

(-1)-Weak Amenability of Second Dual of Real Banach Algebras

Hamidreza Alihoseini¹ and Davood Alimohammadi^{2*}

ABSTRACT. Let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach algebra, a complex algebra $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complexification of A and $\|\cdot\|$ be an algebra norm on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying a simple condition together with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on A . In this paper we first show that A^* is a real Banach A^{**} -module if and only if $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is a complex Banach $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ -module. Next we prove that A^{**} is (-1) -weakly amenable if and only if $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ is (-1) -weakly amenable. Finally, we give some examples of real Banach algebras which their second duals of some them are and of others are not (-1) -weakly amenable.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The symbol \mathbb{F} denotes a field that can be either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . For a Banach space \mathfrak{X} over \mathbb{F} we denote by \mathfrak{X}^* and \mathfrak{X}^{**} the dual space and the second dual space of \mathfrak{X} , respectively.

Let B be an algebra over \mathbb{F} and \mathfrak{X} be a B -module over \mathbb{F} with the module operations $(a, x) \mapsto a \cdot x$, $(a, x) \mapsto x \cdot a : B \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$. A linear map $D : B \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ over \mathbb{F} is called an \mathfrak{X} -derivation on B over \mathbb{F} if $D(ab) = D(a) \cdot b + a \cdot D(b)$ for all $a, b \in B$. For each $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, the map $\delta_x : B \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ defined by $\delta_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$ ($a \in B$), is an \mathfrak{X} -derivation on B over \mathbb{F} . An \mathfrak{X} -derivation D on B is called inner if $D = \delta_x$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

Let $(B, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach algebra over \mathbb{F} . A B -module \mathfrak{X} over \mathbb{F} is called a Banach B -module if \mathfrak{X} is a Banach space with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ and

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46H25, 46H20.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebra, Banach module, Complexification, Derivation, (-1) -Weak amenability.

Received: 27 June 2018, Accepted: 11 October 2018.

* Corresponding author.

there exists a positive constant k such that

$$\|a \cdot x\| \leq k\|a\|\|x\|, \quad \|x \cdot a\| \leq k\|a\|\|x\|,$$

for all $a \in B$ and $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. Clearly, B is a Banach B -module over \mathbb{F} with the module operations $a \cdot b = ab$ and $b \cdot a = ba$ for all $a, b \in B$. Let \mathfrak{X} be a Banach B -module over \mathbb{F} with the module operations $(a, x) \mapsto a \cdot x$, $(a, x) \mapsto x \cdot a : B \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$. Then \mathfrak{X}^* is a Banach B -module over \mathbb{F} with the natural module operations $(\lambda, a) \mapsto a \cdot \lambda$, $(\lambda, a) \mapsto \lambda \cdot a : B \times \mathfrak{X}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^*$ given by

$$(a \cdot \lambda)(x) = \lambda(x \cdot a), \quad (\lambda \cdot a)(x) = \lambda(a \cdot x), \quad (a \in B, \lambda \in \mathfrak{X}^*, x \in \mathfrak{X}),$$

and with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{op}$. In particular, B^* is a Banach B -module over \mathbb{F} . We denote by $Z_{\mathbb{F}}^1(B, \mathfrak{X})$ the set of all continuous \mathfrak{X} -derivations on B over \mathbb{F} . Clearly, $Z_{\mathbb{F}}^1(B, \mathfrak{X})$ is a linear space over \mathbb{F} which contains all inner \mathfrak{X} -derivations on B over \mathbb{F} . We denote by $N_{\mathbb{F}}^1(B, \mathfrak{X})$ the set of all inner \mathfrak{X} -derivations on B over \mathbb{F} . Clearly, $N_{\mathbb{F}}^1(B, \mathfrak{X})$ is a linear subspace of $Z_{\mathbb{F}}^1(B, \mathfrak{X})$ over \mathbb{F} . We denote by $H_{\mathbb{F}}^1(B, \mathfrak{X})$ the quotient space $Z_{\mathbb{F}}^1(B, \mathfrak{X})/N_{\mathbb{F}}^1(B, \mathfrak{X})$ which is called the first cohomology group of B over \mathbb{F} with coefficients in \mathfrak{X} .

