

A Fixed Point Theorem for Weakly Contractive Mappings

Morteza Saheli and Seyed Ali Mohammad Mohsenialhosseini

**Sahand Communications in
Mathematical Analysis**

Print ISSN: 2322-5807
Online ISSN: 2423-3900
Volume: 18
Number: 1
Pages: 107-122

Sahand Commun. Math. Anal.
DOI: 10.22130/scma.2020.124853.778

Volume 18, No. 1, February 2021

Print ISSN 2322-5807
Online ISSN 2423-3900

Sahand Communications
in
Mathematical Analysis



Photo by Farhad Mansoori

Sahand Mountain, Maragheh, Iran.

SCMA, P. O. Box 55181-83111, Maragheh, Iran
<http://scma.maragheh.ac.ir>

A Fixed Point Theorem for Weakly Contractive Mappings

Morteza Saheli^{1*} and Seyed Ali Mohammad Mohsenialhosseini²

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we generalize the concepts of weakly Kannan, weakly Chatterjea and weakly Zamfirescu for fuzzy metric spaces. Also, we investigate Banach's fixed point theorem for the mentioned classes of functions in these spaces. Moreover, we show that the class of weakly Kannan and weakly Chatterjea maps are subclasses of the class of weakly Zamfirescu maps.

1. INTRODUCTION

Banach's fixed point theorem is one of the most well-known analytical theorems. The theorem shows that every contractive map on complete metric space has a unique fixed point, where the contractive map is defined as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $D \subseteq X$, and $f : D \rightarrow X$. the function f is said to be contractive, if there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in D$.

Banach's fixed point theorem has been also proved under different contraction conditions. For instance, Kannan [11], Chatterjea [4], Ćirić [5] and Zamfirescu [21] established the theorem by replacing the condition (1.1) with the following conditions, respectively,

- $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq (\alpha/2) [d(x, f(x)) + d(y, f(y))]$,
- $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq (\alpha/2) [d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))]$,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A32, 46M05, 41A17.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point, Weakly Zamfirescu mappings, Weakly Kannan mappings, Weakly Chatterjea mappings, Weakly contractive mappings.

Received: 17 April 2020, Accepted: 06 December 2020.

* Corresponding author.

- $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha \max\{d(x, y), d(x, f(x)), d(y, f(y)), (1/2)[d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))]\}$.
- $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha \max\{d(x, y), (1/2)[d(x, f(x)) + d(y, f(y))], (1/2)[d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))]\}$.

We comment that the scalar α , in each of the above considered contraction conditions, can be replaced by a function. More precisely, given a nonincreasing function $\alpha(t)$, Rakotch [12] developed an extension of Banach's fixed point theorem. In addition, Banach's fixed point theorem extended for the weakly contractive maps, weakly Kannan, weakly Chatterjea and weakly Zamfirescu, for more details see [2, 3, 6].

Moreover, some fixed point theorems were studied in fuzzy metric spaces, L-fuzzy metric spaces, intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and Menger probabilistic metric space [1, 8, 13–20].

In this paper, we first introduce the concept of weakly Zamfirescu in fuzzy metric space. Then we show that every weakly Zamfirescu map has a unique fixed point and it is continuous at the point. Finally, we define the concepts of weakly Kannan and weakly Chatterjea in fuzzy metric spaces. Also, it is shown that these classes of maps are subclasses of the class of weakly Zamfirescu maps which implies the existence of fixed point for each of maps.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some basic necessary preliminaries for this paper.

Definition 2.1 ([7]). A fuzzy metric space is a triple $(X, M, *)$, where X is a nonempty set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm and $M : X \times X \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a mapping satisfying the following axioms:

- (FM1) $M(x, y, t) > 0$, for all $x, y \in X$;
- (FM2) $M(x, y, t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$ iff $x = y$;
- (FM3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (FM4) $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous, for all $x, y \in X$;
- (FM5) $M(x, z, t + s) \geq M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$, and $t, s > 0$.

We assume that

$$(FM6) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1, \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([7]). *Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space. Then $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is nondecreasing for all $x, y \in X$.*

Definition 2.3 ([10]). Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space.

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Cauchy if for each $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $t > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all $m > n \geq N$.
- (ii) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is convergent if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$.

Lemma 2.4 ([9]). *Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space and $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ be sequences in X . If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y_n, t) = M(x, y, t), \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

3. FIXED POINT THEOREMS

In this section, we first introduce weakly Zamfirescu maps on fuzzy metric spaces.

