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#### Abstract

In this paper, we aim to extend the Darboux frame field into 3-dimensional Anti-de Sitter space and obtain two cases for this extension by considering a parameterized curve on a hypersurface; then we carry out the Euler-Lagrange equations and derive differential equations for non-null elastic curves in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ (i.e. 3dimensional Anti-de Sitter space). In this study, we investigate the elastic curves in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ and obtain equations through which elastic curves are found out. Therefore, we solve these equations numerically and finally plot and design some elastic curves.


## 1. Introduction

One of the most classical themes in the calculus of variations in a space is elastic curve. Another one is named elastica, which would be either a surface or a manifold, characterized as the curve fulfilling a variational condition proper for interpolation problems [11]. Therefore, it can be considered as a solution of the variational problem introduced by Daniel Bernoulli and Leonhard Euler in 1744 [7]. The mathematical idealization of this issue declines the integral of the squared curvature with determined boundary conditions [14].

The purpose of the current study is to prosper and build up a relating context for elastic curves in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ which is considered. Einstein used highly symmetrical solution for calculating the equations with absirptive cosmological constant. In reality, this highly symmetrical solution is not

[^0]as attractive as it must be; however, it would be considered as a sort of regularization of long distance actions of gravity.

It is worth mentioning that the conformal boundary of asymptotically Anti-de Sitter space is impressively different from asymptotically flat space times. Therefore, this aspect of anti-de Sitter is normally vital in case of Anti-de Sitter emerges in mathematical physics [2].

If we view a one sheeted hyperboloid

$$
X_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2}+\cdots+X_{n}^{2}-X_{n+1}^{2}=1
$$

In Minkowski space, we provide a space with a Lorentzian metric of constant curvature which is called as $\mathrm{dS}_{n}$ (i.e. n-dimensional de Sitter space).
$\operatorname{AdS}_{n}$ (i.e. n-dimensional Anti-de Sitter space) is addressed as the quadric

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2}+\cdots+X_{n-1}^{2}-U^{2}-V^{2}=-1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is deeply put in a flat $n+1$ dimensional space with the metric

$$
d s^{2}=d X_{1}^{2}+\cdots+d X_{n-1}^{2}-d U^{2}-d V^{2}
$$

In general, the topology of $\operatorname{adS}_{n}$ is $R^{n-1} \otimes S^{1}$ and the topology of $\mathrm{d} S_{n}$ is $S^{n-1} \otimes R[2]$. Now, if we put $n=3$ in the formula (1.1), then we get $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$.

In the present research, we investigate the elastic curves in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ and propose equations which help us to study the issues in relation to these subjects. Particularly, our aim is to develop some differential equations of the non-null elastic curves in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}[14,1]$.

Then, a definition of an elastica in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ is proposed as an extremal point of the functional

$$
\int_{0}^{l}\left(k_{g}^{2}(s)+\sigma\right) d s
$$

where

$$
k_{g}^{2}(s)=k_{g_{1}}^{2}(s)+k_{g_{2}}^{2}(s)
$$

in the space $M$ of non-null curves

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma:[0, l] \rightarrow A d S_{3}, & \left\|\gamma^{\prime}\right\|=1 \\
\gamma(0)=P_{0}, & \gamma(l)=P_{l} \\
\gamma^{\prime}(0)=V_{0}, & \gamma^{\prime}(l)=V_{l} \\
\gamma^{\prime \prime}(0)=a_{0}, & \gamma^{\prime \prime}(l)=a_{l}
\end{array}
$$

where $k_{g}=k_{g}(s)$ denotes the geodesic curvature of the curve as a function of the arc length parameter $s$ and $\sigma$ is constant.

It is suffice to say that a non-null curve is either timelike or spacelike. Each of these features is put under investigation separately in the
present paper. However, before illustrating these cases, a definition of Darboux frame field into 3 -dimensional $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ space is necessary. In the area of differential geometry, one of the most important instruments for studying curves and surfaces is the frame field. The most famous and reputable frame fields are the Frenet-Serret frame along a space curve and the Darboux frame along a surface curve.

In Euclidean 3-space, the Darboux frame is developed by the speed of the curve and the common vector of the surface though the Frenet serret frame is built from the velocity and the speed of the curve, see references [3, 8, 9, 10, 13] for further reading.

The estimations of segments 2 and 3 of the article are taken from reference [4] , For additional reading, refer to the reference section.

We dedicate Section 4.1 of the main text to spacelike elastica in case 1 ED-frame field and develop differential equations and afterwards tackle these equations numerically and plot them. In Section 4.2, we consider timelike elastica in case 1 from ED-frame field and develop differential equations and solve them just like previous section. In section 5.1, we consider spacelike elastica in case 2 from ED-frame field and develop differential equations with their solutions and then plot them. We allocate the last section 5.2 to timelike elastica in case from ED-frame field and after deriving differential equations with the help of Matlab, we solve and plot them. As a result, in all four modes, we provide equations that show geodesic curvature of $\gamma$ must satisfy them.