A Banach algebra B over \mathbb{F} is called amenable if $H_{\mathbb{F}}^1(B, \mathfrak{X}^*) = \{0\}$ for all Banach B -module \mathfrak{X} over \mathbb{F} . This concept was first introduced by Johnson in [12]. The notion of weak amenability was first introduced by Bade, Curtis and Dales for commutative Banach algebras in [4] and later defined for Banach algebras, not necessarily commutative, by Johnson in [13]. In fact, a Banach algebra B over \mathbb{F} is called weakly amenable if $H_{\mathbb{F}}^1(B, B^*) = \{0\}$.

Let B be a Banach algebra over \mathbb{F} . For each $(\lambda, \Lambda) \in B^* \times B^{**}$ the \mathbb{F} -valued functions $\lambda \cdot \Lambda$ and $\Lambda \cdot \lambda$ on B are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda \cdot \Lambda)(a) &= \Lambda(a \cdot \lambda), \quad (a \in B), \\ (\Lambda \cdot \lambda)(a) &= \Lambda(\lambda \cdot a), \quad (a \in B). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\lambda \cdot \Lambda \in B^*$, $\|\lambda \cdot \Lambda\|_{op} \leq \|\lambda\|_{op}\|\Lambda\|_{op}$, $\Lambda \cdot \lambda \in B^*$ and $\|\Lambda \cdot \lambda\|_{op} \leq \|\Lambda\|_{op}\|\lambda\|_{op}$. For each $\Lambda, \Gamma \in B^{**}$, the \mathbb{F} -valued functions $\Lambda \square \Gamma$ and $\Lambda \triangle \Gamma$ on B^* are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda \square \Gamma)(\lambda) &= \Lambda(\Gamma \cdot \lambda), \quad (\lambda \in B^*), \\ (\Lambda \triangle \Gamma)(\lambda) &= \Gamma(\lambda \cdot \Lambda), \quad (\lambda \in B^*). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Lambda \square \Gamma \in B^{**}$, $\|\Lambda \square \Gamma\|_{op} \leq \|\Lambda\|_{op}\|\Gamma\|_{op}$, $\Lambda \triangle \Gamma \in B^{**}$ and $\|\Lambda \triangle \Gamma\|_{op} \leq \|\Lambda\|_{op}\|\Gamma\|_{op}$. Moreover, B^{**} is a Banach algebra over \mathbb{F} with respect to either of the products \square and \triangle and with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{op}$. These products are called the first and second Arens products on B^{**} , respectively. The Banach algebra B over \mathbb{F} is called Arens regular if two products \square and \triangle coincide on B^{**} . For the general theory of Arens

products, see [3, 7, 18], for example. For the product \square on B^{**} one can show that B^* is a Banach B^{**} -module over \mathbb{F} if and only if the following statements hold:

- (i) $(\Lambda \cdot \lambda) \cdot \Gamma = \Lambda \cdot (\lambda \cdot \Gamma)$ for all $(\Lambda, \lambda, \Gamma) \in B^{**} \times B^* \times B^{**}$,
- (ii) $\lambda \cdot (\Lambda \square \Gamma) = (\lambda \cdot \Lambda) \cdot \Gamma$ for all $(\lambda, \Lambda, \Gamma) \in B^* \times B^{**} \times B^{**}$,
- (iii) $(\Lambda \square \Gamma) \cdot \lambda = \Lambda \cdot (\Gamma \cdot \lambda)$ for all $(\Lambda, \Gamma, \lambda) \in B^{**} \times B^{**} \times B^*$.

