( d(gx_0, gx_1) + d(gy_0, gy_1) \right)$$

Let  $m, n \in N$  with m > n. Since

$$d\left(gx_n, gx_m\right) \le \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d\left(gx_i, gx_{i+1}\right),$$

thus,

$$d(gx_n, gx_m) \le (I - A - B)^{-1} (A + B)^n \left( d(gx_0, gx_1) + d(gy_0, gy_1) \right),$$

which implies that  $\{gx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $g(\mathcal{X})$ , and similarly  $\{gy_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $g(\mathcal{X})$ . Since  $g(\mathcal{X})$  is a complete metric space, there exist  $gx, gy \in g(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = gx$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} gy_n = gy$ . Also

$$d(F(x,y),gx) \le d(F(x,y),gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1},gx) = d(F(x,y),F(x_n,y_n) + d(gx_{n+1},gx)) \le Ad(gx_n,gx) + Bd(gy_n,gy) + d(gx_{n+1},gx).$$

Therefore,  $d(F(x, y), gx) = \theta$ , and so F(x, y) = gx. Similarly, F(y, x) = gy, that is (gx, gy) is a coupled coincidence fixed point of F and g. Now, if (gx', gy') is another coupled coincidence fixed point of F and g, then

$$d(gx',gx) = d(F(x',y'),F(x,y)) \le Ad(gx',gx) + Bd(gy',gy)$$

and

$$d(gy',gy) = d(F(y',x'),F(y,x)) \le Ad(gy',gy) + Bd(gx',gx).$$

Then

$$d(gx',gx) + d(gy',gy) \le (A+B)d(gx',gx) + d(gy',gy).$$

It follows that  $d(gx', gx) + d(gy', gy)(I - C) \le \theta$ . Since  $C \ne I$ ,(2.8) implies that  $d(gx', gx) + d(gy', gy) = \theta$ . Hence, we have (gx', gy') = (gx, gy).

It is a worth notice that when the matrices A and B in Theorem 2.1 are equal, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  be a complete generalized metric space which satisfies condition (NDI), and for all  $x, y, u, v \in \mathcal{X}, F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  and  $g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  with  $gx \preceq gu, gv \preceq gy$  the following condition is satisfied:

(2.3) 
$$d\left(F\left(x,y\right),F\left(u,v\right)\right) \leq \frac{A}{2}\left[d\left(gx,gu\right) + d\left(gy,gv\right)\right],$$

such that  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , is a nonzero matrix in  $\mathcal{ZM}$  convergese to zero. Let F and g satisfy the following conditions

- (i)  $F(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}) \subset g(\mathcal{X}),$
- (ii)  $g(\mathcal{X})$  is a complete subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$ , and
- (iii) F has the mixed g-monotone property.

If there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $g(x_0) \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $F(y_0, x_0) \preceq g(y_0)$ , then F and g have a unique coupled coincidence fixed point.

*Proof.* In Theorem 2.1, take  $A = B = \frac{A}{2}$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  be a complete generalized metric space that satisfies the condition (NDI), and for all  $x, y, u, v \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  with the following condition:

(2.4) 
$$d(F(x,y),F(u,v)) \le \frac{A}{2} [d(x,u) + d(y,v)],$$

where  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{m \times m} (\mathbb{R}^+)$ , is a nonzero matrix in  $\mathbb{ZM}$ . Also, it is satisfied for some comparable pairs  $x \leq u, v \leq y$  and F has the mixed monotone property, If there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$ and  $F(y_0, x_0) \leq y_0$ , then there exist  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  such that x = F(x, y) and y = F(y, x).

*Proof.* It follows from Corollary 2.2 by taking g = identity map.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = [0,1] \times [0,1]$ . Define  $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}^2$  with

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (|x_1 - x_2|, |y_1 - y_2|).$$

Then  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  is a complete generalized metric space. Consider the mapping  $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  with  $F(U, V) = \left(\frac{x+u}{3}, \frac{y+v}{3}\right)$ , where U = (x, y), V = (u, v). Then F satisfies the contractive condition (2.4), for  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,

(2.5) 
$$d(F(x,y),F(u,v)) \le \frac{A}{2} [d(x,u) + d(y,v)].$$

Therefore, by Corollary 2.3, F has a unique coupled fixed point, which in this case is (0, 0).

Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a real Hilbert space, and let  $T : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be a nonexpansive potential operator such that there is a functional  $J : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  with J(0) = 0 and J' = T. Consider the measure space  $(\Omega, \mu)$   $(\Omega = [0, 1])$ such that  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ , and consider  $L^2(\Omega, X)$  that is consists of all  $\mu$ strongly measurable functions  $u : \Omega \to \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\int_{\Omega} \|u(t)\|^2 d\mu < \infty$ with  $L^2$ -norm. For r > 0, define  $B_r = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \|x\| \leq r\}$  and  $S_r = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \|x\| = r\}$ . An interesting question that arises here is: when a fixed point of T lies in the interior of  $B_r$ ? Ricceri answered this question in [8].

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a partially ordered real Hilbert space with (NDI) property and with generalized norm, let  $T : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  be an A/2-nonexpansive potential operator and  $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  such that F(x,y) = T(x). If there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $x_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $F(y_0, x_0) \preceq y_0$ , then T has a fixed point x lying in the interior of  $B_r$ and (x, x) is a coupled fixed point of F.

*Proof.* Since T is A/2-nonexpansive, so F satisfies (2.4) and T has a unique fixed point x lying in  $B_r$  (see [5] or [3, Theorem 1.3]). Thus Corollary 2.3 implies that F has a coupled fixed point  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  such that x = F(x, y) and y = F(y, x). The uniqueness of fixed point for T caused that x = y.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set,  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  be a complete generalized metric space, and for all  $x, y, u, v \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to X$  and  $g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  with  $gx \preceq gu$  and  $gv \preceq gy$ , satisfy the following condition

$$(2.6) d(F(x,y),F(u,v)) \le Ad(gx,gu) + Bd(gy,gv),$$

where  $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}) \in M_{m \times m} (\mathbb{R}^+), ||A+B|| < 1$  where A and B are nonzero matrices in  $\mathcal{ZM}$ . Suppose that F has the mixed g-monotone property,  $F(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}) \subset g(\mathcal{X}), g$  is continuous and g commutes with F. Also assume that F is continuous or  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies in condition (NDI). If there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $F(y_0, x_0) \preceq gy_0$ , then F and g have a coupled coincidence point. *Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can construct two Cauchy sequences  $(gx_n)$  and  $(gy_n)$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Since  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  is complete, there exist  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $(gx_{n+1})$  converges to x and  $(gy_{n+1})$  converges to y. Since g is continuous, we have  $(ggx_{n+1})$  converges to gx and  $(ggy_{n+1})$  converges to gy. But

$$ggx_{n+1} = g\left(F\left(x_n, y_n\right)\right) = F\left(gx_n, gy_n\right),$$

and

$$ggy_{n+1} = g\left(F\left(y_n, x_n\right)\right) = F\left(gy_n, gx_n\right).$$

We complete the proof in two cases: (1) Suppose that F is continuous, then we have  $(F(gx_n, gy_n))$  converges to F(x, y) and  $(F(gy_n, gx_n))$  converges to F(y, x). Thus  $(ggx_{n+1})$  converges to F(x, y) and  $(ggy_{n+1})$  converges to F(y, x). Therefore,

$$d(ggx_{n+1}, gx) \to \theta, \qquad d(ggx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) \to \theta.$$

It follows that

$$d\left(gx,F\left(x,y\right)\right) \leq d\left(gx,ggx_{n+1}\right) + d\left(ggx_{n+1},F\left(x,y\right)\right).$$

Therefore,  $d(gx, F(x, y)) = \theta$  and gx = F(x, y). Similarly, gy = F(y, x). Hence, (x, y) is a coincidence coupled point of F and g.

(2) Suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies the condition (NDI). Then  $gx_n \preceq x$  and  $y \preceq gy_n$  for all  $n \in N$ . Hence

$$d(ggx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) = d(F(gx_n, gy_n), F(x, y))$$
  
$$\leq Ad(ggx_n, gx) + Bd(ggy_n, gy).$$

Since  $(ggx_n)$  converges to gx and  $(ggy_n)$  converges to y, we get  $(ggx_n)$  converges to F(x, y). Similarly,  $(ggy_n)$  converges to F(y, x). By similar arguments as above, one can show that gx = F(x, y) and gy = F(y, x). Thus, the pair (x, y) is a coupled coincidence point of F and g.