## 2. The Construction of the Extended Darboux Frame Field

We can construct the extended Darboux frame field along the Frenet curve $\beta$ as follows:

Case 1. If the set $\left\{N, T, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is linearly independent, then using the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization method gives the orthonormal set $\{N, T, E\}$ where

$$
E=\frac{\beta^{\prime \prime}-\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, N\right\rangle N}{\left\|\beta^{\prime \prime}-\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, N\right\rangle N\right\|}
$$

Case 2. If the set $\left\{N, T, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is linearly dependent, i.e. if $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ is in the direction of the normal vector $N$. Applying the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization method to $\left\{N, T, \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\}$ yields the orthonormal set $\{N, T, E\}$, where

$$
E=\frac{\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}, N\right\rangle N-\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}, T\right\rangle T}{\left\|\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}, N\right\rangle N-\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}, T\right\rangle T\right\|}
$$

in each case, if we define $D=N \otimes T \otimes E$ we have four unit vector fields $T, E, D$ and $N$ which are mutually orthogonal at each point of $\beta$.

Thus, we have a new orthonormal frame field $\{T, E, D, N\}$ along the curve $\beta$ instead of its Frenet frame field. It is obvious $E(s)$ and $D(s)$ are also tangent to the hypersurface $M$ for all $s$.

Thus, the set $\{T(s), E(s), D(s)\}$ spans the tangent hyperplane of the hypersurface at the point $\beta(s)[4,5,6]$.

## 3. The Derivative Equations

Let us now express the derivatives of these vector fields in terms of themselves in each case. Since $\{T, E, D, N\}$ is orthonormal, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g(T, T)=1, & g(E, E)={ }_{2} \\
g(D, D)={ }_{3}, & g(N, N)=4
\end{array}
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{3}, \epsilon_{4} \in\{-1,1\}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{\prime}=\epsilon_{1} g\left(T^{\prime}, T\right) T+\epsilon_{2} g\left(T^{\prime}, T\right) E+\epsilon_{4} g\left(T^{\prime}, D\right) D+\epsilon_{4}\left(T^{\prime} N\right) N, \\
& E^{\prime}=\epsilon_{1} g\left(E^{\prime}, T\right) T+\epsilon_{2} g\left(E^{\prime}, E\right) E+\epsilon_{3} g\left(E^{\prime}, D\right) D+\epsilon_{4} g\left(E^{\prime}, N\right) N, \\
& D^{\prime}=\epsilon_{1} g\left(D^{\prime}, T\right) T+\epsilon_{2} g\left(D^{\prime}, E\right) E+\epsilon_{3} g\left(D^{\prime}, D\right) D+\epsilon_{4} g\left(D^{\prime}, N\right) N, \\
& N^{\prime}=\epsilon_{1} g\left(N^{\prime}, T\right) T+\epsilon_{2} g\left(N^{\prime}, E\right) E+\epsilon_{3} g\left(N^{\prime}, D\right) D+\epsilon_{4} g\left(N^{\prime}, N\right) N .
\end{aligned}
$$

In Case 1, $E D$-frame field is of the first kind. Since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\frac{\beta^{\prime \prime}-\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, N\right\rangle N}{\left\|\beta^{\prime \prime}-\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, N\right\rangle N\right\|} \\
& =\frac{T^{\prime}-\left\langle T^{\prime}, N\right\rangle N}{\left\|T^{\prime}-\left\langle T^{\prime}, N\right\rangle N\right\|},
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
T^{\prime}=\left\|T^{\prime}-\left\langle T^{\prime}, N\right\rangle N\right\| E+\left\langle T^{\prime}, N\right\rangle N
$$

i.e. $\left\langle T^{\prime}, D\right\rangle=0$.

In Case 2, $E D$-frame field is of the second kind. Thus $\left\{N, T, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is linearly dependent and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}, N\right\rangle N-\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}, T\right\rangle T}{\left\|\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}, N\right\rangle N-\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}, T\right\rangle T\right\|} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The linear dependency of $\left\{N, T, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ gives $\beta^{\prime \prime}=\lambda N$, that is $\left\langle T^{\prime}, E\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle T^{\prime}, D\right\rangle=0$. Moreover, if we substitute $\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\lambda^{\prime} N+\lambda N^{\prime}$ into (3.1), we obtain $\left\langle N^{\prime}, D\right\rangle=0$. we denote

$$
\left\langle E^{\prime}, N\right\rangle=T_{g_{1}}, \quad\left\langle D^{\prime}, N\right\rangle=T_{g_{2}}
$$

and call $T_{g_{i}}$ the geodesic torsion of order $i$. similarly, we put

$$
\left\langle T^{\prime}, E\right\rangle=k_{g_{1}}, \quad\left\langle E^{\prime}, D\right\rangle=k_{g_{2}}
$$

and define $k_{g_{i}}$ as the geodesic curvature of order $i$.

