

# Nearly $k$ -th Partial Ternary Cubic $*$ -Derivations On Non-Archimedean $I$ -Fuzzy $C^*$ -Ternary Algebras

**Mohammad Ali Abolfathi**

**Sahand Communications in  
Mathematical Analysis**

Print ISSN: 2322-5807  
Online ISSN: 2423-3900  
Volume: 19  
Number: 3  
Pages: 13-33

Sahand Commun. Math. Anal.  
DOI: 10.22130/scma.2021.543926.1026

Volume 19, No. 3, September 2022

Print ISSN 2322-5807  
Online ISSN 2423-3900

Sahand Communications  
in  
Mathematical Analysis



Photo by Farhad Mansoori

Sahand Mountain, Maragheh, Iran.

SCMA, P. O. Box 55181-83111, Maragheh, Iran  
<http://scma.maragheh.ac.ir>

## Nearly $k - th$ Partial Ternary Cubic $*$ -Derivations On Non-Archimedean $\ell$ -Fuzzy $C^*$ -Ternary Algebras

Mohammad Ali Abolfathi

---

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate approximations of the  $k - th$  partial ternary cubic derivations on non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy Banach ternary algebras and non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy  $C^*$ -ternary algebras. First, we study non-Archimedean and  $\ell$ -fuzzy spaces, and then prove the stability of partial ternary cubic  $*$ -derivations on non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy  $C^*$ -ternary algebras. We therefore provide a link among different disciplines: fuzzy set theory, lattice theory, non-Archimedean spaces, and mathematical analysis.

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A classical equation in the theory of functional equations is the following: "when is it true that a function which approximately satisfies a functional equation must be close to an exact solution of the equation?". If the problem accepts a solution, we say that the equation is stable. The first problem concerning group homomorphisms was raised by Ulam [43] in 1940. In the next year, Hyers [21] gave the first affirmative answer to the question of Ulam in context of Banach spaces. Subsequently, the result of Hyers was generalized by Aoki [3] for additive mappings and Rassias [35] proved a generalization of the Hayers' theorem for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. Furthermore, in 1994, Găvruta[12] provided a further generalization of Rassias' theorem in which he replaced the bound  $\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  by a general control function  $\varphi(x, y)$ . Recently, several stability results have been obtained for various equations and mappings with more general

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B52, 46L05, 47B48.

*Key words and phrases.* Partial ternary derivation, Cubic derivation, Non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy algebra,  $C^*$ -ternary algebra, Hyers-Ulam-Rasias stability.

Received: 29 November 2021, Accepted: 04 April 2022.

domains and ranges by a number of authors [9, 20, 23, 31, 32]. We also refer the readers to books [7, 22, 36].

In 1897, Hensel [18] discovered the  $p$ -adic numbers as a number theoretical analogue of power series in complex analysis. The most important examples of non-Archimedean spaces are  $p$ -adic numbers. A key property of  $p$ -adic numbers is that they do not satisfy the Archimedean axiom: for all  $x, y > 0$ , there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $x < ny$ . During the last three decades, the theory of non-Archimedean spaces has gained the interest of physicists for their research, in particular the problems that coming from quantum physics,  $p$ -adic strings and superstrings [28]. Although many results in the classical normed space theory have a non-Archimedean counterpart, their proofs are essentially different and require an entirely new kind of intuition. One may note that for  $|n| \leq 1$  in each valuation field, every triangle is isosceles and there may be no unit vector in a non-Archimedean normed space. These facts show that the non-Archimedean framework is of special interest. It turned out that non-Archimedean spaces have many nice applications [15, 37, 42].

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field. A non-Archimedean absolute value on  $\mathbb{K}$  is a function (valuation)  $|\cdot| : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that, for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $|a| \geq 0$  and equality holds if and only if  $a = 0$ ,  $|ab| = |a||b|$ ,  $|a + b| \leq \max\{|a|, |b|\}$  (the strict triangle inequality). Note that  $|1| = |-1| = 1$  and  $|n| \leq 1$  for each integer  $n$ . A trivial example of a non-Archimedean valuation is the functional  $|\cdot|$  taking everything except for 0 into 1 and  $|0| = 0$ . We always assume, in addition, that  $|\cdot|$  is non-trivial, i.e., there exists an  $a_0 \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $|a_0| \notin \{0, 1\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a linear space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$  with a non-Archimedean nontrivial valuation  $|\cdot|$ . A  $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a non-Archimedean norm (valuation) if it satisfies the following conditions:  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,  $\|rx\| = |r|\|x\|$ ,  $\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$  (the strict triangle inequality (ultrametric) for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|)$  is called non-Archimedean normed space. From the fact that

$$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \max\{\|x_{i+1} - x_i\| : m \leq i \leq n - 1\}, \quad (n > m).$$

holds, a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy if and only if  $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}$  converges to zero in a non-Archimedean normed space. By a complete non-Archimedean space, we mean one in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Fix a prime number  $p$ . For any nonzero rational number  $x$ , there exists a unique integer  $n_x$  such that  $x = \frac{a}{b}p^{n_x}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers not divisible by  $p$ . Then  $|x|_p := p^{-n_x}$  defines a non-Archimedean norm on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to the metric  $d(x, y) = |x - y|_p$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and it is called the  $p$ -adic number field. In fact  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is the

set of all formal series  $x = \sum_{k \geq n}^{\infty} a^k p_k$ , where  $|a_k| \leq p-1$  are integers. The addition and multiplication between any two elements of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  are defined naturally. The norm  $\left| \sum_{k \geq n}^{\infty} a^k p_k \right|_p = p^{-n_x}$  is a non-Archimedean norm on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and it makes  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  a locally compact field [15, 37]. Note that if  $p \geq 3$ , then  $|2^n|_p = 1$  for each integer  $n$ .

On the other hand, the theory of fuzzy sets was introduced firstly by Zadeh in 1965 [45]. Fuzzy set theory is a powerful hand set for modeling uncertainty and vagueness in various problems arising in the field of science and engineering. After the pioneering work of Zadeh, there has been a great effort to obtain fuzzy analogues of classical theories. Among other fields, a progressive development is made in the field of fuzzy topology [2, 6, 13, 24, 26, 29, 44]. Goguen in [14] generalized the notion of a fuzzy subset of  $\mathcal{X}$  to that of an  $\ell$ -fuzzy subset, namely a function from  $\mathcal{X}$  to a lattice  $L$ . One of the problems in  $\ell$ -fuzzy topology is to obtain an appropriate concept of  $\ell$ -fuzzy metric spaces and  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed spaces. Saadati and Park [39], introduced and studied a notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric(normed) spaces and then Deschrijver et al. and Saadati generalized the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy metric(normed) spaces and introduced and studied a notion of  $\ell$ -fuzzy metric spaces and  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed spaces [8, 38]. In 2009, Mirmostafae and Moslehian [30], proved the stability of Cauchy functional equation in non-Archimedean fuzzy spaces in the spirit of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias-Găvruta. In 2010, Shakeri, Saadati and Park [41] investigated the classical quadratic functional equation and proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability in the context of non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed spaces, (see also[1, 10]).

