

Some fixed point theorems for C -class functions in b -metric spaces

Arslan Hojat Ansari¹ and Abdolrahman Razani^{2*}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, via C -class functions, as a new class of functions, a fixed theorem in complete b -metric spaces is presented. Moreover, we study some results, which are direct consequences of the main results. In addition, as an application, the existence of a solution of an integral equation is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this exciting context, Bakhtin [5] and Czerwik [8, 9] developed the notion of b -metric spaces in connection with some problems concerning the convergence of measurable functions with respect to a measure. Moreover they proved some fixed point theorems for single-valued and multi-valued mappings in b -metric spaces. In addition, many authors studied the fixed point theory in this space such as [1–4, 6, 12]. Here, we study some fixed point theorems for a C -class functions. In order to do this, we recall some concepts as follows:

Definition 1.1 ([8]). Let X be a (nonempty) set and $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a b -metric if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (b_1) $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$,
- (b_2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- (b_3) $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

The pair (X, d) is called a b -metric space.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46N40, 47H10, 54H25, 46T99.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point, Complete metric space, b -metric space, C -class function.

Received: 25 February 2017, Accepted: 14 May 2017.

* Corresponding author.

Definition 1.2 ([6]). Let (X, d) be a b -metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called: (a) b -convergent if and only if there exists $x \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. (b) b -Cauchy if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

The b -metric space (X, d) is complete if every b -Cauchy sequence b -converges in X .

Definition 1.3 ([2]). A mapping $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a C -class function if it is continuous and satisfies the following axioms:

- I) $F(s, t) \leq s$.
- II) $F(s, t) = s$ implies that either $s = 0$ or $t = 0$; for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$.

Note for some F , $F(0, 0) = 0$. Denote the set of C -class functions by \mathcal{C} .

Example 1.4 ([2]). The following functions $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are elements of \mathcal{C} , for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$:

- 1) $F(s, t) = s - t$, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$.
- 2) $F(s, t) = ms$, $0 < m < 1$, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$.
- 3) $F(s, t) = (s + l)^{1/(1+t)^r} - l$, $l > 1$, $r \in (0, \infty)$, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$.
- 4) $F(s, t) = s\beta(s)$, $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ and is continuous, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$.
- 5) $F(s, t) = s - \varphi(s)$, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$, here $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$.
- 6) $F(s, t) = sh(s, t)$, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$, where $h : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $h(t, s) < 1$ for all $t, s > 0$.

Definition 1.5 ([13]). A function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied:

- 1) ψ is non-decreasing and continuous.
- 2) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Definition 1.6 ([2]). An ultra altering distance function is a continuous, nondecreasing mapping $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(t) > 0$, $t > 0$ and $\varphi(0) \geq 0$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space on X and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping. Suppose

$$(2.1) \quad \psi(sd(fx, fy)) \leq F(\psi(M(x, y)), \varphi(M(x, y))) + LN(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $L \geq 0$, $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C -class function, $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an altering distance function, $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

is an ultra altering distance function and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, fx) d(y, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \right\},$$

and

$$N(x, y) = \min \{d(x, fx), d(x, fy), d(y, fx), d(y, fy)\}.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ by $x_n = f^n(x_0) = fx_{n-1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. In order to show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, first we show $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$. From (2.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(sd(x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &= \psi(sd(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)) \\ &\leq F(\psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)), \varphi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n))) + LN(x_{n-1}, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{d(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1})d(x_n, fx_n)}{1 + d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{1 + d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right\} \\ &= d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \min \{d(x_{n-1}, fx_n), d(x_n, fx_n), d(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}), d(x_n, fx_{n-1})\} \\ &= \min \{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_n)\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(2.2) \quad \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq F(\psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)), \varphi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))).$$

Thus

$$(2.3) \quad \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq F(\psi(d(x_n, x_{n-1})), \varphi(d(x_n, x_{n-1}))) \leq \psi(d(x_n, x_{n-1})).$$

Since ψ is non-decreasing, then $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$. This means $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ is a decreasing sequence. Thus it converges and there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = r$. Let $n \rightarrow \infty$, then (2.3) implies

$$\psi(r) \leq F\left(\psi(r), \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))\right) \leq F(\psi(r), \varphi(r)) \leq \psi(r).$$

Thus $\psi(r) = 0$. Therefore $r = 0$, that is

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0.$$

Now, we prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ for which we can find two sequences of positive integers $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ such that for all positive integers k , $n(k) > m(k) > k$ and $d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \varepsilon$. Let $n(k)$ be the smallest such positive integer $n(k) > m(k) > k$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \varepsilon, \quad d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) < \varepsilon.$$

By (2.4)