**Corollary 2.7.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set,  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  be a complete generalized metric space, and, for all  $x, y, u, v \in \mathcal{X}, F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ and  $g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  with  $gx \preceq gu$  and  $gv \preceq gy$  satisfy the following condition

$$(2.7) d\left(F\left(x,y\right),F\left(u,v\right)\right) \le A\left[d\left(gx,gu\right) + d\left(gy,gv\right)\right],$$

such that  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , where A is a nonzero matrix in  $\mathcal{ZM}$ . Suppose that F has the mixed g-monotone property,  $F(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}) \subset g(\mathcal{X})$ , g is continuous and g commutes with F. Also, assume that either F is continuous or  $\mathcal{X}$  has the condition (NDI).

If there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $F(y_0, x_0) \preceq gy_0$ , then F and g have a coupled coincidence point.

*Proof.* In Theorem 2.6, take 
$$A = B = \frac{A}{2}$$
.

**Theorem 2.8.** In addition to the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, suppose that for every  $(x, y), (x^*, y^*) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ , there exists  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ such that (F(u, v), F(v, u)) is comparable to (F(x, y), F(y, x)) and  $(F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))$ .

If (x, y) and  $(x^*, y^*)$  are coupled coincidence points of F and g, then  $F(x, y) = gx = gx^* = F(x^*, y^*)$  and  $F(y, x) = gy = gy^* = F(y^*, x^*)$ . Moreover, if F and g commutes, then F and g have a unique common fixed point, that is, there exists a unique pair  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  such that x = gx = F(x, y) and y = gy = F(y, x).

*Proof.* Following the proof of Theorem 2.1, there exists  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  such that F(x, y) = gx = p and F(y, x) = gy = q. Thus the existence of a coupled coincidence point is confirmed. Now, let  $(x^*, y^*)$  be another coincidence point of F and g; that is,  $F(x^*, y^*) = gx^*$  and  $F(y^*, x^*) = gy^*$ . By the additional assumption, there is  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  such that (F(u, v), F(v, u)) is comparable to (F(x, y), F(y, x)) and  $(F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))$ .

Let  $u_0 = u, v_0 = v, x_0 = x, y_0 = y, x_0^* = x^*$  and  $y_0^* = y^*$ . Since  $F(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}) \subseteq gX$ , we can construct the sequences  $(gu_n), (gv_n), (gx_n), (gy_n), (gx_n^*)$ , and  $(gy_n^*)$ , such that  $gu_{n+1} = F(u_n, v_n), gv_{n+1} = F(v_n, u_n), gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n), gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n), gx_{n+1}^* = F(x_n^*, y_n^*)$  and  $gy_{n+1}^* = F(y_n^*, x_n^*)$ . Since

$$(gx, gy) = (F(x, y), F(y, x)) = (gx_1, gy_1),$$

and

$$(F(u,v), F(v,u)) = (gu_1, gv_1),$$

are comparable, then  $gx \leq gu_1$  and  $gv_1 \leq gy$ . One can show that  $gx \leq gu_n$ , and  $gv_n \leq gy$  for all  $n \in N$ . From

 $d\left(gx,gu_{n+1}\right)=d\left(F\left(x,y\right),F\left(u_{n},v_{n}\right)\right)\leq Ad\left(gx,gu_{n}\right)+Bd\left(gy,gv_{n}\right),$  and

$$d(gy, gv_{n+1}) = d(F(v_n, u_n), F(y, x)) \le Ad(gv_n, gy) + Bd(gu_n, gx),$$

we have

$$d(gx, gu_{n+1}) + d(gy, gv_{n+1}) \le (A+B) (d(gx, gu_n) + d(gy, gv_n)).$$

Since

$$d(gx, gu_{n+1}) \le d(gx, gu_{n+1}) + d(gy, gv_{n+1}),$$

we have

$$d(gu_{n+1}, gx) \le (A+B) (d(gx, gu_n) + d(gy, gv_n))$$
  
$$\le (A+B)^2 (d(gx, gu_{n-1}) + d(gy, gv_{n-1}))$$

$$\leq \left(A+B\right)^{n+1} \left(d\left(gx,gu\right)+d\left(gy,gv\right)\right).$$