A triangular norm (shortly,  $t$ -norm) is a binary operation  $\mathcal{T} : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which is commutative, associative, monotone and has 1 as the unit element. Basic examples are the Lukasiewicz  $t$ -norm  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}}(x, y) = \max\{x + y - 1, 0\}$  for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  and the  $t$ -norms  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(x, y) = \min\{x, y\}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(x, y) = xy$  and

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \min\{x, y\}, & \text{if } \max\{x, y\} = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A  $t$ -norm  $\mathcal{T}$  is said to be of Hadžić-type (we denote by  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{H}$ ) if the family  $(x_{\mathcal{T}}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is equicontinuous at  $x = 1$ , where is defined by

$$x_{\mathcal{T}}^1 = x, \quad x_{\mathcal{T}}^n = \mathcal{T}(x_{\mathcal{T}}^{n-1}, x),$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \geq 2$ , [16].

A  $t$ -norm  $\mathcal{T}$  can be extended (by associativity) in a unique way to an  $n$ -ary operation taking, for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ , the value

$\mathcal{T}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_{i=1}^0 x_i = 1, \quad \mathcal{T}_{i=1}^n x_i = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i, x_n) = \mathcal{T}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

The  $t$ -norm  $\mathcal{T}$  can also be extended to a countable operation taking, for any sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $[0, 1]$ , the value

$$\mathcal{T}_{i=1}^\infty x_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

**Proposition 1.1** ([17]). (1) For  $\mathcal{T} \geq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}}$  the following implication holds:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{i=1}^\infty x_{n+i} = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_n) < \infty.$$

(2) If  $\mathcal{T}$  is of Hadžić-type, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{i=1}^\infty x_{n+i} = 1,$$

for every sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 1$ .

Let  $\ell = (L, \leq_L)$  be a complete lattice and let  $U$  be a nonempty set called the universe. An  $\ell$ -fuzzy set in  $U$  is defined as a mapping  $A : U \rightarrow L$ . For each  $u$  in  $U$ ,  $A(u)$  represents the degree (in  $L$ ) to which  $u$  is an element of  $A$ .

A  $t$ -norm on  $([0, 1], \leq)$  can be straightforwardly extended to any lattice  $\ell = (L, \leq_L)$ . Let  $\ell = (L, \leq_L)$  be a lattice. A  $t$ -norm on  $\ell$  is a mapping  $\mathcal{T} : L \times L \rightarrow L$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\mathcal{T}(x, 1_\ell) = x$  (boundary condition) ( $x \in L$ );
- (ii)  $\mathcal{T}(x, y) = \mathcal{T}(y, x)$  (commutativity) ( $x, y \in L$ );
- (iii)  $\mathcal{T}(x, \mathcal{T}(y, z)) = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}(x, y), z)$  (associativity) ( $x, y, z \in L$ );
- (iv) If  $x_1 \leq_L y_1$  and  $x_2 \leq_L y_2$  then  $\mathcal{T}(x_1, x_2) \leq_L \mathcal{T}(y_1, y_2)$  (monotonicity) ( $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in L$ ).

A  $t$ -norm  $T$  on  $\ell$  is said to be continuous if, for any  $x, y \in L$  and any sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  which converge to  $x$  and  $y$  respectively,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}(x_n, y_n) = \mathcal{T}(x, y).$$

A  $t$ -norm  $\mathcal{T}$  can also be defined recursively as an  $(n + 1)$ -ary operation by  $\mathcal{T}^1 = \mathcal{T}$  and

$$\mathcal{T}^n(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}^{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{n+1}),$$

for all  $n \geq 2$  and  $x_i \in L$ .

A negator on  $\ell$  is any decreasing mapping  $\mathcal{N} : L \rightarrow L$  satisfying  $\mathcal{N}(0_\ell) = 1_\ell$  and  $\mathcal{N}(1_\ell) = 0_\ell$ . If  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}(x)) = x$ , for all  $x \in L$ , then  $\mathcal{N}$  is called a involutive negator. The negator  $\mathcal{N}_s$  on  $([0, 1], \leq)$  defined as  $\mathcal{N}_s(x) = 1 - x$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  is called the standard negator on  $([0, 1], \leq)$ . In this paper, the involutive negator  $\mathcal{N}$  is fixed.

**Definition 1.2.** A non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed space is a triple  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  is a vector space,  $\mathcal{T}$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm on  $L$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is an  $\ell$ -fuzzy set on  $\mathcal{V} \times ]0, +\infty[$  satisfying the following conditions: for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $t, s \in ]0, +\infty[$ ,

- (i)  $0_\ell <_L \mathcal{P}(x, t)$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{P}(x, t) = 1_\ell$  for all  $t > 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha x, t) = \mathcal{P}\left(x, \frac{t}{|\alpha|}\right)$  for each  $\alpha \neq 0$ ;
- (iv)  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{P}(x, t), \mathcal{P}(y, s)) \leq_L \mathcal{P}(x + y, \max\{t, s\})$ ;
- (v)  $\mathcal{P}(x, \cdot) : ]0, +\infty[ \rightarrow L$  is continuous.
- (vi)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{P}(x, t) = 0_\ell$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}(x, t) = 1_\ell$ .

In this case,  $\mathcal{P}$  is called an non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy norm. Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a non-Archimedean normed linear space and

$$\mathcal{P}(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \|x\|, \\ 1, & t > \|x\|. \end{cases}$$

Then, the triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \min)$  is a non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed space in which  $L = [0, 1]$ .

A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed space  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$  is called a Cauchy sequence if, for each  $\varepsilon \in L \setminus \{0_\ell\}$  and  $t > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n, m \geq n_0$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(x_n - x_m, t) >_L N(\varepsilon)$ , where  $N$  is a negator on  $\ell$ . A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be convergent to  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  in the non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed space  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$  which is denoted by  $x_n \rightarrow x$  if  $\mathcal{P}(x_n - x, t) \rightarrow 1_\ell$  where  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ . A non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed space  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{V}$  is convergent.