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{s} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}),$$

and by (2.1)

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(sd(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)})) &\leq F(\psi(M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1})), \varphi(M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}))) \\ &\quad + LN(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) &= \max \left\{ d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}), \frac{d(x_{n(k)-1}, f(x_{n(k)-1})) d(x_{m(k)}, f(x_{m(k)}))}{1 + d(fx_{n(k)-1}, fx_{m(k)})} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}), \frac{d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1})} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequalities and applying (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{s^2} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \min \{ d(x_{n(k)-1}, f(x_{n(k)-1})), d(x_{m(k)}, f(x_{m(k)})) \\ &\quad , d(x_{n(k)-1}, f(x_{m(k)})), d(x_{m(k)}, f(x_{n(k)-1})) \} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \min \{ d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}), d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}), d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)+1}) \\ &\quad , d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(2.9) \quad d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) - sd(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}) \leq sd(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}).$$

By (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\varepsilon) &\leq \psi\left(\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})\right) \\ &= \psi\left(\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} sd(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)})\right) \\ &\leq F\left(\psi\left(\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1})\right), \varphi\left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1})\right)\right) \\ &\leq F\left(\psi(\varepsilon), \varphi\left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1})\right)\right) \\ &\leq \psi(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\psi(\varepsilon) = 0, \quad \varphi\left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1})\right) = 0,$$

that is $\varepsilon = 0$ or $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) = 0$ which is a contradiction. Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a b -Cauchy sequence in X . Since (X, d) is a complete b -metric space, there exists $u \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \rightarrow u$.

Now, we show u is a fixed point of f . We know that

$$\psi(d(u, fu)) \leq \psi(sd(u, fu)),$$

where $s \geq 1$. Inequality (2.1) implies

$$\psi(sd(u, fu)) \leq F(\psi(M(u, fu)), \varphi(M(u, fu))) + LN(u, fu).$$

But it is easy to see that $M(u, fu) = d(u, fu)$ and $N(u, fu) = 0$. Thus

$$\psi(d(u, fu)) \leq \psi(d(u, fu)),$$

and so $\psi(d(u, fu)) = 0$, or $\varphi(d(u, fu)) = 0$ so $d(u, fu) = 0$ and $fu = u$. Moreover, u is a unique fixed point of f . Let $v \neq u$ be another fixed point of f . From (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(u, v)) &\leq \psi(sd(u, v)) \\ &= \psi(sd(fu, fv)) \\ &\leq F(\psi(M(u, v)), \varphi(M(u, v))) + LN(u, v), \end{aligned}$$

where $M(u, v) = d(u, v)$ and $N(u, v) = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(u, v)) &\leq F(\psi(M(u, v)), \varphi(M(u, v))) + LN(u, v) \\ &= F(\psi(d(u, v)), \varphi(d(u, v))) \\ &\leq \psi(d(u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

So $\psi(d(u, v)) = 0$ or $\varphi(d(u, v)) = 0$, thus $d(u, v) = 0$ that is $u = v$. This shows f has a unique fixed point. \square

Here as an application of Theorem 2.1, the existence of a solution of an integral equation is proved.

Consider the following integral equation

$$(2.10) \quad x(t) = p(t) + \int_0^T s(t, r) f(r, x(r)) dr, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where $T > 0$, $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $p : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $s : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous. Assume for all $x, y \in X$

$$0 \leq f(r, y) - f(r, x) \leq \sqrt[q]{\frac{F(|y - x|^q, \varphi(|y - x|^q))}{2^{q-1}}},$$

where $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C -class function and $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an ultra altering distance function. Suppose $\max_{t \in I} \left(\int_0^T |s(t, r)| dr \right)^q \leq 1$. Then (2.10) has a solution in $C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$, where $C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ is the set of continuous real functions defined on $[0, T]$. Note that this space with the b -metric given by $d(x, y) = \max_{t \in I} |x(t) - y(t)|^q$ for all $x, y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ is a complete b -metric space with $s = 2^{q-1}$ and $q \geq 1$.

Set $X := C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$. Define $G : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$G(x(t)) = p(t) + \int_0^T s(t, r) f(r, x(r)) dr.$$

For $x, y \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{q-1} |Gx(t) - Gy(t)|^q &= 2^{q-1} \left| \int_0^T s(t, r) [f(r, x(r)) - f(r, y(r))] dr \right|^q \\ &\leq 2^{q-1} \left(\int_0^T |s(t, r) [f(r, x(r)) - f(r, y(r))]| dr \right)^q \\ &\leq \max_{r \in I} F(|y - x|^q, \varphi(|y - x|^q)) \left(\int_0^T |s(t, r)| dr \right)^q \\ &\leq F(d(x, y), \varphi(d(x, y))) \\ &\leq F(M(x, y), \varphi(M(x, y))), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, fx) d(y, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \right\}.$$

For all $x, y \in X$

$$sd(G(x), G(y)) \leq F(M(x, y), \varphi(M(x, y))).$$

By Theorem 2.1, G has a unique fixed point. This means (2.10) has a solution.