Thus,  $gu_{n+1}$  converges to gx in  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$ . Similarly, we may show that  $gv_{n+1}$  converges to gy in  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$ . Analogously, we can show that  $gu_{n+1}$  converges to  $gx^*$  and  $gv_{n+1}$  converges to  $gy^*$  in  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$ . Since  $(gu_{n+1})$  converges to gx and  $gx^*$ , we get  $gx = gx^*$ . Also, since  $(gv_{n+1})$  converges to gy and  $gy^*$ , we get  $gy = gy^*$ . Thus, if (x, y) and  $(x^*, y^*)$  are coupled coincidence points of F and g, then

$$F(x, y) = gx = gx^* = F(x^*, y^*)$$

and

$$F(y,x) = gy = gy^* = F(y^*,x^*).$$

Assume that F and g commute, then

:

$$gp = g\left(gx\right) = g\left(F\left(x,y\right)\right) = F\left(gx,gy\right) = F\left(p,q\right),$$

and

$$gq = g(gy) = g(F(y, x)) = F(gy, gx) = F(q, p)$$

Hence, the pair (p,q) is also a coupled coincidence point of F and g. Thus, we have gp = gx and gq = gy. Hence gp = p and gq = q. Therefore p = gp = F(p,q) and q = gq = F(q,p).

Thus (p,q) is a coupled common fixed point of F and g. To prove the uniqueness, let (s,t) be any coupled common fixed point of F and g. Then s = gs = F(s,t) and t = gt = F(t,s).

Since the pair (s, t) is a coupled coincidence point of F and g, we have gs = gx and gt = gy. Thus s = gs = gp = p and t = gt = gq = q. This shows that the coupled fixed point is unique.

### 3. Application in Linear Matrix Equations

Consider the linear matrix equations of the type

(3.1) 
$$X - A_1^* X A_1 - \dots - A_m^* X A_m = Q,$$

and

(3.2) 
$$X + A_1^* X A_1 + \dots + A_m^* X A_m = Q,$$

where Q is a positive definite matrix and  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  are arbitrary  $n \times n$ matrices. We denote the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices,  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices and  $n \times n$  positive definite matrices by M(n), H(n) and P(n), respectively. Clearly, we have the chain  $P(n) \subseteq H(n) \subseteq M(n)$ . Consider the spectral norm,  $||A|| = \sqrt{\lambda^+ (A^*A)}$  where  $\lambda^+ (A^*A)$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $A^*A$ . Define maps G and K on H(n) by

(3.3) 
$$G(X) = Q + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X A_i$$
,  $K(X) = Q - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X A_i$ .

The fixed points of G are solutions of (3.1) and the fixed points of K are solutions of (3.2). Fixed point theorems for these functions are studied in [6]. Now, we extend the above equations as follows:

(3.4) 
$$\begin{cases} X - A_1^* X A_1 - \dots - A_m^* X A_m = Q, \\ Y - B_1^* Y B_1 - \dots - B_t^* Y B_t = Q', \end{cases}$$

and

(3.5) 
$$\begin{cases} X + A_1^* X A_1 + \dots + A_m^* X A_m = Q, \\ Y + B_1^* Y B_1 + \dots + B_t^* Y B_t = Q', \end{cases}$$

where Q and Q' are positive definite matrices,  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  and  $B_1, \ldots, B_m$ are arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrices. Define maps  $F_1 : H(n) \times H(n) \times$ 

$$F_1(U,V) = \left(Q + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* (X + X') A_i, Q' + \sum_{i=1}^t B_i^* (Y + Y') B_i\right),$$

and

$$F_2(U,V) = \left(Q - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* (X + X') A_i, Q' - \sum_{i=1}^t B_i^* (Y + Y') B_i\right),$$

where U = (X, Y) and V = (X', Y'). We consider the trace norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$ on H(n) as  $\|A\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(A)$ , where  $s_i(A)$ 's are singular values of A. For  $Q \in P(n)$  we define  $\|A\|_{1,Q} = \|Q^{\frac{1}{2}}AQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_1$ . Then H(n) by this norm becomes a complete metric space for any positive definite Q. Let  $\trianglelefteq$  be a partially order on H(n), as defined in [6] (we use  $\trianglelefteq$  and  $\lhd$  instead of  $\leq$  and <, respectively, on H(n)). We consider the partial order on  $H(n) \times H(n)$  as follows

(3.8) 
$$(X,Y) \preceq (X',Y') \Leftrightarrow X \trianglelefteq X' \text{ and } Y \trianglelefteq Y'.$$

Similarly, we can extend this for  $H(n)^4$  as follows

(3.9) 
$$(U,V) \stackrel{\sim}{\preceq} (U',V') \Leftrightarrow U \preceq U' \text{ and } V \preceq V'.$$

We define  $\|\cdot\|_{(1,1),(Q,Q')}: H(n) \times H(n) \to \mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A,B)\|_{(1,1),(Q,Q')} &= \left(\|A\|_{1,Q}, \|B\|_{1,Q'}\right) \\ &= \left(\left\|Q^{\frac{1}{2}}AQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{1}, \left\|Q'^{\frac{1}{2}}BQ'^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $H(n) \times H(n)$  equipped with the above metric is a complete metric space for any positive definite Q and Q'.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $Q, Q' \in P(n)$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*}QA_{i} \leq Q, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i}^{*}Q'B_{i} \leq Q',$$
$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_{i}^{*}QA_{i}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\| < \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{t} Q'^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_i^* Q' B_i Q'^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then  $F_2$  has a unique coupled fixed point in H(n).