Definition 3.1. Let (X, M, \min) be a fuzzy metric space, $D \subseteq X$, and $f : D \rightarrow X$. The function f is said to be a weakly Zamfirescu map, if for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\zeta_\alpha : D \times D \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that

$$\sup \left\{ \zeta_\alpha(x, y) : a \leq \inf_{M(x, y, t) \geq \alpha} t \leq b \right\} < 1, \quad \text{for all } 0 < a \leq b.$$

Moreover, if

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, y, t_1) &\geq \alpha, & M(x, f(x), t_2) &\geq \alpha, & M(y, f(y), t_3) &\geq \alpha, \\ M(y, f(x), t_4) &\geq \alpha, & M(x, f(y), t_5) &\geq \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$M(f(x), f(y), \zeta_\alpha(x, y) \max(t_1, (1/2)(t_2 + t_3), (1/2)(t_4 + t_5))) \geq \alpha,$$

for all $x, y \in D$ and all $t_1, \dots, t_5 > 0$.

Example 3.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $D \subseteq X$ and $\zeta : D \times D \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be a function such that

$$\sup \{ \zeta(x, y) : a \leq d(x, y) \leq b \} < 1, \quad \text{for every } 0 < a \leq b.$$

Moreover, let $f : D \rightarrow X$ be a function such that, for all $x, y \in D$,

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \zeta(x, y) \max\{s_1, s_2, s_3\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= d(x, y), \\ s_2 &= (1/2) [d(x, f(x)) + d(y, f(y))], \\ s_3 &= (1/2) [d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))]. \end{aligned}$$

Define a fuzzy metric M as follows:

$$M(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} t/d(x, y), & 0 < t \leq d(x, y), \\ 1, & d(x, y) < t, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Let $0 < a \leq b$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We have

$$\sup \left\{ \zeta(x, y) : a \leq \inf_{M(x, y, t) \geq \alpha} t \leq b \right\} = \sup \{ \zeta(x, y) : a \leq \alpha d(x, y) \leq b \} < 1.$$

Suppose that $x, y \in X$, $t_1, \dots, t_5 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, y, t_1) &\geq \alpha, & M(x, f(x), t_2) &\geq \alpha, & M(y, f(y), t_3) &\geq \alpha, \\ M(y, f(x), t_4) &\geq \alpha & M(x, f(y), t_5) &\geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} t_1/d(x, y) &\geq \alpha, & t_2/d(f(x), x) &\geq \alpha, & t_3/d(f(y), y) &\geq \alpha, \\ t_4/d(f(x), y) &\geq \alpha & t_5/d(f(y), x) &\geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &\geq \alpha d(x, y), & t_2 &\geq \alpha d(f(x), x), & t_3 &\geq \alpha d(f(y), y), \\ t_4 &\geq \alpha d(f(x), y) & t_5 &\geq \alpha d(f(y), x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha d(f(x), (y)) &\leq \zeta(x, y) \max \{r_1, r_2, r_3\} \\ &\leq \zeta(x, y) \max \{t_1, 1/2 [t_2 + t_3], (1/2) [t_4 + t_5]\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= \alpha d(x, y), \\ r_2 &= (1/2) [\alpha d(x, f(x)) + \alpha d(y, f(y))], \\ r_3 &= (1/2) [\alpha d(x, f(y)) + \alpha d(y, f(x))]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$M(f(x), f(y), \zeta(x, y) \max(t_1, (1/2)(t_2 + t_3), (1/2)(t_4 + t_5))) \geq \alpha.$$

Then $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a weakly Zamfirescu map.

Example 3.3. We define $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, fuzzy metric M and $\zeta : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \begin{cases} (2/3)x, & 0 \leq x < 1, \\ 0, & x = 1, \end{cases} \\ \zeta(x, y) &= \begin{cases} 4x/(3+x), & x \neq 0 \text{ and } y = 1, \\ 1/2, & x = 0 \text{ and } y = 1, \\ (4(y-x))/(x+y), & 0 < (2/3)y \leq x < y < 1, \\ 4/5, & 0 \leq x < (2/3)y, \end{cases} \\ M(x, y, t) &= \begin{cases} t/|x-y|, & 0 < t \leq |x-y|, \\ 1, & |x-y| < t, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and all $t > 0$.

In [3], it is shown that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq (\zeta(x, y)/2) [|x - f(y)| + |y - f(x)|],$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Hence, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \zeta(x, y) \max \{s_1, s_2, s_3\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= |x - y|, \\ s_2 &= (1/2) [|x - f(x)| + |y - f(y)|], \\ s_3 &= (1/2) [|x - f(y)| + |y - f(x)|]. \end{aligned}$$

By Example 3.2, the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a weakly Zamfirescu map.