Lastly, if we use $\left\langle T^{\prime}, N\right\rangle=k_{n}$, we obtain the differential equations of $E D$-frame fields in matrix notation

Case 1: $\left[\begin{array}{c}T^{\prime} \\ E^{\prime} \\ D^{\prime} \\ N^{\prime}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}0 & k_{g_{1}} & 0 & k_{n} \\ -k_{g_{1}} & 0 & k_{g_{2}} & T_{g_{1}} \\ 0 & -k_{g_{2}} & 0 & T_{g_{2}} \\ -k_{n} & -T_{g_{1}} & -T_{g_{2}} & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}T \\ E \\ D \\ N\end{array}\right]$
Case 2: $\left[\begin{array}{c}T^{\prime} \\ E^{\prime} \\ D^{\prime} \\ N^{\prime}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 0 & 0 & k_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & k_{g_{2}} & T_{g_{1}} \\ 0 & -k_{g_{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ -k_{n} & -T_{g_{1}} & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}T \\ E \\ D \\ N\end{array}\right]$
see reference [4]. Now let us consider four cases as follow.

## 4. CASE 1

4.1. Spacelike Elastica. In this section, we consider spacelike elastica in Case 1 from ED-frame field (3.2). We will begin this section by considering Darboux basis. Let us consider an arc length parameterized spacelike curve in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ described by the embedding $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ where $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{3}\right)$.

At a point $\gamma(s)$ of $\gamma$, let $T=\gamma^{\prime}$ denote the unit spacelike tangent vector to $\gamma$, let $N, E$ be timelike vectors and $D$ a spacelike vector on Ads $_{3}$. The derivatives $T^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ in the Darboux basis $T, E, D, N$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{\prime} & =-k_{g_{1}} E-\frac{1}{r} N \\
E^{\prime} & =-k_{g_{1}} T+k_{g_{2}} D  \tag{4.1}\\
D^{\prime} & =k_{g_{2}} E \\
N^{\prime} & =-\frac{1}{r} T
\end{align*}
$$

that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{\prime}=+\left\langle T^{\prime}, T\right\rangle T-\left\langle T^{\prime}, E\right\rangle E+\left\langle T^{\prime}, D\right\rangle D-\left\langle T^{\prime}, N\right\rangle N \\
& E^{\prime}=+\left\langle E^{\prime}, T\right\rangle T-\left\langle E^{\prime}, E\right\rangle E+\left\langle E^{\prime}, D\right\rangle D-\left\langle E^{\prime}, N\right\rangle N \\
& D^{\prime}=+\left\langle D^{\prime}, T\right\rangle T-\left\langle D^{\prime}, E\right\rangle E+\left\langle D^{\prime}, D\right\rangle D-\left\langle D^{\prime}, N\right\rangle N \\
& N^{\prime}=+\left\langle N^{\prime}, T\right\rangle T-\left\langle N^{\prime}, E\right\rangle E+\left\langle N^{\prime}, D\right\rangle D-\left\langle N^{\prime}, N\right\rangle N
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle T^{\prime}, E\right\rangle=k_{g_{1}}, \quad\left\langle T^{\prime}, N\right\rangle=k_{n} \\
& \left\langle E^{\prime}, D\right\rangle=k_{g_{2}}, \quad\left\langle E^{\prime}, N\right\rangle=T_{g_{1}} \\
& \left\langle D^{\prime}, N\right\rangle=T_{g_{2}} \\
& T_{g_{1}}=T_{g_{2}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4.1) we obtain the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle=k_{g_{1}}^{2}+k_{g_{2}}^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting the quantities (4.1) in relation (4.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\left\langle-k_{g_{1}} T+k_{g_{2}} D,-k_{g_{1}} T+k_{g_{2}} D\right\rangle \\
& =k_{g_{1}}^{2}\langle T, T\rangle+k_{g_{2}}^{2}\langle D, D\rangle \\
& =k_{g_{1}}^{2}+k_{g_{2}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We want to minimize the functional

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle+\sigma\right) d s
$$

under the constraints

$$
\langle E, E\rangle=-1, \quad E=\gamma^{\prime \prime}, \quad\langle\gamma, \gamma\rangle=-r^{2}, \quad\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle=\langle T, T\rangle=1 .
$$

Thus, it is possible to apply the Euler-lagrange equations to the functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & \left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle+\lambda(\langle E, E\rangle+1)+\eta\left(\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle-1\right) \\
& +\mu\left(\langle\gamma, \gamma\rangle+r^{2}\right)+2\left\langle\Lambda, \gamma^{\prime \prime}-E\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda, \mu, \eta$ are scalars and

$$
\Lambda=\left\langle\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}, \Lambda_{3}, \Lambda_{4}\right\rangle
$$

is a vector in $E_{2}^{4}$ (for calculus of variations see [11]).
Now, we can carry out the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma}-\frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right)=0 \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}-\frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime \prime}}\right)=0, \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial E}-\frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial E^{\prime}}\right)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, we obtain from the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma}=2 \mu \gamma & \frac{\partial F}{\partial E}=2 \lambda E-2 \Lambda & \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right)=2 \eta \gamma^{\prime \prime} \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}=2 \eta \gamma^{\prime} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial E^{\prime}}=2 E^{\prime} & \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime \prime}}\right)=2 \Lambda^{\prime} \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime \prime}}=2 \Lambda & \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial E^{\prime}}\right)=2 E^{\prime \prime} \\
\mu \gamma-\eta \gamma^{\prime \prime}=0 &  \tag{4.3}\\
\mu \cdot\left(-\gamma_{1},-\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right)-\eta\left(-\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime},-\gamma_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma_{3}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