*Proof.* Let (U, V),  $(U', V') \in H(n)^4$  such that  $(U, V) \cong (U', V')$ , where  $U = (X_1, Y_1)$ ,  $V = (X'_1, Y'_1)$ ,  $U' = (X_2, Y_2)$ ,

and  $V' = (X'_2, Y'_2)$ . Take  $U_0 = (0, 0)$  and  $V_0 = (Q, Q')$ . Then

$$F_2(U_0, V_0) \preceq V_0, \qquad U_0 \preceq F_2(U_0, V_0).$$

Furthermore, for given  $U = (X_1, Y_1), V = (X'_1, Y'_1), U' = (X_2, Y_2)$  and  $V' = (X'_2, Y'_2)$ , we have  $\|F_2(U', V') - F_2(U, V)\|$ 

$$\begin{split} \|F_{2}\left(U',V'\right) - F_{2}\left(U,V\right)\|_{(1,1),(Q,Q')} \\ &= \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*}\left(X_{2} + X_{2}' - X_{1} - X_{1}'\right)A_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i}^{*}\left(Y_{2} + Y_{2}' - Y_{1} - Y_{1}'\right)B_{i} \right) \right\|_{(1,1),(Q,Q')} \\ &= \left( tr\left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}A_{i}^{*}\left(X_{2} + X_{2}' - X_{1} - X_{1}'\right)A_{i}Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), \\ tr\left( \sum_{i=1}^{t} Q^{\prime\frac{1}{2}}B_{i}^{*}\left(Y_{2} + Y_{2}' - Y_{1} - Y_{1}'\right)B_{i}Q^{\prime\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \\ &= \left( tr\left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_{i}^{*}QA_{i}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(X_{2} + X_{2}' - X_{1} - X_{1}'\right)Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), \\ tr\left( \sum_{i=1}^{t} Q^{\prime-\frac{1}{2}}B_{i}^{*}Q^{\prime}B_{i}Q^{\prime-\frac{1}{2}}Q^{\prime\frac{1}{2}}\left(Y_{2} + Y_{2}' - Y_{1} - Y_{1}'\right)Q^{\prime\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \\ &= \left( tr\left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_{i}^{*}QA_{i}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(X_{2} + X_{2}' - X_{1} - X_{1}'\right)Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \right), \end{split}$$

SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS ...

$$tr\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t} Q'^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{i}^{*} Q' B_{i} Q'^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(Q'^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(Y_{2} + Y_{2}' - Y_{1} - Y_{1}'\right) Q'^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)\right)$$

$$\leq \left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_{i}^{*} Q A_{i} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \left\|X_{2} + X_{2}' - X_{1} - X_{1}'\right\|_{1,Q}, \\\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{t} Q'^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{i}^{*} Q' B_{i} Q'^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \left\|Y_{2} + Y_{2}' - Y_{1} - Y_{1}'\right\|_{1,Q}\right)$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_{i}^{*} Q A_{i} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \\ 0 \\\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{t} Q'^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{i}^{*} Q' B_{i} Q'^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \\\right] \\\times \left(\left\|X_{2} + X_{2}' - X_{1} - X_{1}'\right\|_{1,Q}, \left\|Y_{2} + Y_{2}' - Y_{1} - Y_{1}'\right\|_{1,Q}\right).$$

In the above statements, we used Lemma 3.1 of [6]. Set

$$\alpha = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_i^* Q A_i Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|,$$

and

$$\beta = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{t} Q'^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_i^* Q' B_i Q'^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{2}(U',V') - F_{2}(U,V)\|_{(1,1),(Q,Q')}, \\ &\leq \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0\\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix} (\|X_{2} - X_{1}\|_{1,Q} + \|X_{2}' - X_{1}'\|_{1,Q}, \|Y_{2} - Y_{1}\|_{1,Q'} + \|Y_{2}' - Y_{1}'\|_{1,Q'}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0\\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix} ((\|X_{2} - X_{1}\|_{1,Q}, \|Y_{2} - Y_{1}\|_{1,Q'}) + (\|X_{2}' - X_{1}'\|_{1,Q}, \|Y_{2}' - Y_{1}'\|_{1,Q'})) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0\\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix} (\|U' - U\|_{(1,1),(Q,Q')}, \|V - V'\|_{(1,1),(Q,Q')}). \end{aligned}$$

Now apply Theorem 2.1.