**Definition 1.3.** A non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}')$  is a non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed space  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$  with algebraic structure if

$$\mathcal{P}(xy, ts) \geq_L \mathcal{T}'(\mathcal{P}(x, t), \mathcal{P}(y, s)),$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t, s > 0$ , in which  $\mathcal{T}'$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}')$  be a non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy Banach algebra. An involution on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a mapping  $x \rightarrow x^*$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $x^{**} = x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
- (ii)  $(\alpha x + \beta y)^* = \bar{\alpha}x^* + \bar{\beta}y^*$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (iii)  $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

If, in addition,  $\mathcal{P}(x^*x, ts) = \mathcal{T}'(\mathcal{P}(x, t), \mathcal{P}(x, s))$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t, s > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  is an non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy  $C^*$ -algebra.

Ternary algebraic operations have propounded originally in nineteenth century by several mathematicians such as Cayley [5] who introduced

the notion of cubic matrix which in turn was generalized by Kapranov, Gelfand and Zelevinskii in 1990 [25]. Their structures appeared more or less naturally in various domains of mathematical physics and data processing. The application of ternary algebra in supersymmetry is presented in [27] and in Yang-Baxter equation in [33]. Cubic analogue of Laplace and d'Alembert equations have been considered for the first time by Himbert in [19, 27].

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a linear space over a complex field equipped with a mapping  $[ \ ] : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  (ternary product) with  $(x, y, z) \rightarrow [xyz]$  that is linear in variables  $x, y, z$  and satisfies the associative identity, i.e.,  $[[xyz]vw] = [x[yzv]w] = [xy[zvw]]$  for all  $x, y, z, v, w \in \mathcal{A}$ . The pair  $(\mathcal{A}, [ \ ])$  is called a ternary algebra. The ternary algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, [ \ ])$  is called unital if it has an identity element, i.e. an element  $e \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $[eex] = [xee] = x$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . A  $*$ -ternary algebra is a ternary algebra together with a mapping  $x \rightarrow x^*$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  which satisfies  $(x^*)^* = x$ ,  $(\alpha x + \beta y)^* = \bar{\alpha}x^* + \bar{\beta}y^*$  and  $[xyz]^* = [z^*y^*x^*]$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . In the case that  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital and  $e$  is its unit, we assume that  $e^* = e$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a ternary algebra and there exists a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  which satisfies  $\|[xyz]\| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \|z\|$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a normed ternary algebra. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital ternary algebra with unit element  $e$  then  $\|e\| = 1$ . By a Banach ternary algebra, we mean a normed ternary algebra with a complete norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a ternary algebra,  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  is called central if  $[xyz] = [zxy] = [yzx]$  for all  $y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ . The set of central elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called the center of  $\mathcal{A}$  and is shown by  $Z(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $*$ -normed ternary algebra and  $Z(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ , then we have  $\|x^*\| = \|x\|$ .

By a non-Archimedean Banach ternary algebra, we mean a complete non-Archimedean vector spaces  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with a ternary product  $(x, y, z) \rightarrow [xyz]$  of  $\mathcal{A}^3$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  which is  $\mathbb{K}$ -Linear in each variables and associative in the sense that  $[xy[zvw]] = [x[yzv]w] = [[xyz]vw]$  and satisfies  $\|[xyz]\| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \|z\|$  for  $x, y, z, v, w \in \mathcal{A}$ . A non-Archimedean  $C^*$ ternary algebra is a non-Archimedean Banach  $*$ -ternary algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\|[x^*yx]\| = \|x\|^2 \|y\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y \in Z(\mathcal{A})$ .

Eshaghi and et. al. [11] introduced the concept of partial ternary derivation and proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of partial ternary derivation in Banach ternary algebras. Recently, Arsalan and Inceboz [4] established the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the partial ternary derivation in Banach ternary algebras.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ternary algebra and  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$  be a non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed space. Then

- (i)  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}')$  is called the non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy ternary normed algebra if

$$\mathcal{P}([xyz], stu) \geq_L \mathcal{T}'(\mathcal{T}'(\mathcal{P}(x, s), \mathcal{P}(y, t)), \mathcal{P}(z, u)),$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$  and all positive real numbers  $s, t$  and  $u$ .

- (ii) A complete ternary non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed algebra is called a ternary non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy Banach algebra.

Let  $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$  be normed ternary algebras over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the Banach ternary algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The mapping  $\mathcal{D}_k$  is called  $k$ -th a partial ternary cubic \*-derivation if

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\mathcal{D}_k(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k + y_k, \dots, x_n) + 2\mathcal{D}_k(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k - y_k, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, 2x_k + y_k, \dots, x_n) \\ &+ \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, 2x_k - y_k, \dots, x_n) \\ &- 12\mathcal{D}_k(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

and also there exists a mapping  $\pi_k : \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, [a_k b_k c_k], \dots, x_n) &= [\pi_k(a_k) \pi_k(b_k) \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, c_k, \dots, x_n)] \\ &+ [\pi_k(a_k) \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, b_k, \dots, x_n) \pi_k(c_k)] \\ &+ [\mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n) \pi_k(b_k) \pi_k(c_k)], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k^*, \dots, x_n) = (\mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n))^*,$$

for all  $a_k, b_k, c_k \in \mathcal{A}_k, x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i (i \neq k)$ .

In 2002, Jun and Kim [23] introduced the following functional equation

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 2(f(x + y) + f(x - y)) + 12f(x),$$

and established the general solution and the Hyers-Ulam stability for it (see also [34]). This functional equation is called cubic functional equation and every solution of cubic equation is said to be a cubic function. Obviously, the function  $f(x) = x^3$  satisfies this functional equation.

In this paper, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of  $k$ -th partial ternary cubic derivations on non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy Banach ternary algebras and non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy  $C^*$ -ternary algebras.