Example 3.4. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $D \subseteq X$ and $\zeta : D \times D \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be a function such that

$$\sup \{\zeta(x, y) : a \leq d(x, y) \leq b\} < 1, \quad \text{for every } 0 < a \leq b.$$

Moreover, let $f : D \rightarrow X$ be a function such that, for all $x, y \in D$,

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \zeta(x, y) \max \{s_1, s_2, s_3\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= d(x, y), \\ s_2 &= (1/2) [d(x, f(x)) + d(y, f(y))], \\ s_3 &= (1/2) [d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))]. \end{aligned}$$

Define a fuzzy metric M as follows:

$$M(x, y, t) = t / (t + d(x, y)), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X \text{ and all } t > 0.$$

Let $0 < a \leq b$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &> \sup \{\zeta(x, y) : ((1 - \alpha) / \alpha) a \leq d(x, y) \leq ((1 - \alpha) / \alpha) b\} \\ &= \sup \{\zeta(x, y) : a \leq (\alpha / (1 - \alpha)) d(x, y) \leq b\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \zeta(x, y) : a \leq \inf_{M(x, y, t) \geq \alpha} t \leq b \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $x, y \in X, t_1, \dots, t_5 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, y, t_1) &\geq \alpha, & M(x, f(x), t_2) &\geq \alpha, & M(y, f(y), t_3) &\geq \alpha, \\ M(y, f(x), t_4) &\geq \alpha, & M(x, f(y), t_5) &\geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 / (t_1 + d(x, y)) &\geq \alpha, & t_4 / (t_4 + d(f(x), y)) &\geq \alpha, \\ t_2 / (t_2 + d(f(x), x)) &\geq \alpha, & t_5 / (t_5 + d(f(y), x)) &\geq \alpha. \\ t_3 / (t_3 + d(f(y), y)) &\geq \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &\geq (\alpha / (1 - \alpha)) d(x, y), & t_4 &\geq (\alpha / (1 - \alpha)) d(f(x), y), \\ t_2 &\geq (\alpha / (1 - \alpha)) d(f(x), x), & t_5 &\geq (\alpha / (1 - \alpha)) d(f(y), x), \\ t_3 &\geq (\alpha / (1 - \alpha)) d(f(y), y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha / (1 - \alpha)) d(f(x), (y)) &\leq \zeta(x, y) \max \{s_1, s_2, s_3\} \\ &\leq \zeta(x, y) \max \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= (\alpha / (1 - \alpha)) d(x, y), \\ s_2 &= (1/2)[(\alpha / (1 - \alpha)) d(x, f(x)) + (\alpha / (1 - \alpha)) d(y, f(y))], \\ s_3 &= (1/2)[(\alpha / (1 - \alpha)) d(x, f(y)) + (\alpha / (1 - \alpha)) d(y, f(x))], \\ r_1 &= t_1, \\ r_2 &= (1/2) [t_2 + t_3], \\ r_3 &= (1/2) [t_4 + t_5]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$M(f(x), f(y), \zeta(x, y) \max(t_1, (1/2)(t_2 + t_3), (1/2)(t_4 + t_5))) \geq \alpha.$$

Then $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a weakly Zamfirescu map.

In the next Theorem, we show that if a weakly Zamfirescu map has a fixed point then it is unique.

Theorem 3.5. *Let (X, M, \min) be a fuzzy metric space such that M satisfies (FM6), $D \subseteq X$ and $f : D \rightarrow X$ be a weakly Zamfirescu map. Then f has at most one fixed point in D .*

Proof. Let $u, v \in D$ be fixed points of f , with $u \neq v$. Then there exists $t > 0$ such that $M(u, v, t) < 1$. Since $M(u, v, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(u, v, t) = 1$, there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \{t > 0 : M(u, v, t) \geq \alpha\} &= t_0 \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $M(u, v, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous, $M(u, v, t_0) \geq \alpha$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} M(u, v, t_0) &= M(u, f(v), t_0) \\ &= M(v, f(u), t_0) \\ &\geq \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M(u, f(u), t_0) &= M(v, f(v), t_0) \\ &= 1 \\ &\geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is a weakly Zamfirescu map, $M(f(u), f(v), \zeta_\alpha(u, v) t_0) \geq \alpha$.