(4.4) $\eta \gamma-\Lambda^{\prime}=0$

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \eta \cdot\left(-\gamma_{1}^{\prime},-\gamma_{2}^{\prime}, \gamma_{3}^{\prime}, \gamma_{4}^{\prime}\right)-\left(-\Lambda_{1}^{\prime},-\Lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \Lambda_{3}^{\prime}, \Lambda_{4}^{\prime}\right)=0, \\
(4.5) & \lambda E-E^{\prime \prime}=\Lambda \\
& \lambda \cdot\left(-e_{1},-e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)-\left(-e_{1}^{\prime \prime},-e_{2}^{\prime \prime}, e_{3}^{\prime \prime}, e_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(-\Lambda_{1},-\Lambda_{2},-\Lambda_{3}, \Lambda_{4}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

if we take first derivative of (4.5) with respect to $s$, we have

$$
\lambda^{\prime} E+\lambda E^{\prime}-E^{\prime \prime \prime}=\Lambda^{\prime}
$$

and combine with (4.4), and then derive the achieved equation (4.4), we have

$$
\eta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}+\eta \gamma^{\prime \prime}=\lambda^{\prime \prime} E+2 \lambda^{\prime} E^{\prime}+\lambda E^{\prime \prime}-E^{\prime \prime \prime}
$$

and combine with (4.3), $\gamma=-r N, \gamma^{\prime}=T$, we obtain

$$
\lambda^{\prime \prime} E+2 \lambda^{\prime} E^{\prime}+\lambda E^{\prime \prime}-E^{\prime \prime \prime}=\eta^{\prime} T-r \mu N,
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{\prime \prime} & \left(-e_{1},-e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)+2 \lambda^{\prime}\left(-e_{1}^{\prime},-e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
& \quad+\lambda\left(-e_{1}^{\prime \prime},-e_{2}^{\prime \prime}, e_{3}^{\prime \prime}, e_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left(-e_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime},-e_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}, e_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}, e_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\right) \\
& =\eta^{\prime}\left(-t_{1},-t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)-r \mu\left(-n_{1},-n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By using the equations (4.1) and considering the relation $k_{g}^{2}=k_{g_{1}}^{2}+k_{g_{2}}^{2}$, we have some derivatives of $E$ as following

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\prime}= & -k_{g_{1}} T+k_{g_{2}} D, \\
E^{\prime \prime}= & -k_{g_{1}}^{\prime} T+k_{g}^{2} E+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime} D+\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}} N, \\
E^{\prime \prime \prime}= & \left(-k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}-k_{g_{1}} k_{g}^{2}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}\right) T+\left(k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+2 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}+k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}\right) E \\
& +\left(k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{2}}+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime}\right) D+\left(\frac{2}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right) N .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}= & -\left(k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+2 k_{g_{1}} k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+2 k_{g_{1}} k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}-\frac{2}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right) T \\
& +\left(k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{2} k_{g}^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{2}+\left(k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+2\left(k_{g}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime 2}+k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{2} k_{g}^{2}+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime} k_{g_{2}}\right) E \\
& +\left(k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+4 k_{g_{2}} k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}+k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) D
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\left(\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}} k_{g}^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{3}} k_{g_{1}}+\frac{2}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right) N
$$