The above theorem says that, under the conditions of this theorem, the equation system (3.5) has a unique solution.

# 4. Application in nonlinear matrix equations

In this section, we study the following class of nonlinear matrix equations system:

(4.1) 
$$\begin{cases} X + A_1^* \mathcal{F}(X) A_1 + \dots + A_m^* \mathcal{F}(X) A_m = Q, \\ Y + B_1^* \mathcal{G}(Y) B_1 + \dots + B_t^* \mathcal{G}(Y) B_t = Q', \end{cases}$$

#### H. HOSSEINZADEH

where Q and Q' are positive definite matrices,  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  and  $B_1, \ldots, B_t$ are arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrices and  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are continuous maps, from  $P(n) \times P(n)$  into P(n), where  $n \geq 3$ . We define  $\mathfrak{F} : H(n) \times H(n) \times$  $H(n) \times H(n) = H(n)^4 \to H(n) \times H(n)$  as follows (4.2)

$$\mathfrak{F}(U,V) = \left(Q - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* \mathcal{F}((X,Y)) A_i, Q' - \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_i^* \mathcal{G}\left(\left(X',Y'\right)\right) B_i\right),$$

for every U = (X, Y),  $V = (X', Y') \in H(n) \times H(n)$ . Clearly, if  $\mathfrak{F}$  has a unique coupled fixed point then (4.1) has a unique solution. We consider the norm  $\|\cdot\| : H(n) \times H(n) \to \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

(4.3) 
$$\|(A,B)\|_{1,1} = (\|A\|_1, \|B\|_1), \quad A, B \in H(n).$$

Before considering the system (4.1), we take into account the result obtained in [6] for the following nonlinear matrix equation:

(4.4) 
$$X + A_1^* \mathcal{F}(X) A_1 + \dots + A_m^* \mathcal{F}(X) A_m = Q,$$

where Q and  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  are as above. By reviewing the proof of Theorem 4.1, we reach to a gap in the assumption. At first, note that the space of all  $n \times n$ , M(n) is a unital Banach algebra with of unit  $I_n$ , where  $I_n$  is identity the matrix  $n \times n$ . Authors in [6] considered the Banach algebra M(n) with two norms  $||A|| = \sqrt{\lambda^+ (A^*A)}$  and  $||A||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(A)$ for  $A \in M(n)$ . It is known that all norms on a finite dimensional Banach algebra are equivalent. It is rutin in the Banach algebra theory that we assume the norm of identity element is equale to 1 (this holds when we use the spectral norm). Now, we use the other norm i.e.,  $||I_n||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(I_n) = n$ . We rewrite Theorem 4.1 and investigate it.

Let  $Q \in P(n)$ . Assume that there exists a positive number M for which  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j A_j^* < M \cdot I_n$  and such that for  $X \leq Y$  we have

(4.5) 
$$|tr\left(\mathcal{F}\left(Y\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(X\right)\right)| \leq \frac{1}{M} |tr\left(Y - X\right)|.$$

Then (4.4) has a unique solution in P(n).

In the proof of the above stated result, authors proved the following

$$\left\|\mathcal{G}\left(Y\right) - \mathcal{G}\left(X\right)\right\|_{1} \leq \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{j} A_{j}^{*}\right\| \left\|\mathcal{F}\left(Y\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(X\right)\right\|_{1}.$$