Suppose that

$$\Gamma = \sup \left\{ \zeta_{\alpha}(x, y) : t_0 \leq \inf_{M(x,y,t) \geq \alpha} t \leq t_0 + 1 \right\} < 1.$$

By lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(u, v, \Gamma t_0) &\geq M(u, v, \zeta_{\alpha}(u, v) t_0) \\ &= M(f(u), f(v), \zeta_{\alpha}(u, v) t_0) \\ &\geq \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let (X, M, \min) be a fuzzy metric space such that M satisfies (FM6) and $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a weakly Zamfirescu map. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(f^n(x_0), f^{n+1}(x_0), t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$ and all $x_0 \in X$.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$, and $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We define $d_{\alpha, n} = \inf \{t > 0 : M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t) \geq \alpha\}$, for all $n > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

We show that

$$d_{\alpha, n+1} \leq \zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n-1}) d_{\alpha, n}, \quad \text{for all } n > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Let $n > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist $0 < t_1 \leq d_{\alpha, n} + \epsilon$ and $0 < t_2 \leq d_{\alpha, n+1} + \epsilon$ such that

$$M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t_1) \geq \alpha, \quad M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t_2) \geq \alpha.$$

By (FM5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, t_1 + t_2) &\geq \min \{M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t_1), M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t_2)\} \\ &\geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is a weakly Zamfirescu map,

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, \zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n-1}) \max(t_1, (1/2)(t_1 + t_2))) \geq \alpha.$$

Thus

$$d_{\alpha, n+1} \leq \zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n-1}) \max(t_1, (1/2)(t_1 + t_2)).$$

If $\max(t_1, (1/2)(t_1 + t_2)) = t_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha, n+1} &\leq \zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n-1}) t_1 \\ &\leq \zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n-1}) (d_{\alpha, n} + \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that $d_{\alpha, n+1} \leq \zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n-1}) d_{\alpha, n}$. If

$$\max(t_1, (1/2)(t_1 + t_2)) = (1/2)(t_1 + t_2),$$

then

$$d_{\alpha, n+1} \leq (\zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n-1}) / 2) (t_1 + t_2)$$

$$\leq (\zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n-1})/2)(d_{\alpha,n} + \epsilon + d_{\alpha,n+1} + \epsilon).$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that $d_{\alpha,n+1} \leq (\zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n-1})/2)(d_{\alpha,n} + d_{\alpha,n+1})$.
So

$$d_{\alpha,n+1} \leq \zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n-1})d_{\alpha,n}, \quad \text{for all } n > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

This implies that the sequence $\{d_{\alpha,n}\}$ is nonincreasing, for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let $d_\alpha = \inf\{d_{\alpha,n} : n > 0\}$, for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Now we show that $d_\alpha = 0$, for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Suppose that $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $d_\alpha > 0$. Assume that

$$\Gamma_\alpha = \sup \left\{ \zeta_\alpha(x, y) : d_\alpha \leq \inf_{M(x,y,t) \geq \alpha} t \leq d_{\alpha,1} \right\} < 1.$$

We have $d_{\alpha,n+1} \leq \zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n-1})d_{\alpha,n} \leq \Gamma_\alpha d_{\alpha,n}$, for all $n > 0$. Therefore $d_\alpha \leq d_{\alpha,n+1} \leq \Gamma_\alpha^n d_{\alpha,1}$, which is impossible. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\alpha,n} &= \inf_{n > 0} d_{\alpha,n} \\ &= d_\alpha \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $t > 0$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\alpha,n} = 0$, there exists $N > 0$ such that $d_{\alpha,n} < t$, for all $n \geq N$. Hence $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq \alpha$, for all $n \geq N$. This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) = 1$. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, f(x_n), t) = 1.$$

□

Theorem 3.7. *Let (X, M, \min) be a fuzzy metric space such that M satisfies (FM6) and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a weakly Zamfirescu map. If f has a fixed point $u \in X$. Then f is continuous at u .*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence converging to $u = f(u)$. We define

$$d_{\alpha, x_n, u} = \inf\{t > 0 : M(x_n, u, t) \geq \alpha\}, \quad \text{for all } n > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Now we show that

$$d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} \leq d_{\alpha, x_n, u}, \quad \text{for all } n > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Let $n > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist $t_1 \leq d_{\alpha, x_n, u} + \epsilon$ and $t_2 \leq d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} + \epsilon$ such that $M(x_n, u, t_1) \geq \alpha$ and

$$\begin{aligned} M(f(x_n), u, t_2) &= M(f(x_n), f(u), t_2) \\ &\geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

By (FM5), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, f(x_n), t_1 + t_2) &\geq \min \{M(x_n, u, t_1), M(f(x_n), u, t_2)\} \\ &\geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is a weakly Zamfirescu map,

$$M(f(x_n), f(u), \zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n-1}) \max(t_1, (1/2)(t_1 + t_2))) \geq \alpha.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} &\leq \zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n-1}) \max(t_1, (1/2)(t_1 + t_2)) \\ &\leq \max(t_1, (1/2)(t_1 + t_2)). \end{aligned}$$

If $\max(t_1, (1/2)(t_1 + t_2)) = t_1$, then $d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} \leq t_1 \leq d_{\alpha, x_n, u} + \epsilon$. As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that $d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} \leq d_{\alpha, x_n, u}$.