from (4.6), we have

$$
\lambda^{\prime \prime} E+2 \lambda^{\prime} E^{\prime}+\lambda E^{\prime \prime}-E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}-\eta^{\prime} T+\mu r N=0
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\prime \prime} E & +2 \lambda^{\prime}\left(-k_{g_{1}} T+k_{g_{2}} D\right)+\lambda\left(-k_{g_{1}}^{\prime} T+k_{g}^{2} E+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime} D+\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}} N\right) \\
& +\left(k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+2 k_{g_{1}} k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+2 k_{g_{1}} k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}-\frac{2}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right) T-\left(k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{2} k_{g}^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{2}+\left(k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+2\left(k_{g}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+\left(k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{2} k_{g}^{2}+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime} k_{g_{2}}\right) E \\
& -\left(k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+4 k_{g_{2}} k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}+k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\right) D \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}} k_{g}^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{3}} k_{g_{1}}+\frac{2}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right) N-\eta^{\prime} T+\mu r N \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(-2 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g_{1}}-\lambda k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+4 k_{g_{1}} k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+k_{g_{1}}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}-\frac{2}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right) T \\
& \quad+\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{2}-k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}-k_{g_{1}}^{2} k_{g}^{2}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{2}-\left(k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}-2\left(k_{g}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-2 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}-\left(k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-2 k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime}-k_{g_{2}}^{2} k_{g}^{2}\right) E+\left(2 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g_{2}}+\lambda k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}-4 k_{g_{2}} k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}-k_{g_{2}}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}-k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}-k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) D \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{1}{r} \lambda k_{g_{1}}+\mu r-\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}} k_{g}^{2}-\frac{1}{r^{3}} k_{g_{1}}-\frac{2}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right) N \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering the linear independence of the vectors $T, E, D, N$ we have the following theorem:
Theorem 4.1. $\gamma$ is a spacelike elastica in $A d S_{3}$ if and only if the geodesic curvature of $\gamma$ satisfies in the four below equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g_{1}}-\lambda k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}-k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+4 k_{g_{1}} k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}-\frac{2}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{\prime \prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{2}-2 k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}-k_{g_{1}}^{2} k_{g}^{2}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{2}-\left(k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad-2 k_{g}^{\prime 2}-2 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}-k_{g_{2}}^{\prime 2}-2 k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime}-k_{g_{2}}^{2} k_{g}^{2}=0 \\
& 2 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g_{2}}+\lambda k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}-k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}-4 k_{g_{2}} k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}-k_{g_{2}}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime} \\
& \quad-k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}-k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 \\
& \frac{1}{r} \lambda k_{g_{1}}+\mu r-\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}} k_{g}^{2}-\frac{1}{r^{3}} k_{g_{1}}-\frac{2}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

we solve this equations numerically and plot them at Figure 1.


Figure 1. Spacelike1
4.2. Timelike Elastica. We start with preliminaries on the geometry of timelike elastica in Case 1 from ED-frame field in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$. Let $\gamma$ be an arc length parameterized timelike curve in $\operatorname{AdS}_{3}$. At a point $\gamma(s)$ of $\gamma$, let $T=\gamma^{\prime}$ denote the unit timelike tangent vector to $\gamma$.

Let $N, E$ be spacelike vectors and $D$ a timelike vector on $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$. The derivatives $T^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ in Extension of the Darboux basis $T, E, D, N$
satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\prime} & =k_{g_{1}} E+\frac{1}{r} N \\
E^{\prime} & =k_{g_{1}} T-k_{g_{2}} D \\
D^{\prime} & =-k_{g_{2}} E \\
N^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{r} T
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\left(k_{g_{1}}^{2}+k_{g_{2}}^{2}\right)
$$

we want to minimize the functional

$$
\int_{0}^{l}\left(-\left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle+\sigma\right) d s
$$

under the constraints

$$
\langle E, E\rangle=1, \quad E=\gamma^{\prime \prime}, \quad\langle\gamma, \gamma\rangle=-r^{2}, \quad\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle=-1
$$

Thus, it is possible to apply the Euler-Lagrange equations to the functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
F=- & \left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle+\lambda(\langle E, E\rangle-1)+\eta\left(\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle+1\right) \\
& +\mu\left(\langle\gamma, \gamma\rangle+r^{2}\right)+2\left\langle\Lambda, \gamma^{\prime \prime}-E\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

To get the differential equations which govern the extremals

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma}-\frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right)=0 \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}-\frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime \prime}}\right)=0 \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial E}-\frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial E^{\prime}}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

To substitution, we have:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu \gamma-\eta \gamma^{\prime \prime}=0 \\
\eta \gamma^{\prime}-\Lambda^{\prime}=0 \\
\lambda E-\Lambda+E^{\prime \prime}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Combining these equations and considering that $\gamma=r N$, we yields

$$
\lambda^{\prime \prime} E+2 \lambda^{\prime} E^{\prime}+\lambda E^{\prime \prime}+E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=r \eta^{\prime} N^{\prime}+\mu r N
$$

Substituting $E, E^{\prime}, E^{\prime \prime}, E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}, N, N^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{\prime \prime}\left(-e_{1},-e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)+2 \lambda^{\prime}\left(-e_{1}^{\prime},-e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}\right)+\lambda\left(-e_{1}^{\prime \prime},-e_{2}^{\prime \prime}, e_{3}^{\prime \prime}, e_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)  \tag{4.7}\\
&+\left(-e_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime},-e_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}, e_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}, e_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\right) \\
&= r \eta^{\prime}\left(-n_{1}^{\prime},-n_{2}^{\prime}, n_{3}^{\prime}, n_{4}^{\prime}\right)+\mu r\left(-n_{1},-n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The derivatives of $E$ expressed in the Darboux basis are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\prime}= & k_{g_{1}} T-k_{g_{2}} D, \\
E^{\prime \prime}= & k_{g_{1}}^{\prime} T+k_{g}^{2} E+\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}} N-k_{g_{2}}^{\prime} D, \\
E^{\prime \prime \prime}= & \left(k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{1}}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}\right) T+\left(k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+2 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}+k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}\right) E \\
& +\left(\frac{2}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right) N-\left(k_{g_{2}} k_{g}^{2}+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime}\right) D, \\
E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}= & \left(k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g_{1}}+k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+2 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}+\frac{2}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right) T+\left(k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{2}+k_{g_{1}}^{\prime 2}\right. \\
& \left.+k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+2 k_{g}^{\prime 2}+2 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+\left(k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{2} k_{g}^{2}+k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime}\right) E \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{1}}+\frac{1}{r^{3}} k_{g_{1}}+\frac{2}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right) N \\
& -\left(k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime} k_{g_{2}}+2 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g_{2}}+k_{g_{2}}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 k_{g_{2}} k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}\right) D .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(2 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g_{1}}+\lambda k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}+4 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g_{1}}+k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+\frac{3}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+k_{g_{1}}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}\right) T+\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{2}+2 k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{2}+k_{g_{1}}^{\prime 2}+2 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime 2}+2 k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{2}\right) E \\
& \quad-\left(\frac{\lambda}{r} k_{g_{1}}-\mu r+\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}} k_{g}^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{3}} k_{g_{1}}+\frac{2}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right) N \\
& \quad-\left(2 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g_{2}}+\lambda k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime} k_{g_{2}}+4 k_{g} k_{g_{2}} k_{g}^{\prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) D \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