We consider the proof with two norms  $\|\cdot\|$  (spectral norm) and  $\|\cdot\|_1$ . For  $\|\cdot\|_1$ , by using of (4.5) the condition (1) of Theorem 2.1 does not hold. Because

$$\left\|\mathcal{G}\left(Y\right) - \mathcal{G}\left(X\right)\right\|_{1} \leq \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{j} A_{j}^{*}\right\| \left\|\mathcal{F}\left(Y\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(X\right)\right\|_{1}$$

$$\leq M \|I_n\|_1 |tr\left(\mathcal{F}\left(Y\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(X\right)\right)|$$
  
$$\leq \|I_n\|_1 |tr\left(Y - X\right)|$$
  
$$= n |tr\left(Y - X\right)|.$$

Thus, the above statement does not satisfy condition (1) of Theorem 2.1 of [6]. If we use the spectral norm, then we obtain  $\|\mathcal{G}(Y) - \mathcal{G}(X)\|_1 \leq |tr(Y - X)|$ , again it dose not satisfy in condition (1), note that in condition (1), there is a 0 < c < 1. Now consider the following conditions on M and (4.5):

(i) 
$$M > 1$$
 and  $|tr\left(\mathcal{F}\left(Y\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(X\right)\right)| \leq \frac{1}{(n+1)M} |tr\left(Y - X\right)|.$   
ii)  $M > n$  and  $|tr\left(\mathcal{F}\left(Y\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(X\right)\right)| \leq \frac{1}{M^2} |tr\left(Y - X\right)|.$ 

(

We write the correction of Theorem 4.1 of [6] with our paper notations for partial orders as follows:

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $Q \in P(n)$ . Assume that there exists a positive number M for which  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j A_j^* \triangleleft M \cdot I_n$  and such that for  $X \trianglelefteq Y$  we have one of the conditions (i) or (ii) holds. Then (4.4) has a unique solution in P(n).

Now, we consider the nonlinear matrix equation system (4.1) as follows:

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $Q, Q' \in P(n)$  and the following statements hold.

(i)  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* \mathcal{F}((X,Y)) A_i \leq Q$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} B_i^* \mathcal{G}((X',Y')) B_i \leq Q'$ . (ii) There exist positive numbers M, M' such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* A_i \triangleleft M \cdot I_n, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_i^* B_i \triangleleft M' \cdot I_t,$$

and satisfy in one of the following conditions: 1. M, M' > 1 and for every  $(U, V), (U', V') \in H(n)^4$ ,

$$\left(\left|tr\left(\mathcal{F}\left(U\right)-\mathcal{F}\left(U'\right)\right)\right|,\left|tr\left(\mathcal{G}\left(V\right)-\mathcal{G}\left(V'\right)\right)\right|\right)\right)$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{(n+1)M}\left|tr\left(U-U'\right)\right|,\frac{1}{(t+1)M'}\left|tr\left(V-V'\right)\right|\right)$$

2. M > n, M' > t and for every (U, V),  $(U', V') \in H(n)^4$ ,

$$\left( \left| tr\left(\mathcal{F}\left(U\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(U'\right)\right) \right|, \left| tr\left(\mathcal{G}\left(V\right) - \mathcal{G}\left(V'\right)\right) \right| \right) \\ \leq \left( \frac{1}{M^2} \left| tr\left(U - U'\right) \right|, \frac{1}{M'^2} \left| tr\left(V - V'\right) \right| \right).$$

Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  has a unique coupled fixed point in H(n).

*Proof.* Let (U, V),  $(U', V') \in H(n)^4$  such that  $(U, V) \stackrel{\sim}{\preceq} (U', V')$ , where  $U = (X_1, Y_1)$ ,  $V = (X'_1, Y'_1)$ ,  $U' = (X_2, Y_2)$  and  $V' = (X'_2, Y'_2)$ . Take  $U_0 = (0, 0)$  and  $V_0 = (Q, Q')$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}(U_0, V_0) \stackrel{\sim}{\preceq} V_0$  and  $U_0 \stackrel{\sim}{\preceq} \mathfrak{F}(U_0, V_0)$ . Furthermore, for given  $U = (X_1, Y_1)$ ,  $V = (X'_1, Y'_1)$ ,  $U' = (X_2, Y_2)$  and  $V' = (X'_2, Y'_2)$  we have