## 2. STABILITY OF PARTIAL TERNARY CUBIC DERIVATION ON NON-ARCHIMEDEAN $\ell$ -FUZZY BANACH TERNARY ALGEBRAS

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a non-Archimedean field,  $\mathcal{X}$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$  be a non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $\Psi_i$



be an  $\ell$ -fuzzy set on  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times [0, \infty)$  such that  $\Psi_i(x, y, z, \cdot)$  is non-decreasing, i.e.,

$$\Psi_i(cx, cx, cx, t) \geq_L \Psi_i\left(x, x, x, \frac{t}{|c|}\right),$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_i(x, y, z, t) = 1_\ell,$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ ,  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $c \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a mapping with  $\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 0_k, \dots, x_n) = 0_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Assume that there exists an  $\ell$ -fuzzy set  $\Psi_k$  on  $\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \times \mathcal{A}_3 \times [0, \infty)$  such that for some  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and some integer  $\lambda \geq 2$  with  $|2^\lambda| < \alpha$  which  $|2| \neq 0$ , we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi_k\left(2^{-\lambda}x_k, 2^{-\lambda}y_k, 2^{-\lambda}z_k, t\right) \geq_L \Psi_k(x_k, y_k, z_k, \alpha t),$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{j=l}^\infty M\left(x_k, \frac{\alpha^j}{|2|^{\lambda j}} t\right) = 1_\ell,$$

for all  $x_k, y_k, z_k \in \mathcal{A}_k$  and  $t > 0$ . Also assume that there exists a cubic mapping  $\pi_k : \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  satisfying

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2a_k + b_k, \dots, x_n) + \mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2a_k - b_k, \dots, x_n) \right. \\ & \quad - 2\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k + b_k, \dots, x_n) - 2\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k - b_k, \dots, x_n) \\ & \quad \left. - 12\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n), t\right) \\ & \geq_L \Psi_k(a_k, b_k, 0_k, t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, [a_k b_k c_k], \dots, x_n) - [\pi_k(a_k)\pi_k(b_k)\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, c_k, \dots, x_n)] \right. \\ & \quad - [\pi_k(a_k)\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, b_k, \dots, x_n)\pi_k(c_k)] \\ & \quad \left. + [\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n)\pi_k(b_k)\pi_k(c_k)], t\right) \\ & \geq_L \Psi_k(a_k, b_k, c_k, t), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a_k, b_k, c_k \in \mathcal{A}_k$ ,  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i (i \neq k)$  and  $t > 0$ . Then there exists a unique  $k$ -th partial cubic derivation  $\mathcal{D}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) - \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t\right)$$

$$\geq_L \mathcal{T}_{j=1}^{\infty} M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{|2|^{\lambda^j} t} \right),$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$  where

$$M(x_k, t) := \mathcal{T}(\Psi_k(x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \Psi_k(2x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \dots, \Psi_k(2^{\lambda-1}x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t)),$$

for all  $x_k \in \mathcal{A}_k$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* One can use induction on  $j$  to show that

$$(2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2^j x_k, \dots, x_n) - 2^{3j} \mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t) \\ & \geq_L M_j(x_k, t) \\ & = \mathcal{T}(\Psi_k(x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \Psi_k(2x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \dots, \Psi_k(2^{j-1}x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t)), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ ,  $t > 0$ . Replacing  $a_k = x_k$  and  $b_k = 0_k$  in (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}(2\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2x_k, \dots, x_n) - 16\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t) \\ & \geq_L \Psi_k(x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2x_k, \dots, x_n) - 8\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t) \\ & \geq_L \Psi_k(x_k, 0_k, 0_k, 2t) \\ & \geq_L \Psi_k(x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ . This proves (2.6) for  $j = 1$ . Let (2.6) holds for some  $j > 1$ . Substituting  $a_k$  by  $2^j x_k$  and  $b_k$  by  $0_k$  in (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2^{j+1}x_k, \dots, x_n) - 8\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2^j x_k, \dots, x_n), t) \\ & \geq_L \Psi_k(2^j x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ . Since  $|8| \leq 1$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2^{j+1}x_k, \dots, x_n) - 2^{3(j+1)}\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t) \\ & \geq_L \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2^{j+1}x_k, \dots, x_n) - 2^3\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2^j x_k, \dots, x_n), t) \\ & \quad , 2^3\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2^{j+1}x_k, \dots, x_n) - 2^{3(j+1)}\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t)) \\ & = \mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2^{j+1}x_k, \dots, x_n) - 2^3\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2^j x_k, \dots, x_n), t) \right. \\ & \quad \left. , \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2^j x_k, \dots, x_n) - 2^{3j}\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), \frac{t}{|8|}\right)\right) \\ & \geq_L \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2^{j+1}x_k, \dots, x_n) - 2^3\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 2^j x_k, \dots, x_n), t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& , \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, 2^j x_k, \dots, x_n \right) - 2^{3j} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \\
& \geq_L \mathcal{T} \left( \Psi_k \left( 2^j x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t \right), M_j \left( x_k, t \right) \right) \\
& = M_{j+1} \left( x_k, t \right),
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ . Therefore (2.6) holds for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad & \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, 2^\lambda x_k, \dots, x_n \right) - 2^{3\lambda} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \\
& \geq_L M \left( x_k, t \right),
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ . Replacing  $x_k$  by  $2^{-\lambda(l+1)}x_k$  in (2.7) and using (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad & \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right) - 2^{3\lambda} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda(l+1)}}, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \\
& \geq_L M \left( x_k, \alpha^{l+1} t \right),
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $l \geq 0$ . The above relation implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P} \left( (2^{3\lambda})^l \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right) - (2^{3\lambda})^{l+1} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda(l+1)}}, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \\
& \geq_L M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{l+1}}{|(2^{3\lambda})^l|} t \right) \\
& \geq_L M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{l+1}}{|(2^\lambda)^l|} t \right),
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $l \geq 0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P} \left( (2^{3\lambda})^l \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right) - (2^{3\lambda})^{l+p} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda(l+p)}}, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \\
& \geq_L \mathcal{T}_{j=l}^{l+p} \left( (2^{3\lambda})^j \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda j}}, \dots, x_n \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - (2^{3\lambda})^{j+p} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda(j+p)}}, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \\
& \geq_L \mathcal{T}_{j=l}^{l+p} M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{|(2^\lambda)^j|} t \right),
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $l \geq 0$ . Since  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{j=l}^{l+p} M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{|(2^\lambda)^j|} t \right) = 1_\ell$ , for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ , then the sequence

$$\left\{ (2^{3\lambda})^l \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right) \right\},$$