From these we have the following theorem:
Theorem 4.2. $\gamma$ is a timelike elastica in $A d S_{3}$ if and only if the geodesic curvature of $\gamma$ satisfies in the four below equations:

$$
2 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g_{1}}+\lambda k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}+4 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g_{1}}+\frac{3}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}
$$

$$
+k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{\prime \prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{2}+2 k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{1}}^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} k_{g_{1}}^{2}+k_{g_{1}}^{2}+2 k_{g}^{\prime 2} \\
& \quad+2 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{2}+2 k_{g_{2}} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{2}=0 \\
& 2 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g_{2}}+\lambda k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{1}} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime} k_{g_{2}}+4 k_{g} k_{g_{2}} k_{g}^{\prime} \\
& \quad+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{2} k_{g_{2}}^{\prime}+k_{g_{2}}^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}=0 \\
& \frac{1}{r} \lambda k_{g_{1}}-\mu r+\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} k_{g_{1}} k_{g}^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{3}} k_{g_{1}}+\frac{2}{r} k_{g_{1}}^{\prime \prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We plot them in Figure 2 .


Figure 2. Timelike1

## 5. CASE 2

5.1. Spacelike Elastica. In this section, we aim at obtaining spacelike elastica in Case 2 from ED-frame field (3.3). Assuming the same conditions of the previous case about $\gamma$, let $T=\gamma^{\prime}$ denote the unit spacelike tangent vector to $\gamma$, let $N, D$ be timelike vectors and $E$ a spacelike vector
on $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$. The derivatives $T^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ in the Darboux basis $T, E, D, N$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\prime} & =-\frac{1}{r} N, \\
E^{\prime} & =-k_{g_{2}} D, \\
D^{\prime} & =-k_{g_{2}} E, \\
N^{\prime} & =-\frac{1}{r} T .
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
k_{g_{2}}=k_{g} .
$$

We obtain that

$$
\left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle=-k_{g}^{2}
$$

We want to minimize the functional

$$
\int\left(-\left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle+\sigma\right) d s
$$

under the constraints

$$
\langle E, E\rangle=1, \quad E=\gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}, \quad\langle\gamma, \gamma\rangle=-r^{2}, \quad\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle=1, \quad\left\langle\gamma^{\prime \prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=-1 .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & -\left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle+\sigma+\lambda(\langle E, E\rangle-1)+\eta\left(\left\langle\gamma^{\prime \prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle+1\right) \\
& +\mu\left(\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle-1\right)+\delta\left(\langle\gamma, \gamma\rangle+r^{2}\right)+2\left\langle\Lambda, \gamma^{\prime \prime}-E\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we can carry out the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma}-\frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right)=0, \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}-\frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime \prime}}\right)=0, \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right)=0, \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial E}-\frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial E^{\prime}}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, we obtain from the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$
\begin{array}{llrl}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma} & =2 \delta \gamma & \frac{\partial F}{\partial E}=2 \lambda E-2 \Lambda & \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right)=2 \mu \gamma^{\prime \prime} \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}} & =2 \mu \gamma^{\prime} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial E^{\prime}}=-2 E^{\prime} & \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime \prime}}\right)=2 \eta \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime \prime}} & =2 \eta \gamma^{\prime \prime} & & \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right)=2 \Lambda^{\prime} \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}} & =2 \Lambda & & \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial E^{\prime}}\right)=-2 E^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}
$$

with substitution have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \gamma-\mu \gamma^{\prime \prime}=0  \tag{5.1}\\
& \mu \gamma^{\prime}-\eta \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}=0  \tag{5.2}\\
& \eta \gamma^{\prime \prime}-\Lambda^{\prime}=0  \tag{5.3}\\
& \lambda E-\Lambda+E^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