If $\max(t_1, (1/2)(t_1 + t_2)) = (1/2)(t_1 + t_2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} &\leq (1/2)(t_1 + t_2) \\ &\leq (1/2)(d_{\alpha, x_n, u} + \epsilon + d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} + \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that $d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} \leq (1/2)(d_{\alpha, x_n, u} + d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)})$. Therefore

$$d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} \leq d_{\alpha, x_n, u}, \quad \text{for all } n > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, u, t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$. So $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\alpha, x_n, u} = 0$. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} = 0$.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $t > 0$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} = 0$, there exists $N > 0$ such that $d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} < t$, for all $n \geq N$. Hence

$$M(f(x_n), f(u), t) \geq \alpha, \quad \text{for all } n \geq N.$$

This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(f(x_n), f(u), t) = 1$. Thus the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ converges to $f(u)$. Therefore, f is continuous at u . \square

Now we show that every weakly Zamfirescu map on a fuzzy metric space has a fixed point.

Theorem 3.8. *Let (X, M, \min) be a fuzzy metric space such that M satisfies (FM6) and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a weakly Zamfirescu map. Then f has a fixed point $u \in X$. Moreover, for each $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{f^n(x_0)\}$ converges to u .*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$, and $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We define

$$d_{\alpha, n, m} = \inf \{t > 0 : M(x_n, x_m, t) \geq \alpha\},$$

for all $m, n > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

We show that

$$d_{\alpha, n+1, n+k+1} \leq \zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+k})(d_{\alpha, n, n+k} + d_{\alpha, n, n+1}),$$

for all $k, n > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Let $k, n > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist

$$0 < t_1 \leq d_{\alpha, n, n+1} + \epsilon, \quad 0 < t_2 \leq d_{\alpha, n, n+k} + \epsilon$$

such that

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t_1) \geq \alpha, \quad M(x_n, x_{n+k}, t_2) \geq \alpha.$$

By proof of Theorem 3.6, the sequence $\{d_{\alpha, n, n+1}\}$ is nonincreasing. Hence $d_{\alpha, n+k, n+k+1} \leq d_{\alpha, n, n+1} \leq t_1$. Thus $M(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k+1}, t_1) \geq \alpha$. By (FM5), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, x_{n+k+1}, t_1 + t_2) &\geq \min\{M(x_n, x_{n+k}, t_2), M(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k+1}, t_1)\} \\ &\geq \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+k}, t_1 + t_2) &\geq \min\{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t_1), M(x_{n+k}, x_n, t_2)\} \\ &\geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is a weakly Zamfirescu map,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\leq M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+k+1}, \zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+k}) \max(t_1, t_2, t_1 + t_2)) \\ &= M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+k+1}, \zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+k})(t_1 + t_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d_{\alpha, n+k+1, n+1} \leq \zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+k})(t_1 + t_2)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha, n+k+1, n+1} &\leq \zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+k})(t_1 + t_2) \\ &\leq \zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+k})(d_{\alpha, n, n+k} + \epsilon + d_{\alpha, n, n+1} + \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that

$$d_{\alpha, n+1, n+k+1} \leq \zeta_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+k})(d_{\alpha, n, n+k} + d_{\alpha, n, n+1}),$$

for all $k, n > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Now we show that

$$d_{\alpha, n, n+k+1} \leq d_{\alpha, n+1, n+k+1} + d_{\alpha, n, n+1}, \quad \text{for all } k, n > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Let $k, n > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist

$$0 < t_1 \leq d_{\alpha, n, n+1} + \epsilon, \quad 0 < t_2 \leq d_{\alpha, n+1, n+k+1} + \epsilon$$

such that $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t_1) \geq \alpha$ and $M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+k+1}, t_2) \geq \alpha$. By (FM5), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, x_{n+k+1}, t_1 + t_2) &\geq \min\{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t_1), M(x_{n+k+1}, x_{n+1}, t_2)\} \\ &\geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha, n, n+k+1} &\leq t_1 + t_2 \\ &\leq d_{\alpha, n+1, n+k+1} + d_{\alpha, n, n+1} + 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$d_{\alpha,n,n+k+1} \leq d_{\alpha,n+1,n+k+1} + d_{\alpha,n,n+1} \quad \text{for all } k, n > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha,n,n+k+1} &\leq d_{\alpha,n+1,n+k+1} + d_{\alpha,n,n+1} \\ &\leq \zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n+k}) d_{\alpha,n,n+k} + (\zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n+k}) + 1) d_{\alpha,n,n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $k, n > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let $t_0 > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\alpha} &= \sup \left\{ \zeta_{\alpha}(x, y) : t_0/2 \leq \inf_{M(x,y,t) \geq \alpha} t \leq t_0 \right\} \\ &< 1. \end{aligned}$$