is Cauchy in the non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy Banach space  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$ . Hence, we can define a mapping  $\mathcal{D}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P} \left( \left( 2^{3\lambda} \right)^l \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right) - \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) = 1_\ell,$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ . For each  $l \geq 1, x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n \right) - 2^{3\lambda l} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{a_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \\ &= \mathcal{P} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} 2^{3\lambda j} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{a_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2^{3\lambda(j+1)} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{a_k}{2^{\lambda(j+1)}}, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \\ & \geq_L \mathcal{T}_{j=0}^{l-1} \left( \mathcal{P} \left( 2^{3\lambda j} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{a_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - 2^{3\lambda(j+1)} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{a_k}{2^{\lambda(j+1)}}, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \right) \\ & \geq_L \mathcal{T}_{j=0}^{l-1} M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{|2^\lambda|^j} t \right), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n \right) - \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \\ & \geq_L \mathcal{T} \left( \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n \right) - 2^{3\lambda l} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{a_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. , \mathcal{P} \left( 2^{3\lambda l} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{a_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right) - \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \right) \\ & \geq_L \mathcal{T} \left( \mathcal{T}_{j=0}^{l-1} M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{|2^\lambda|^j} t \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. , \mathcal{P} \left( 2^{3\lambda l} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{a_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right) - \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit as  $l \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n \right) - \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \\ & \geq_L \mathcal{T}_{j=1}^{\infty} M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{|2^\lambda|^j} t \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ . Now, replacing  $a_k, b_k, c_k$  with  $2^{-\lambda}a_k, 2^{-\lambda}b_k, 2^{-\lambda}c_k$ , respectively, in (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{[a_k b_k c_k]}{2^{3\lambda}}, \dots, x_n \right) - \left[ \frac{\pi_k(a_k)}{2^{3\lambda}} \frac{\pi_k(b_k)}{2^{3\lambda}} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{c_k}{2^\lambda}, \dots, x_n \right) \right] \right. \\ & \quad - \left[ \frac{\pi_k(a_k)}{2^{3\lambda}} \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{b_k}{2^\lambda}, \dots, x_n \right) \frac{\pi_k(c_k)}{2^{3\lambda}} \right] \\ & \quad \left. - \left[ \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{a_k}{2^\lambda}, \dots, x_n \right) \frac{\pi_k(b_k)}{2^{3\lambda}} \frac{\pi_k(c_k)}{2^{3\lambda}} \right], t \right) \\ & \geq_L \Psi_k \left( \frac{a_k}{2^\lambda}, \frac{b_k}{2^\lambda}, \frac{c_k}{2^\lambda}, t \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a_k, b_k, c_k \in \mathcal{A}_k, x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i (i \neq k)$  and  $t > 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P} \left( 2^{9\lambda} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{[a_k b_k c_k]}{2^{3\lambda}}, \dots, x_n \right) \right. \\ & \quad - 2^{9\lambda} \left[ \frac{\pi_k(a_k)}{2^{3\lambda}} \frac{\pi_k(b_k)}{2^{3\lambda}} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{c_k}{2^\lambda}, \dots, x_n \right) \right] \\ & \quad - 2^{9\lambda} \left[ \frac{\pi_k(a_k)}{2^{3\lambda}} \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{b_k}{2^\lambda}, \dots, x_n \right) \frac{\pi_k(c_k)}{2^{3\lambda}} \right] \\ & \quad \left. - 2^{9\lambda} \left[ \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{a_k}{2^\lambda}, \dots, x_n \right) \frac{\pi_k(b_k)}{2^{3\lambda}} \frac{\pi_k(c_k)}{2^{3\lambda}} \right], t \right) \\ & \geq_L \Psi_k \left( \frac{a_k}{2^\lambda}, \frac{b_k}{2^\lambda}, \frac{c_k}{2^\lambda}, \frac{t}{|2|^{9\lambda}} \right) \\ & \geq_L \Psi_k \left( a_k, b_k, c_k, \frac{\alpha^l}{|2|^{\lambda l}} t \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a_k, b_k, c_k \in \mathcal{A}_k, x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i (i \neq k)$  and  $t > 0$ .

By  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_k(a_k, b_k, c_k, \frac{\alpha^l}{|2|^{\lambda l}} t) = 1_\ell$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, [a_k b_k c_k], \dots, x_n) &= [\pi_k(a_k) \pi_k(b_k) \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, c_k, \dots, x_n)] \\ & \quad + [\pi_k(a_k) \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, b_k, \dots, x_n) \pi_k(c_k)] \\ & \quad + [\mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n) \pi_k(b_k) \pi_k(c_k)], \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a_k, b_k, c_k \in \mathcal{A}_k, x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i (i \neq k)$ . As  $\mathcal{T}$  is continuous, from a well known result in  $\ell$ -fuzzy (probabilistic) normed spaces [40], it follows that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P} \left( 8^\lambda \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, 2^{-\lambda}(2a_k + b_k), \dots, x_n \right) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left( 8^{\lambda l} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, 2^{-\lambda l} (2a_k - b_k), \dots, x_n \right) \right) \\
 & - 2 \left( 8^{\lambda l} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, 2^{-\lambda l} (a_k + b_k), \dots, x_n \right) \right) \\
 & - 2 \left( 8^{\lambda l} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, 2^{-\lambda l} (a_k - b_k), \dots, x_n \right) \right) \\
 & - 12 \left( 8^{\lambda l} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, 2^{-\lambda l} a_k, \dots, x_n \right) \right), t \Big) \\
 & = \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, (2a_k + b_k), \dots, x_n \right) \right. \\
 & \quad + \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, (2a_k - b_k), \dots, x_n \right) \\
 & \quad - 2\mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, (a_k + b_k), \dots, x_n \right) \\
 & \quad - 2\mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, (a_k - b_k), \dots, x_n \right) \\
 & \quad \left. - 12\mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n \right), t \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a_k, b_k \in \mathcal{A}_k, x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i (i \neq k, i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  and  $t > 0$ . Replacing  $a_k, b_k$  by  $2^{-\lambda l} a_k, 2^{-\lambda l} b_k$  in (2.3) and by (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{P} \left( 8^{\lambda l} \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, 2^{-\lambda l} (2a_k + b_k), \dots, x_n \right) \right. \\
 & \quad + \left. \left( 8^{\lambda l} \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, 2^{-\lambda l} (2a_k - b_k), \dots, x_n \right) \right) \right) \\
 & - 2 \left( 8^{\lambda l} \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, 2^{-\lambda l} (a_k + b_k), \dots, x_n \right) \right) \\
 & - 2 \left( 8^{\lambda l} \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, 2^{-\lambda l} (a_k - b_k), \dots, x_n \right) \right) \\
 & - 12 \left( 8^{\lambda l} \mathcal{D}_k \left( x_1, \dots, 2^{-\lambda l} a_k, \dots, x_n \right) \right), t \Big) \\
 & \geq_L \Psi_k \left( 2^{-\lambda l} a_k, 2^{-\lambda l} b_k, 0_k, t \right) \\
 & \geq_L \Psi_k \left( a_k, b_k, 0_k, \frac{\alpha^l}{|2^{\lambda l}|} t \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a_k, b_k \in \mathcal{A}_k, x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i (i \neq k, i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  and  $t > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_k \left( a_k, b_k, 0_k, \frac{\alpha^l}{|2^{\lambda l}|} t \right) = 1_\ell$ , we infer that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a cubic mapping with respect to the  $k$ -th variable.