If we take first derive of (5.4) with respect to $s$, we have

$$
\lambda^{\prime} E+\lambda E^{\prime}+E^{\prime \prime \prime}=\Lambda^{\prime}
$$

and combine with (5.3), and then derive the achieved equation (5.3), we have

$$
\lambda^{\prime \prime} E+2 \lambda^{\prime} E^{\prime}+\lambda E^{\prime \prime}+E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=\eta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime \prime}+\eta \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}
$$

and combine with (5.2), and then derive the achieved equation (5.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime} E & +\lambda^{\prime \prime} E^{\prime}+2 \lambda^{\prime \prime} E^{\prime}+2 \lambda^{\prime} E^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{\prime} E^{\prime \prime}+\lambda E^{\prime \prime \prime}+E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime \prime} \\
& =\eta^{\prime \prime} \gamma^{\prime \prime}+\eta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}+\mu^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}+\mu \gamma^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and with assuming $\gamma^{\prime}=T, \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}=E, \gamma^{\prime \prime}=-r N^{\prime \prime}$ and using (5.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\eta^{\prime \prime}+\mu\right)\left(-r N^{\prime \prime}\right)+\mu^{\prime} T+\eta^{\prime} E  \tag{5.5}\\
& \quad=\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime} E+3 \lambda^{\prime \prime} E^{\prime}+3 \lambda^{\prime} E^{\prime \prime}+\lambda E^{\prime \prime \prime}+E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime \prime}
\end{align*}
$$

the derivatives of $E$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}= & -k_{g} D  \tag{5.6}\\
E^{\prime \prime}= & -k_{g}^{\prime} D-k_{g} D^{\prime}=-k_{g}^{\prime} D+k_{g}^{2} E \\
E^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 3 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime} E-\left(k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{3}\right) D \\
E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}= & \left(3 k_{g}^{\prime 2}+4 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{4}\right) E-\left(6 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime}+k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) D \\
E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime \prime}= & \left(6 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+4 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+4 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}+4 k_{g}^{3} k_{g}^{\prime}\right) E \\
& \quad+\left(3 k_{g}^{2}+4 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{4}\right)\left(-k_{g} D\right)-\left(12 k_{g} k_{g}^{2}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+6 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\right) D-\left(6 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime}+k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\left(-k_{g} E\right) \\
= & \left(10 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+10 k_{g}^{3} k_{g}^{\prime}+5 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) E-\left(15 k_{g} k_{g}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+10 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{5}+k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\right) D
\end{aligned}
$$

From (5.5) and (5.6), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \eta^{\prime} \\
& E-\mu^{\prime} T+\left(10 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+10 k_{g}^{3} k_{g}^{\prime}+5 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) E \\
& -\left(15 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime 2}+10 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{5}+k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) D+1 / r\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}+\mu\right) N \\
& +\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime} E-3 \lambda^{\prime \prime} k_{g} D+3 \lambda^{\prime}\left(-k_{g}^{\prime} D+k_{g}^{2} E\right)+\lambda\left(3 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime} E\right. \\
& \left.-\left(k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{3}\right) D\right) \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \mu^{\prime} T+\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+3 \lambda k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\eta^{\prime}+10 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+10 k_{g}^{3} k_{g}^{\prime}+5 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) E-\left(3 \lambda^{\prime \prime} k_{g}+3 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{\prime \prime}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\lambda k_{g}^{3}+15 k_{g} k_{g}^{2}+10 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{5}+k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\right) D+1 / r\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}+\mu\right) N \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu^{\prime}=0 \rightarrow \mu=\mathrm{constant} \\
1 / r\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}+\mu\right)=0 \xrightarrow{r \neq 0} \eta^{\prime \prime}=-\mu \\
\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+3 \lambda k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}+10 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+10 k_{g}^{3} k_{g}^{\prime}+5 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 \\
3 \lambda^{\prime \prime} k_{g}+3 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{3}+15 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime 2}+10 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{5}+k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 5.1. $\gamma$ is a spacelike elastica in $A d S_{3}$ if and only if the geodesic curvature of $\gamma$ satisfies in the two below equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+3 \lambda k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}+10 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+10 k_{g}^{3} k_{g}^{\prime}+5 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 \\
& 3 \lambda^{\prime \prime} k_{g}+3 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{3}+15 k_{g} k_{g}^{2}+10 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{5}+k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

we plot them in Figure 3 .



Figure 3. Spacelike2
5.2. Timelike Elastica. This section is devoted to timelike elastica in case 2 from ED-frame field. Assuming the same conditions of the previous case about $\gamma$, let $T=\gamma^{\prime}$ denote the unit timelike tangent vector to $\gamma$, let $N, D$ be spacelike vectors and $E$ a timelike vector on $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$.