By proof of Theorem 3.6, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\alpha,n,n+1} = 0$. Hence there exists $N > 0$ such that $d_{\alpha,n,n+1} < (1 - \Gamma_{\alpha})t_0/4$, for all $n \geq N$. Assume that $n \geq N$. We will prove inductively that $d_{\alpha,n,n+k} < t_0$, for all $k \geq 1$. It is obvious for $k = 1$, and assuming $d_{\alpha,n,n+k} < t_0$.

If $d_{\alpha,n,n+k} \leq t_0/2$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha,n,n+k+1} &\leq \zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n+k}) d_{\alpha,n,n+k} + (\zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n+k}) + 1) d_{\alpha,n,n+1} \\ &< t_0. \end{aligned}$$

If $d_{\alpha,n,n+k} > t_0/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\alpha,n,n+k+1} &\leq \zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n+k}) d_{\alpha,n,n+k} + (\zeta_{\alpha}(x_n, x_{n+k}) + 1) d_{\alpha,n,n+1} \\ &< \Gamma_{\alpha} d_{\alpha,n,n+k} + (\Gamma_{\alpha} + 1)(1 - \Gamma_{\alpha})t_0/4 \\ &< \Gamma_{\alpha} t_0 + (1 - \Gamma_{\alpha})t_0/2 \\ &< t_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d_{\alpha,n,m} < t_0$, for all $m, n \geq N$. Thus $M(x_n, x_m, t_0) \geq \alpha$, for all $m, n \geq N$. This implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, M, \min) is complete, $\{x_n\}$ is convergent, say to $u \in X$.

Now we define

$$d_{\alpha,x,y} = \inf \{t > 0 : M(x, y, t) \geq \alpha\},$$

for all $n > 0, x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Now we show that there exists $N > 0$ such that

$$d_{\alpha,f(x_n),f(u)} \leq (3/4)d_{\alpha,u,f(u)}, \text{ for all } n > N.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $0 < t_1 \leq d_{\alpha,f(u),u} + \epsilon$ such that

$$M(f(u), u, t_1) \geq \alpha.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t_1/4) &= M(u, u, t_1/4) \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, u, t_1/4) = 1$. Therefore there exists $N > 0$ such that $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t_1/4) \geq \alpha$ and $M(x_n, u, t_1/4) \geq \alpha$, for all $n > N$. By (FM5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, f(u), t_1 + t_1/4) &\geq \min \{M(x_n, u, t_1/4), M(u, f(u), t_1)\} \\ &\geq \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n > N$. Since f is a weakly Zamfirescu map, for all $n > N$,

$$\begin{aligned} M(f(x_n), f(u), (3/4)t_1) &= M(f(x_n), f(u), s) \\ &\geq M(f(x_n), f(u), \zeta_\alpha(x_n, u) s) \\ &\geq \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $s = \max(t_1/4, (1/2)(t_1/4 + t_1/4), (1/2)(t_1/4 + t_1 + t_1/4))$. Thus $d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} \leq (3/4)t_1 \leq (3/4)d_{\alpha, u, f(u)} + \epsilon$, for all $n > N$. As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that

$$d_{\alpha, f(x_n), f(u)} \leq (3/4)d_{\alpha, u, f(u)}, \quad \text{for all } n > N.$$

Therefore $M(x_{n+1}, f(u), (3/4)d_{\alpha, u, f(u)}) \geq \alpha$, for all $n > N$. So

$$\begin{aligned} M(u, f(u), (3/4)d_{\alpha, u, f(u)}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+1}, f(u), (3/4)d_{\alpha, u, f(u)}) \\ &\geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d_{\alpha, u, f(u)} \leq (3/4)d_{\alpha, u, f(u)}$. Therefore

$$d_{\alpha, u, f(u)} = 0, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

This implies that $M(u, f(u), t) \geq \alpha$, for all $t > 0$ and all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. So $u = f(u)$. \square

Now we introduce weakly Kannan and weakly Chatterjea maps on fuzzy metric spaces and show that these class of functions are a subclass of the class of weakly Zamfirescu maps. Therefore, the classes of maps have a fixed point.