Definition 1.1. Let $(B, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach algebra over \mathbb{F} and \times be one of the Arens products \square and \triangle on B^{**} . We say that B^{**} (with the product \times) is (-1) -weakly amenable if B^* is a Banach B^{**} -module over \mathbb{F} and $H_{\mathbb{F}}^1(B^{**}, B^*) = \{0\}$.

Medghalchi and Yazdanpanah introduced the concept of (-1) -weak amenability for Banach algebras in [17] and obtained some results in this area. Eshaghi Gordji, Hosseinioun and Valadkhani in [8] gave some examples of complex Banach algebras that their second duals which are and some others which are not (-1) -weakly amenable. Hosseinioun and Valadkhani obtained interesting results in (-1) -weak amenability of complex Banach algebras in [10, 11].

Let E be a real linear space (real algebra, respectively). A complex linear space (complex algebra, respectively) $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a complexification of E if there exists an injective real linear map (real algebra homomorphism, respectively) $J : E \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $E_{\mathbb{C}} = J(E) \oplus iJ(E)$.

If \mathfrak{X} is a real linear space, then $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$ with the additive operation and scalar multiplication defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) &= (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2), & (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{X}), \\ (\alpha + i\beta)(x, y) &= (\alpha x - \beta y, \alpha y + \beta x), & (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, x, y \in \mathfrak{X}), \end{aligned}$$

is a complexification of \mathfrak{X} with respect to the injective linear map $J : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$ defined by $J(x) = (x, 0)$, $x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

If A is a real algebra, then $A \times A$ with the algebra operations

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, b_1) + (a_2, b_2) &= (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2), & (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A), \\ (\alpha + i\beta)(a, b) &= (\alpha a - \beta b, \alpha b + \beta a), & (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, a, b \in A), \\ (a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) &= (a_1 a_2 - b_1 b_2, a_1 b_2 + b_1 a_2), & (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \in A), \end{aligned}$$

is a complexification of A with the injective real algebra homomorphism $J : A \rightarrow A \times A$ defined by $J(a) = (a, 0)$, $a \in A$.

It is known [5, Proposition I.1.13] that if $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a real normed algebra (real normed space, respectively), then there exists an algebra norm (a norm, respectively) $\| \cdot \|$ on $E \times E$ satisfying $\| (a, 0) \| = \| a \|$ for all $a \in E$ and

$$\max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\} \leq \| (a, b) \| \leq 2 \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\},$$

for all $a, b \in E$.

Definition 1.2. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real normed linear space (real normed algebra, respectively), let a complex linear space (algebra, respectively) $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complexification of E with respect to an injective real linear map (real algebra homomorphism, respectively) $J : E \longrightarrow E_{\mathbb{C}}$ and let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm (an algebra norm, respectively) on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$. We say that $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies the $(*)$ condition if there exist positive constants k_1 and k_2 such that

$$\max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\} \leq k_1 \|J(a) + iJ(b)\| \leq k_2 \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\},$$

for all $a, b \in E$.

Note that the $(*)$ condition implies that $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space (Banach algebra, respectively) if and only if $(E_{\mathbb{C}}, \|\cdot\|)$ is Banach space (Banach algebra, respectively). Moreover, the existence of a norm (an algebra norm, respectively) $\|\cdot\|$ on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the $(*)$ condition guarantees by [5, Proposition I.1.13].

It is shown [2] that if $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is a real Banach algebra and if $\|\cdot\|$ is an algebra norm on complex algebra $A \times A$ satisfying

$$\max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\} \leq k_1 \|(a, b)\| \leq k_2 \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\}$$

for some positive constants k_1 and k_2 and for all $a, b \in A$, then

- (i) A is amenable if and only if $A \times A$ is amenable [2, Theorem 2.4].
- (ii) A is weakly amenable if and only if $A \times A$ is weakly amenable [2, Theorem 2.5].