For the uniqueness of  $\mathcal{D}$ , let  $\mathcal{D}'_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be another  $k$ -th partial ternary cubic derivation such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad & \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n \right) - \mathcal{D}'_k \left( x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \\
 & \geq_L \mathcal{T}_{j=1}^\infty M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{|2|^{\lambda j}} t \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ . Then for each  $l = 1, 2, \dots, x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) - \mathcal{D}'_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t \right) \\ & \geq_L \mathcal{T} \left( \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) - 2^{3\lambda l} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right), t \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. , \mathcal{P} \left( 2^{3\lambda l} \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right) - \mathcal{D}'_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ . From (2.9), we conclude that  $\mathcal{D}_k = \mathcal{D}'_k$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$  be a non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$  under a  $t$ -norm Hadžić-type ( $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{H}$ ). Let  $\mathcal{G}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a mapping with  $\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 0_k, \dots, x_n) = 0_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Assume that there exists an  $\ell$ -fuzzy set  $\Psi_k$  on  $\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \times \mathcal{A}_3 \times [0, \infty)$  satisfying (2.1) and (2.2) for some  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and some integer  $\lambda \geq 2$  with  $|2^\lambda| < \alpha$  which  $|2| \neq 0$ . Also assume that there exists a cubic mapping  $\pi_k : \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  satisfying (2.3) and (2.4). Then there exists a unique  $k$ -th partial cubic derivation  $\mathcal{D}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P} \left( \mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) - \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t \right) \\ & \geq_\ell \mathcal{T}_{j=1}^\infty M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{|2|^{\lambda j}} t \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$  where

$$M(x_k, t := \mathcal{T}(\Psi_k(x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \Psi_k(2x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \dots, \Psi_k(2^{\lambda-1}x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t)),$$

for all  $x_k \in \mathcal{A}_k$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M \left( x, \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{|2|^{\lambda j}} t \right) = 1_\ell,$$

for all  $x_k \in \mathcal{A}_k$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  is of Hadžić-type, it follows from Proposition 1.1 that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{j=n}^\infty M \left( x, \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{|2|^{\lambda j}} t \right) = 1_\ell,$$

for all  $x_k \in \mathcal{A}_k$  and  $t > 0$ . Now, we get the conclusion by applying Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

Similarly, we can obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{G}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a mapping with

$$\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 0_k, \dots, x_n) = 0_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Assume that there exists an  $\ell$ -fuzzy set  $\Psi_k$  on  $\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \times \mathcal{A}_3 \times [0, \infty)$  such that for some  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and for some integer  $\lambda \geq 2$  with  $\frac{1}{|2|^{6\lambda}} < \alpha$  which  $|2| \neq 0$ , satisfies

$$(2.12) \quad \Psi\left(2^\lambda x_k, 2^\lambda y_k, 2^\lambda z_k, t\right) \geq_\ell \Psi_k\left(x_k, y_k, z_k, \frac{\alpha}{|2|^{3\lambda}} t\right),$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}_{j=n}^\infty M(x, \alpha^j t) = 1_\ell,$$

for all  $x_k, y_k, z_k \in \mathcal{A}_k$  and  $t > 0$ . Also assume that there exists a cubic mapping  $\pi_k : \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  satisfying (2.3) and (2.4) for all  $a_k, b_k, c_k \in \mathcal{A}_k, x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i (i \neq k)$  and  $t > 0$ . Then there exists a unique  $k$ -th partial cubic derivation  $\mathcal{D}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) - \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t) \\ &\geq_L \mathcal{T}_{j=1}^\infty M(x_k, \alpha^{j+1} t), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ , where

$$M(x_k, t) := \mathcal{T}\left(\Psi_k\left(\frac{x_k}{2}, 0_k, 0_k, t\right), \Psi_k\left(\frac{x_k}{4}, 0_k, 0_k, t\right), \dots, \Psi_k\left(\frac{x_k}{2^\lambda}, 0_k, 0_k, t\right)\right),$$

for all  $x_k \in \mathcal{A}_k$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $x_k$  by  $\frac{x_k}{2}$  in (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathcal{P}\left(\frac{1}{2^{3\lambda}} \mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) - 2^{3\lambda} \mathcal{G}_k\left(x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^\lambda}, \dots, x_n\right), t\right) \\ &\geq_L \mathcal{T}\left(\Psi_k\left(\frac{x_k}{2}, 0_k, 0_k, |2|^{3\lambda} t\right), \Psi_k\left(\frac{x_k}{4}, 0_k, 0_k, |2|^{3\lambda} t\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left., \dots, \Psi_k\left(\frac{x_k}{2^\lambda}, 0_k, 0_k, |2|^{3\lambda} t\right)\right) \\ &= M\left(x_k, |2|^{3\lambda} t\right). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $x_k$  by  $2^{\lambda(l+1)} x_k$  in (2.15) and using (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{P}\left(\frac{1}{2^{3\lambda}} \mathcal{G}_k\left(x_1, \dots, 2^{\lambda(l+1)} x_k, \dots, x_n\right) - \mathcal{G}_k\left(x_1, \dots, 2^{\lambda l} x_k, \dots, x_n\right), t\right) \\ &\geq_L \mathcal{T}\left(\Psi_k\left(\frac{x_k}{2}, 0_k, 0_k, |2|^{3\lambda} t\right), \Psi_k\left(\frac{x_k}{4}, 0_k, 0_k, |2|^{3\lambda} t\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left., \dots, \Psi_k\left(\frac{x_k}{2^\lambda}, 0_k, 0_k, |2|^{3\lambda} t\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$