Definition 3.9. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space, $D \subseteq X$, and $f : D \rightarrow X$. The function f is said to be a weakly Kannan map, if for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\vartheta_\alpha : D \times D \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that

$$\sup \left\{ \vartheta_\alpha(x, y) : a \leq \inf_{M(x, y, t) \geq \alpha} t \leq b \right\} < 1, \quad \text{for all } 0 < a \leq b.$$

Moreover, if $M(x, f(x), t) \geq \alpha$ and $M(y, f(y), s) \geq \alpha$, then

$$M(f(x), f(y), (\vartheta_\alpha(x, y)/2)(t + s)) \geq \alpha,$$

for all $x, y \in D$ and all $t, s > 0$.

Theorem 3.10. *Let (X, M, \min) be a fuzzy metric space, $D \subseteq X$ and $f : D \rightarrow X$ be a weakly Kannan map. Then $f : D \rightarrow X$ is a weakly Zamfirescu map.*

Proof. Since $f : D \rightarrow X$ is a weakly Kannan map, for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\vartheta_\alpha : D \times D \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that

$$\sup \left\{ \vartheta_\alpha(x, y) : a \leq \inf_{M(x,y,t) \geq \alpha} t \leq b \right\} < 1, \quad \text{for all } 0 < a \leq b,$$

moreover, if $M(x, f(x), t) \geq \alpha$, $M(y, f(y), s) \geq \alpha$, then

$$M(f(x), f(y), (\vartheta_\alpha(x, y) / 2)(t + s)) \geq \alpha,$$

for all $x, y \in D$ and all $s, t > 0$.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $x, y \in D$ and $t_1, \dots, t_5 > 0$. Assume that

$$M(x, y, t_1) \geq \alpha, \quad M(x, f(x), t_2) \geq \alpha, \quad M(y, f(y), t_3) \geq \alpha,$$

$$M(y, f(x), t_4) \geq \alpha, \quad M(x, f(y), t_5) \geq \alpha.$$

Since f is a weakly Kannan map,

$$M(f(x), f(y), \vartheta_\alpha(x, y) (1/2)(t_2 + t_3)) \geq \alpha.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\leq M(f(x), f(y), \vartheta_\alpha(x, y) (1/2)(t_2 + t_3)) \\ &\leq M(f(x), f(y), \vartheta_\alpha(x, y) \max(t_1, (1/2)(t_2 + t_3), (1/2)(t_4 + t_5))). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $f : D \rightarrow X$ is a weakly Zamfirescu map. \square

Corollary 3.11. *Let (X, M, \min) be a complete fuzzy metric space such that M satisfies (FM6) and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a weakly Kannan map. Then f has a unique fixed point $u \in X$ and f is continuous at u . Moreover, for each $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{f^n(x_0)\}$ converges to u .*

Definition 3.12. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space, $D \subseteq X$, and $f : D \rightarrow X$. The function f is said to be a weakly Chatterjea map, if for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\xi_\alpha : D \times D \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that

$$\sup \left\{ \xi_\alpha(x, y) : a \leq \inf_{M(x,y,t) \geq \alpha} t \leq b \right\} < 1, \quad \text{for all } 0 < a \leq b.$$

Moreover, if $M(x, f(y), t) \geq \alpha$ and $M(y, f(x), s) \geq \alpha$, then

$$M(f(x), f(y), (\xi_\alpha(x, y) / 2)(t + s)) \geq \alpha,$$

for all $x, y \in D$ and all $s, t > 0$.

Theorem 3.13. *Let (X, M, \min) be a fuzzy metric space $D \subseteq X$ and $f : D \rightarrow X$ be a weakly Chatterjea map. Then $f : D \rightarrow X$ is a weakly Zamfirescu map.*

Proof. Since $f : D \rightarrow X$ is a weakly Chatterjea map, for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\xi_\alpha : D \times D \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that

$$\sup \left\{ \xi_\alpha(x, y) : a \leq \inf_{M(x, y, t) \geq \alpha} t \leq b \right\} < 1, \quad \text{for all } 0 < a \leq b.$$