Some corollaries are presented as follows:

Corollary 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space on X and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping. Suppose*

$$\psi(sd(fx, fy)) \leq \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)) + LN(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $L \geq 0$, $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an altering distance function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an ultra altering distance function and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \right\},$$

and

$$N(x, y) = \min \{d(x, fx), d(x, fy), d(y, fx), d(y, fy)\}.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Set $F(s, t) = s - t$ in Theorem 2.1. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping. Suppose*

$$\psi(sd(fx, fy)) \leq \psi(M(x, y)) \beta\psi(M(x, y)) + LN(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $L \geq 0$, $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is continuous and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \right\},$$

and

$$N(x, y) = \min \{d(x, fx), d(x, fy), d(y, fx), d(y, fy)\}.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $F(s, t) = s\beta(s)$ in Theorem 2.1, where $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ and is continuous. □

Setting $\psi(t) = t$ in Corollary 2.3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping. Suppose*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \left[\frac{\beta(M(x, y))}{s} \right] M(x, y) + LN(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $L \geq 0$, $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is continuous and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \right\},$$

and

$$N(x, y) = \min \{d(x, fx), d(x, fy), d(y, fx), d(y, fy)\}.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point.

Let $F(s, t) = \phi(s)$ in Theorem 2.1, where $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\phi(0) = 0$, and $\phi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$ and $\psi(t) = t$. Then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping. Suppose*

$$sd(fx, fy) \leq \phi(M(x, y, z)) + LN(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $L \geq 0$, $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \right\},$$

and

$$N(x, y) = \min \{d(x, fx), d(x, fy), d(y, fx), d(y, fy)\}.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point.

Suppose $\phi(t) = lt$, $0 < l < 1$ in Corollary 2.5 or with choice $F(s, t) = ls$, $0 < l < 1$ and $\psi(t) = t$, $L = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary .

Corollary 2.6. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping. Suppose*

$$(2.11) \quad sd(fx, fy) \leq lM(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $0 < l < 1$, and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \right\},$$

Then f has a unique fixed point.

Example 2.7. Let $X = [0, \infty)$. Suppose the function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is given by

$$f(x) = \frac{3x + 8}{5}.$$

If we define the b -metric d on X by $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$, then (X, d) is a complete b -metric space with $s = 2$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} sd(fx, fy) &= 2d\left(\frac{3x + 8}{5}, \frac{3y + 8}{5}\right) = \frac{18}{25}|x - y|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{18}{25}M(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Corollary 2.6, f has a unique fixed point (which is $x = 4$).

Example 2.8. Let $X = \{1, 2, 4\}$. Assume the function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is given by

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First, define the b -metric d on X by $d(1, 2) = 7$, $d(1, 4) = 10$, $d(2, 4) = \frac{1}{5}$ and $d(x, x) = 0$. Then (X, d) is a complete b -metric space with $s = \frac{25}{18}$. It is easy to see that $sd(fx, fy) \leq \frac{1}{36}M(x, y)$, so by Corollary 2.6, f has a unique fixed point (which is $x = 2$).

Corollary 2.9. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping. Suppose

$$sd(fx, fy) \leq ad(x, y) + b \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)},$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a + b < 1$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Letting $\phi(t) = \frac{t}{1+t}$ in Corollary 2.5 or with choice $F(s, t) = \frac{s}{1+s}$, $\psi(t) = t$, $L = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.10. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping. Suppose

$$sd(fx, fy) \leq \frac{M(x, y)}{1 + M(x, y)},$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \right\}.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point.

Letting $\phi(t) = t - \frac{t}{n+t}$, $n \geq 1$ in Corollary 2.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.11. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping. Suppose

$$sd(fx, fy) \leq M(x, y) - \frac{M(x, y)}{n + M(x, y)},$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \right\}.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point.

Assume $\psi(t) = \ln(1 + t)$ in Corollary 2.5 or with choice $F(s, t) = \ln(1 + s)$, $\psi(t) = t$, $L = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.12. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping. Suppose*

$$sd(fx, fy) \leq \ln(1 + M(x, y)),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, fx)d(y, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \right\}.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point.

We recall the following lemma.