$$= M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{l+1}}{|2|^{3\lambda l}} t \right),$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $l \geq 0$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P} \left( \frac{1}{2^{3\lambda(l+1)}} \mathcal{G}_k (x_1, \dots, 2^{\lambda(l+1)} x_k, \dots, x_n) - \frac{1}{2^{3\lambda l}} \mathcal{G}_k (x_1, \dots, 2^{\lambda l} x_k, \dots, x_n), t \right) \\ & \geq_L M (x_k, \alpha^{l+1} t), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $l \geq 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P} \left( \frac{1}{2^{3\lambda(l+1)}} \mathcal{G}_k (x_1, \dots, 2^{\lambda(l+1)} x_k, \dots, x_n) - \frac{1}{2^{3\lambda l}} \mathcal{G}_k (x_1, \dots, 2^{\lambda l} x_k, \dots, x_n), t \right) \\ & \geq_L \mathcal{T}_{j=l}^{l+p} \mathcal{P} \left( \frac{1}{2^{3\lambda(p+j)}} \mathcal{G}_k (x_1, \dots, 2^{\lambda(p+j)} x_k, \dots, x_n) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2^{3\lambda j}} \mathcal{G}_k (x_1, \dots, 2^{\lambda j} x_k, \dots, x_n), t \right) \\ & \geq_L \mathcal{T}_{j=l}^{l+p} M (x_k, \alpha^{j+1} t). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.13), the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^{3\lambda l}} \mathcal{G}_k (x_1, \dots, 2^{\lambda l} x_k, \dots, x_n) \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy in  $\mathcal{B}$  and by the completeness of  $\mathcal{B}$ , this sequence is convergent. Hence, we can define the mapping  $\mathcal{D}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  by

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P} \left( \frac{1}{2^{3\lambda l}} \mathcal{G}_k (x_1, \dots, 2^{\lambda l} x_k, \dots, x_n) - \mathcal{D}_k (x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t \right) = 1_\ell,$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

### 3. STABILITY OF PARTIAL TERNARY CUBIC \*-DERIVATION ON NON-ARCHIMEDEAN $\ell$ -FUZZY $C^*$ -TERNARY ALGEBRAS

A complex non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy  $*$ -Banach algebra  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}')$ , which has a ternary product  $(x, y, z) \mapsto [x, y, z]$  of  $\mathcal{B}^3$  into  $\mathcal{B}$  is a non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy  $C^*$ -ternary algebra if the product is linear on each variable and

- (i)  $[x, y, [z, u, v]] = [a, [u, z, y], v] = [[x, y, z], u, v]$ ;
- (ii)  $\|[x, y, z]\| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \|z\|$ ;
- (iii)  $\|[x, x, x]\| = \|x\|^3$ ,

for all  $x, y, z, u, v \in \mathcal{B}$ .

If  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}')$  has the element  $e$  so that  $x = [x, e, e] = [e, e, x]$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $e$  is called the unite element of the non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy  $C^*$ -ternary algebra. If for  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have  $[e, x, e] = x^*$ , then  $*$  is an involution on the  $C^*$ -ternary algebra.

In this section, assume that  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$  are non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy  $*$ -normed ternary algebras over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy Banach  $C^*$ -ternary algebra.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{G}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a mapping with

$$\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 0_k, \dots, x_n) = 0_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Suppose that there exists an  $\ell$ -fuzzy set  $\Psi_k$  on  $\mathcal{A}_k \times \mathcal{A}_k \times \mathcal{A}_k \times [0, \infty)$  and a cubic mapping  $\pi_k : \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that (2.1)-(2.4) hold. Also assume that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k^*, \dots, x_n) - \mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n)^*, t) \\ & \geq_L \Psi_k(a_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a_k \in \mathcal{A}_k, x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i (i \neq k)$  and  $t > 0$ . Then there exists a unique  $k$ -th partial cubic \*-derivation  $\mathcal{D}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) - \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t) \\ & \geq_L \mathcal{T}_{j=1}^{\infty} M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{|2|^{\lambda j}} t \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$  where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_k, t) & := \mathcal{T}(\Psi_k(x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \Psi_k(2x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \dots, \Psi_k(2^{\lambda-1}x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t)) \\ & \text{for all } x_k \in \mathcal{A}_k \text{ and } t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By a similar argument to that used the proof of theorem 2.1, there exists a unique  $k$ -th partial ternary cubic derivation  $\mathcal{D}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  which satisfy (2.5), and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P} \left( \left( 2^{3\lambda} \right)^l \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda m}}, \dots, x_n \right) - \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t \right) \\ & = 1_{\ell}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$ . So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k^*, \dots, x_n) - \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n)^*, t) \\ & = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P} \left( \left( 2^{3\lambda} \right)^l \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k^*}{2^{\lambda m}}, \dots, x_n \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left( 2^{3\lambda} \right)^l \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right)^*, t \right) \\ & = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P} \left( \left( 2^{3\lambda} \right)^l \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \left( \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda l}} \right)^*, \dots, x_n \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left( 2^{3\lambda} \right)^l \mathcal{G}_k \left( x_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{\lambda l}}, \dots, x_n \right)^*, t \right) \\ & \geq_L \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_k \left( a_k, 0_k, 0_k, \frac{\alpha^l}{|2^{\lambda}|^l} t \right) \\ & = 1_{\ell}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_k \in \mathcal{A}_k, x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i (i \neq k)$  and  $t > 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$  be a non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$  under a  $t$ -norm Hadžić-type ( $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{H}$ ). Let  $\mathcal{G}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a mapping with  $\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, 0_k, \dots, x_n) = 0_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Suppose that there exists an  $\ell$ -fuzzy set  $\Psi_k$  on  $\mathcal{A}_k \times \mathcal{A}_k \times \mathcal{A}_k \times [0, \infty)$  and a cubic mapping  $\pi_k : \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that (2.1)-(2.4) hold. Also assume that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, a_k^*, \dots, x_n) - \mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, x_n)^*, t) \\ & \geq_{\ell} \Psi_k(a_k, 0_k, 0_k, t) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a_k \in \mathcal{A}_k, x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i (i \neq k)$  and  $t > 0$ . Then there exists a unique  $k$ -th partial cubic  $*$ -derivatio  $\mathcal{D}_k : \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) - \mathcal{D}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n), t) \\ & \geq_{\ell} \mathcal{T}_{j=1}^{\infty} M \left( x_k, \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{|2|^{\alpha_j} t} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $t > 0$  where

$$M(x_k, t) := \mathcal{T}(\Psi_k(x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \Psi_k(2x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t), \dots, \Psi_k(2^{\lambda-1}x_k, 0_k, 0_k, t))$$

for all  $x_k \in \mathcal{A}_k$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* we get the conclusion by applying Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. M.A. Abolfathi, A. Ebadian and R. Aghalary, *Stability of mixed additive-quadratic Jensen type functional equation in non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed spaces*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat., 60(2) (2014), pp. 307-319.
2. M. Amini and R. Saadati, *Topics in fuzzy metric space*, J. Fuzzy. Math., 4 (2003), pp. 765-768.
3. T. Aoki, *On the stability of linear trasformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 2 (1950), pp. 64-66.
4. B. Arsalan and H. Inceboz, *Nearly  $k$ -th Partial Ternary Quadratic  $*$ -Derivations*, Kyungpook Math. J., 55 (2015), pp. 893-907.
5. A. Cayley, *On the  $3_4$  concomitants of the ternary cubic*, Am. J. Math., 4 (1981), pp. 1-15.
6. S.C. Cheng and J.N. Mordeson, *Fuzzy linear operator and fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 86 (1994), pp. 429-436.