Moreover, if $M(x, f(x), t) \geq \alpha$, $M(y, f(y), s) \geq \alpha$, then

$$M(f(x), f(y), (\xi_\alpha(x, y)/2)(t + s)) \geq \alpha,$$

for all $x, y \in D$ and all $s, t > 0$.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $x, y \in D$ and $t_1, \dots, t_5 > 0$. Suppose that

$$M(x, y, t_1) \geq \alpha, \quad M(x, f(x), t_2) \geq \alpha, \quad M(y, f(y), t_3) \geq \alpha,$$

$$M(y, f(x), t_4) \geq \alpha, \quad M(x, f(y), t_5) \geq \alpha.$$

Since f is a weakly Chatterjea map,

$$M(f(x), f(y), \xi_\alpha(x, y)(1/2)(t_4 + t_5)) \geq \alpha.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\leq M(f(x), f(y), \xi_\alpha(x, y)(1/2)(t_4 + t_5)) \\ &\leq M(f(x), f(y), \xi_\alpha(x, y) \max(t_1, (1/2)(t_2 + t_3), (1/2)(t_4 + t_5))). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $f : D \rightarrow X$ is a weakly Zamfirescu map. \square

Corollary 3.14. *Let (X, M, \min) be a complete fuzzy metric space such that M satisfies (FM6) and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a weakly Chatterjea map. Then f has a unique fixed point $u \in X$ and f is continuous at u . Moreover, for each $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{f^n(x_0)\}$ converges to u .*

4. CONCLUSIONS

Nowadays, fixed point and operator theory play an important role in different areas of mathematics and its applications, particularly in mathematics, physics, differential equation, game theory, and dynamic programming. Since fuzzy mathematics and fuzzy physics along with the classical ones are constantly developing, the fuzzy type of the fixed point and operator theory can also play an important role in the new fuzzy area and fuzzy mathematical physics. We think that this paper could be of interest to the researchers working in the field of fuzzy functional analysis in particular, fuzzy approximate fixed point theory. We proved results about Banach's fixed point theorem for classes of functions on fuzzy metric space such as weakly Kannan, weakly Chatterjee, and weakly Zamfirescu.

REFERENCES

1. A.H. Ansari and A. Razani, *Some fixed point theorems for C-class functions in b-metric spaces*, Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis, 10 (2018), pp. 85-96.
2. D. Ariza-Ruiz and A. Jimnez-Melado, *A continuation method for weakly Kannan maps*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2010 (2010), pp. 1-12,
3. D. Ariza-Ruiza, A. Jimnez-Meladob and G. López-Acedo, *A fixed point theorem for weakly Zamfirescu mappings*, Nonlinear Analysis, 74 (2011), pp. 1628-1640.
4. S.K. Chatterjea, *Fixed-point theorems*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., 25 (1972), pp. 727-730.
5. L.B. Ćirić, *Generalized contractions and fixed point theorem*, Publ. Inst. Math., 12 (1971), pp. 19-26.
6. J. Dugundji and A. Granas, *Weakly contractive maps and elementary domain invariance theorem*, Bull. Soc. Math. Grece (N.S.), 19 (1978), pp. 141-151.
7. A. George and P. Veeramani, *On some results in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 64 (1994), pp. 395-399.
8. M.B. Ghaemi and A. Razani, *Fixed and periodic points in the probabilistic normed and metric spaces*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 28 (2006), pp. 1181-1187.
9. M. Grabiec, *Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 27 (1988), pp. 385-389.
10. V. Gregori, J. Miñana and S. Morillas, *On completable fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 267 (2015), pp. 133-139
11. R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 60 (1968), pp. 71-76.
12. E. Rakotch, *A note on contractive mappings*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 13 (1962), pp. 459-465.
13. A. Razani, *A contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 3 (2005), pp. 257-265.
14. A. Razani, *A fixed point theorem in the Menger probabilistic metric space*, New Zealand J. Math., 35 (2006), pp. 109-114.
15. A. Razani, *Existence of fixed point for the nonexpansive mapping of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 30 (2006), pp. 367-373.
16. A. Razani, *Results in fixed point theory*, Andisheh Zarin publisher, Qazvin, August 2010.
17. A. Razani and R. Moradi. *Fixed point theory in modular space*, Saieh Ghostar publisher, Qazvin, April 2006.

18. A. Razani and M. Shirdaryazdi, *A common fixed point theorem of compatible maps in Menger space*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 37 (2007), pp. 26-34.
 19. A. Razani and M. Shirdaryazdi, *Some results on fixed points in the fuzzy metric space*, J. Appl. Math. Comput., 20 (2006), pp. 401-408.
 20. R. Saadati, A. Razani and H. Adibi, *A Common Fixed Point Theorem in L-fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 33 (2007), pp. 358-363.
 21. T. Zamfirescu, *Fixed point theorems in metric spaces*, Arch. Math., 23 (1972), pp. 292-298.
-

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VALI-E-ASR UNIVERSITY OF RAFSANJAN, RAFSANJAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `saheli@vru.ac.ir`

² DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VALI-E-ASR UNIVERSITY OF RAFSANJAN, RAFSANJAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `amah@vru.ac.ir`