$$\begin{split} \|\mathfrak{F}(U',V') - \mathfrak{F}(U,V)\|_{(1,1)} \\ &= \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*} \left( \mathcal{F}\left(X_{2},Y_{2}\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(X_{1},Y_{1}\right)\right) A_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i}^{*} \left( \mathcal{G}\left(X_{2}',Y_{2}'\right) - \mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}',Y_{1}'\right)\right) B_{i} \right) \right\|_{(1,1)} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} tr \left(A_{i}A_{i}^{*} \left( \mathcal{F}\left(X_{2},Y_{2}\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(X_{1},Y_{1}\right)\right)\right), \sum_{i=1}^{t} tr \left(B_{i}^{*}B_{i}\left(\mathcal{G}\left(X_{2}',Y_{2}'\right) - \mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}',Y_{1}'\right)\right)\right) \right) \\ &= \left( tr \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*}A_{i}\left( \mathcal{F}\left(X_{2},Y_{2}\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(X_{1},Y_{1}\right)\right) \right), tr \left( \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i}^{*}B_{i}\left(\mathcal{G}\left(X_{2}',Y_{2}'\right) - \mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}',Y_{1}'\right)\right) \right) \right) \\ &= \left( tr \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*}A_{i} \right) \left( \mathcal{F}\left(X_{2},Y_{2}\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(X_{1},Y_{1}\right)\right) \right), tr \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i}^{*}B_{i} \right) \left( \mathcal{G}\left(X_{2}',Y_{2}'\right) - \mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}',Y_{1}'\right)\right) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \left( \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*}A_{i} \right\| \| \left( \mathcal{F}\left(X_{2},Y_{2}\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(X_{1},Y_{1}\right)\right) \|_{1} \right), \\ &\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i}^{*}B_{i} \right\| \| \left( \mathcal{G}\left(X_{2}',Y_{2}'\right) - \mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}',Y_{1}'\right)\right) \right) \right) \\ &= \left[ \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*}A_{i} \right\| \right\| \left( \mathcal{G}\left(X_{2}',Y_{2}'\right) - \mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}',Y_{1}'\right)\right) \right) \\ &= \left[ \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{*}A_{i} \right\| \right. 0 \\ \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i}^{*}B_{i} \right\| \right\| \\ &\times \left( \| \mathcal{F}\left(X_{2},Y_{2}\right) - \mathcal{F}\left(X_{1},Y_{1}\right) \|_{1} \right) \| \mathcal{G}\left(X_{2}',Y_{2}'\right) - \mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}',Y_{1}'\right) \|_{1} \right). \end{split} \right\}$$

In the above statements, we used Lemma 3.1 of [6]. Suppose that (1) holds. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{F}(U',V') &-\mathfrak{F}(U,V)\|_{(1,1)} \\ &\leq \begin{bmatrix} M\|I_n\|_1 & 0\\ 0 & M'\|I_t\|_1 \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{(n+1)M} (\|X_2 - X_1\|_1 + \|Y_2 - Y_1\|_1), \\ &\frac{1}{(t+1)M'} (\|X_2' - X_1'\|_1 + \|Y_2' - Y_1'\|_1) \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(n+1)} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{(t+1)} \end{bmatrix} ((\|X_2 - X_1\|_1 + \|Y_2' - Y_1'\|_1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \|Y_2 - Y_1\|_1 + \|X'_2 - X'_1\|_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(n+1)} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{(t+1)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \|U' - U\|_{(1,1)}, \|V - V'\|_{(1,1)} \right).$$

We obtain similar results for case (2), that provide the required conditions in Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Acknowledgment. The author wish to thank gratitude to the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

### References

- G. Allaire and S. M. Kaber, *Numerical linear algebra*, Vol. 55 of Texts in Applied Mathematics, Springer-New York, 2008.
- T. Gnana Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal., 65(2006), pp. 1379-1393.
- 3. A. D. Filip and Petruşel, Fixed point theorems on spaces endowed with vectorvalued metrics, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 20 (2010).
- H. Hosseinzadeh, A. Jabbari and A. Razani, Fixed point and common fixed point theorems on spaces which endowed vector-valued metrics, Ukrainian J. Math., 65 (50)(2013), pp. 814-822.
- R. Precup, The role of matrices that are convergent to zero in the study of semilinear operator systems, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 49(3-4) (2009), pp. 703-708.
- A. C. M. Ran and M. C. B. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 132(5) (2003), pp.1435-1443.
- A. Razani, H. Hosseinzadeh and A. Jabbari, Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces which endowed vector-valued metrics, Aust. J. Basic and App. Sci., 6(2)(2012), pp. 124-129.
- B. Ricceri, Another fixed point theorem for nonexpansive potential operators, Studia Math., 211(2)(2012), pp. 147-151.
- I. A. Rus, Principles and applications of the fixed point theory, Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 1979.
- R. S. Varga, *Matrix iterative analysis*, Vol. 27 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Springer-Berlin, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ARDABIL BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, ARDABIL, IRAN.

E-mail address: hasan\_hz2003@yahoo.com & h.hosseinzadeh@iauardabil.ac.ir