7. P. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variable*, World Scientific Publishing Company, New Jersey, Hong Kong, Singapore and London, 2002.
8. G. Deschrijver, D. O'Regan, R. Saadati and S.M. Vaezpour,  *$\ell$ -fuzzy Euclidean normed spaces and compactness*, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 42 (2009), pp. 40-45.
9. A. Ebadian, R. Aghalary and M.A. Abolfathi, *On approximate mappings in non-Archimedean spaces: a fixed point approach*, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 5(2) (2014), pp. 111--122.
10. A. Ebadian, N. Ghobadipour, B. Savadkouhi and M. Eshaghi Gordji, *of a mixed type cubic and quartic functional equation in non-Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed spaces*, *Thai J. Math.*, 9 (2011), pp. 243-259.
11. M. Eshaghi, M.B. Savadkouhi, M. Bidkham, C. park and J.R. Lee, *Nearly partial derivations on Banach ternary algebras*, *J. Math. Stat.*, 6 (4) (2010) pp. 454-461.
12. P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the approximately additive mappings*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 184 (1994), pp. 431-436.
13. A. George and p. Veeramani, *On some result in fuzzy metric space*, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, 64 (1994), pp. 395-399.
14. J.A. Goguen, *L-fuzzy sets*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 18 (1967), pp. 145-174.
15. F.Q. Gouvêa,  *$p$ -Adic Numbers. An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
16. O. Hadžić and E. Pap, *Fixed point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2001.
17. O. Hadžić, E. Pap and M. Budincević, *Countable extension of triangular norms and their applications to the fixed point theory in probabilistic metric spaces*, *Kybernetika*, 38 (2002), pp. 363-381.
18. K. Hensel, *Über eine neue Begundung der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen*, *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung*, 6 (1897), pp. 83-88.
19. A. Himbert, *Comptes Rendus del'Acad. Sci.*, Paris, (1985).
20. N.E. Hoseinzadeh, A. Bodaghi and M.R. Mardanbeigi, *Almost Multi-Cubic Mappings and a Fixed point Application*, *Sahand Commun. Math. Anal.*, 17 (3) (2020), pp. 131-142.
21. D.H. Hyers, *On the Stability of the linear functional equation*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 27 (1941), pp. 222-224.
22. D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equation in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.

23. K. Jun and H. Kim, *The gegeralized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of cubic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 274 (2002), pp. 867-878.
24. O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala, *On fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Set Syst., 12 (3) (1984), pp. 1-7.
25. M. Kapranov, IM. Gelfand and A. Zelevinskii, *Discriminants, Reesultants and Multidimensional Determinants( Modern Bikhäuser Classics)*, Berlin, (1994).
26. A.K. Katsaras, *Fuzzy topological vector spaces*, Fuzzy Set Syst., 12 (1984), pp. 143-154.
27. R. Kerner, *The cubic chessboard: Geometry and physics*, Class. Quantum Grav., 14 (1997), pp. A203-A225 .
28. A. Khrennikov, *Non-Archimedean Analysis: Quantu Paradoxes, Dynamical Systems and Biological Models*, Math. Appl., vol.427, Kluwer Academic publisher Dordrecht, 1997.
29. S.V. Krishna and K.K.M. Sarma, *Separation of fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Fuzzy Set Syst., 63 (1994) 207-217.
30. A.K. Mirmostafae and M.S. Moslehian, *Stability of additive mapping in non Archimedean fuzzy normed spaces*, Fuzzy Set Syst., 160 (2009), 1643-1652.
31. A. Najati, B. Noori and M.B. Moghimi, *On Approximation of Some Mixed Functional Equations*, Sahand Commun. Math. Anal., 18 (1) (2021), pp. 35-46.
32. M. Nazarianpoor and G. Sadeghi, *On the stability of the Pexiderized cubic functionalequation in multi-normed spaces*, Sahand Commun. Math. Anal., 9 (1) (2018), pp. 45-83.
33. S. Okabo, *Triple products and Yang-Baxter equation I, II. Octonionic and quaternionic triple systems*, J. Math. Phys., 34(7) (1993), 3273-3291 and 3291-3315.
34. K.H. Park and Y.S. Jung, *Stability of a cubic functional equation on groups*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 41 (2004) 347-357.
35. Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1978) 297-300.
36. Th.M. Rassias, *Functional Equation, Inequalities and Applications*, KLuwer Academic publishers Co., Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2003.
37. A.M. Robert, *A Course in p-Adic Analysis,(Graduate Texts in Mathematics)*, Vol.198, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
38. R. Saadati, *On the  $\ell$ -fuzzy topological spaces*, Chaos Solitions Fractals, 37 (2008), pp. 1419-1426.
39. R. Saadati and J. Park, *On the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, Chaos Solitions Fractals, 27 (2006), pp. 331-344.

40. B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, Elsevier, North Holland, New York, 1983.
41. S. Shakeri, R. Saadati and C. Park, *Stability of the functional equations in non- Archimedean  $\ell$ -fuzzy normed spaces*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., 1(2) (2010), pp. 72-83.
42. N. Shilkret, *Non-Archimedean Banach algebras*, Ph.D. thesis, Polytechnic University, 1968.
43. S.M. Ulam, *Problem in Modern Mathematics, Chapter VI, Science Editions*, Wiley, New York, 1964.
44. J.Z. Xiao and X.H. Zhu, *Fuzzy normed spaces of operators and its completeness*, Fuzzy Set Syst., 133 (2003) pp. 389-399.
45. L.A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inf. Control, 8 (1965) pp. 338-353.

---

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, URMIA UNIVERSITY,  
P.O.BOX 165, URMIA, IRAN.

*Email address:* m.abolfathi@urmia.ac.ir