The derivatives $T^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ in the Darboux basis $T, E, D, N$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\prime} & =+\frac{1}{r} N, \\
E^{\prime} & =k_{g_{2}} D, \\
D^{\prime} & =k_{g_{2}} E, \\
N^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{r} T,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
k_{g_{2}}=k_{g} .
$$

We have

$$
\left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle=k_{g}^{2}
$$

we want to minimize the functional

$$
\int\left(\left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle+\sigma\right) d s
$$

under the constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle E, E\rangle=-1, E=\gamma^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
& \langle\gamma, \gamma\rangle=-r^{2},\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle=-1,\left\langle\gamma^{\prime \prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=1
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & \left\langle E^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right\rangle+\sigma+\lambda(\langle E, E\rangle+1)+\eta\left(\left\langle\gamma^{\prime \prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle-1\right) \\
& +\mu\left(\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle+1\right)+\delta\left(\langle\gamma, \gamma\rangle+r^{2}\right)+2\left\langle\Lambda, \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}-E\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

similar to the previous mode, we get the differential equations which govern the extremals

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \gamma-\mu \gamma^{\prime \prime}=0,  \tag{5.7}\\
& \mu \gamma^{\prime}-\eta \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}=0,  \tag{5.8}\\
& \eta \gamma^{\prime \prime}-\Lambda^{\prime}=0  \tag{5.9}\\
& \lambda E-\Lambda-E^{\prime \prime}=0 . \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

If we take first derivative of (5.10) with respect to $s$, we have

$$
\lambda^{\prime} E+\lambda E^{\prime}-E^{\prime \prime \prime}=\Lambda^{\prime}
$$

and combine with (5.9), and then derivative the achieved equation (5.9), we have

$$
\eta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime \prime}+\eta \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}=\lambda^{\prime \prime} E+2 \lambda^{\prime} E^{\prime}+\lambda E^{\prime \prime}-E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}
$$

and combine with (5.8), $\gamma^{\prime \prime}=r N^{\prime \prime}, \gamma^{\prime}=T, \gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}=E$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{\prime \prime \prime} E+3 \lambda^{\prime \prime} E^{\prime}+3 \lambda^{\prime} E^{\prime \prime}+\lambda E^{\prime \prime \prime}-E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime \prime \prime}  \tag{5.11}\\
& \quad=\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}+\mu\right) r N^{\prime \prime}+\mu^{\prime} T+\eta^{\prime} E
\end{align*}
$$

The derivatives of $E$ are:

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}= & k_{g} D  \tag{5.12}\\
E^{\prime \prime \prime}= & k_{g}^{\prime} D+k_{g}^{2} E \\
E^{\prime \prime \prime}= & \left(k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{3}\right) D+\left(3 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}\right) E \\
E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime \prime}= & \left(4 k_{g}^{\prime \prime} k_{g}+3 k_{g}^{2}+k_{g}^{4}\right) E+\left(6 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) D \\
E^{\prime \prime \prime \prime \prime}= & \left(10 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+10 k_{g}^{3} k_{g}^{\prime}+5 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) E+\left(15 k_{g} k_{g}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+10 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{5}+k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\right) D
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.11) and (5.12), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\eta^{\prime} E-\mu^{\prime} T-\left(10 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+10 k_{g}^{3} k_{g}^{\prime}+5 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) E-\left(15 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime 2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+10 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{5}+k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\right) D-1 / r\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}+\mu\right) N \\
& \quad+\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime} E+3 \lambda^{\prime \prime} k_{g} D+3 \lambda^{\prime}\left(k_{g}^{\prime} D+k_{g}^{2} E\right)+\lambda\left(3 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime} E\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+k_{g}^{3}\right) D\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \mu^{\prime} T+\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+3 \lambda k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}-10 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}-10 k_{g}^{3} k_{g}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.-5 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) E+\left(3 \lambda^{\prime \prime} k_{g}+3 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{3}-15 k_{g} k_{g}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-10 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}-k_{g}^{5}-k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\right) D-1 / r\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}+\mu\right) N \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu^{\prime}=0 \rightarrow \mu=\text { constant }, \\
1 / r\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}+\mu\right)=0 \xrightarrow{r \neq 0} \eta^{\prime \prime}=-\mu, \\
\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+3 \lambda k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}-10 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}-10 k_{g}^{3} k_{g}^{\prime}-5 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0, \\
3 \lambda^{\prime \prime} k_{g}+3 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{3}-15 k_{g} k_{g}^{2}-10 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}-k_{g}^{5}-k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 5.2. $\gamma$ is a timelike elastica in $A d S_{3}$ if and only if the geodesic curvature of $\gamma$ satisfies in the two below equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g}^{2}+3 \lambda k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}-10 k_{g}^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}-10 k_{g}^{3} k_{g}^{\prime}-5 k_{g} k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 \\
& 3 \lambda^{\prime \prime} k_{g}+3 \lambda^{\prime} k_{g}^{\prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda k_{g}^{3}-15 k_{g} k_{g}^{2}-10 k_{g}^{2} k_{g}^{\prime \prime}-k_{g}^{5}-k_{g}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

we plot them in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Timelike2

Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 shows spacelike elastica curves in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ space and theorems 4.2 and 5.2 shows differential equations that geodesic curvature of timelike elastica satisfies in them.
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