Lemma 2.13 ([11]). *Let X be a nonempty set and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a function. Then there exists a subset $E \subseteq X$ such that $f(E) = f(X)$ and $f : E \rightarrow f(X)$ is one-to-one.*

Theorem 2.14. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space, $f, T : X \rightarrow X$ be such that $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$. Suppose that (T, f) satisfy the following condition:*

$\psi(sd(fx, fy)) \leq F(\psi(M(d(Tx, Ty)), \varphi(M(Tx, Ty)))) + LN(Tx, Ty)$,
for all $x, y \in X$, where $L \geq 0$, $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C -class function,
 $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an altering distance function, $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$
is an ultra altering distance function and

$$M(Tx, Ty) = \max \left\{ d(Tx, Ty), \frac{d(Tx, fx)d(Ty, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \right\},$$

and

$$N(Tx, Ty) = \min \{d(Tx, fx), d(Tx, fy), d(Ty, fx), d(Ty, fy)\}.$$

Then (f, T) has a unique coincidence point.

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$. By Lemma 2.13 there exists $E \subset X$ such that $T(E) = T(X)$ and $T|_E$ is one-to-one. Since $T(E) \subseteq T(X) \subseteq X$, one can define the mapping $\mathcal{A} : T(E) \rightarrow X$ by $\mathcal{A}(Tx) = fx$ for all $x \in E$. Since $T|_E$ is one-to-one, then \mathcal{A} is well-defined. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(sd(\mathcal{A}(Tx), \mathcal{A}(Ty))) &= \psi(sd(fx, fy)) \\ &\leq F(\psi(M(d(Tx, Ty)), \varphi(M(Tx, Ty)))), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$M(Tx, Ty) = \max \left\{ d(Tx, Ty), \frac{d(Tx, \mathcal{A}(Tx))d(Ty, \mathcal{A}(Ty))}{1 + d(\mathcal{A}(Tx), \mathcal{A}(Ty))} \right\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(Tx, Ty) &= \min \{d(Tx, \mathcal{A}(Tx)), d(Tx, \mathcal{A}(Ty)), d(Ty, \mathcal{A}(Tx)), d(Ty, \mathcal{A}(Ty))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique fixed point $u \in T(E)$ of \mathcal{A} , i.e. $\mathcal{A}u = u$. Since $u \in T(E)$, there exists $w \in E$ such that

$$fw = \mathcal{A}(Tw) = \mathcal{A}u = u = Tw.$$

Thus w is a unique coincidence point of f and T . □

REFERENCES

1. A. Aghajani, M. Abbas, and J.R. Roshan, *Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered b -metric spaces*, Math. Slovaca, 4 (2014), pp. 941-960.
2. A.H. Ansari, *Note on $\varphi - \psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point*, The 2nd regional conference on mathematics and applications, PNU, 2014, pp. 377-380.
3. A.H. Ansari, S. Chandok, and C. Ionescu, *Fixed point theorems on b -metric spaces for weak contractions with auxiliary functions*, J. Inequal. Appl., 429 (2014), pp. 1-17.
4. H. Aydi, M. Bota, E. Karapinar, and S. Mitrović, *A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasicontractions in b -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 88 (2012).
5. I.A. Bakhtin, *The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces* (Russian), Func. An., Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk, 30 (1989), pp. 26-37.
6. M. Boriceanu, *Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b -metric spaces*, Int. J. Modern Math., 4 (2009), pp. 285-301.
7. S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales*, Fund. Math., 3 (1922), pp. 133-181.
8. S. Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces*, Acta Math. Inf. Univ. Ostrav., 1 (1993), pp. 5-11.
9. S. Czerwik, *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b -metric spaces*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, 46 (1998), pp. 263-276.
10. Z.M. Fadail, A.G.B. Ahmad, A.H. Ansari, S. Radenović, and M. Rajović, *Some common fixed point results of mappings in $0 - \sigma$ -complete metric-like spaces via new function*, Appl. Math. Sci., 9 (2015), pp. 4109-4127.
11. R.H. Haghi, Sh. Rezapour, and N. Shahzad, *Some fixed point generalizations are not real generalizations*, Nonlinear Anal., 74 (2011), pp. 1799-1803.
12. N. Hussain, V. Parvaneh, J.R. Roshan, and Z. Kadelburg, *Fixed points of cyclic weakly (ψ, φ, L, A, B) -contractive mappings in ordered b -metric spaces with applications*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 256 (2013), pp. 1-18.

13. M.S. Khan, M. Swaleh, and S. Sessa, *Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 30 (1984), pp. 1-9.

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KARAJ BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, KARAJ, IRAN.

E-mail address: mathanalsisamir4@gmail.com

² DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, IMAM KHOMEINI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, QAZVIN, IRAN.

E-mail address: razani@sci.ikiu.ac.ir