In Section 2 we assume that $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is a real Banach algebra, a complex algebra $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of A with respect to an injective real algebra homomorphism $J : A \longrightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\|\cdot\|$ is an algebra norm on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the $(*)$ condition and $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is the dual space of $(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \|\cdot\|)$. We first show that A is Arens regular if and only if $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is Arens regular. Next we prove that A^* is a real Banach A^{**} -module if and only if $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is a complex Banach $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ -module. Moreover, we prove that if A is a real Banach algebra such that A^* is a real Banach A^{**} -module, then A^{**} is (-1) -weakly amenable if and only if $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ is (-1) -weakly amenable. Finally, we give some examples of real Banach algebras which their second duals of some them are and of others are not (-1) -weakly amenable.

2. MAIN RESULTS AND APPLICATIONS

We first give some lemmas which they will use in the sequel to prove of the main results.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space, let $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complexification of \mathfrak{X} with respect to an injective real linear map $J : \mathfrak{X} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$,*

let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the (*) condition with respect to positive constants k_1 and k_2 and let $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ be the dual space of the complex Banach space $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}, \|\cdot\|)$.

(i) Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ and define the map $\varphi_C : \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\varphi_C(J(x) + iJ(y)) = \varphi(x) + i\varphi(y) \quad (x, y \in \mathfrak{X}).$$

Then $\varphi_C(J(x)) = \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, $\varphi_C \in (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$, $\|\varphi_C\|_{op} \leq 2k_1\|\varphi\|_{op}$ and $\|\varphi\|_{op} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1}\|\varphi_C\|_{op}$.

(ii) Let $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ and define the map $\lambda_R : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\lambda_R(x) = \operatorname{Re} \lambda(J(x)) \quad (x \in \mathfrak{X}).$$

Then $\lambda_R \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $\|\lambda_R\|_{op} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1}\|\lambda\|_{op}$.

(iii) Let $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ and define the map $\lambda_I : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\lambda_I(x) = \operatorname{Im} \lambda(J(x)) \quad (x \in \mathfrak{X}).$$

Then $\lambda_I \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $\|\lambda_I\|_{op} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1}\|\lambda\|_{op}$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_C(J(x)) &= \varphi_C(J(x) + iJ(0)) \\ &= \varphi(x) + i\varphi(0) \\ &= \varphi(x) + i0 \\ &= \varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that φ_C is a complex linear functional on $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_C(J(x) + iJ(y))| &= |\varphi(x) + i\varphi(y)| \\ &\leq |\varphi(x)| + |\varphi(y)| \\ &\leq 2\|\varphi\|_{op} \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} \\ &\leq 2k_1\|\varphi\|_{op} \|J(x) + iJ(y)\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$, we deduce that $\varphi_C \in (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ and $\|\varphi_C\|_{op} \leq 2k_1\|\varphi\|_{op}$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x)| &= |\varphi_C(J(x))| \\ &\leq \|\varphi_C\|_{op} \|J(x)\| \\ &\leq \|\varphi_C\|_{op} \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. Hence, $\|\varphi\|_{op} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1}\|\varphi_C\|_{op}$. Therefore, (i) holds.

Clearly, λ_R is a real linear functional on \mathfrak{X} . Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_R(x)| &= |\operatorname{Re} \lambda(J(x))| \\ &\leq |\lambda(J(x))| \\ &\leq \|\lambda\|_{op} \|J(x)\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|\lambda\|_{op} \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|x\|,$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, we deduce that $\lambda_R \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $\|\lambda_R\|_{op} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|\lambda\|$. Hence, (ii) holds.

It is easy to see that λ_I is a real linear functional on \mathfrak{X} . Moreover, for each $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_I(x)| &= |\operatorname{Im} \lambda(J(x))| \\ &\leq |\lambda(J(x))| \\ &\leq \|\lambda\|_{op} \|J(x)\| \\ &\leq \|\lambda\|_{op} \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lambda_I \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $\|\lambda_I\|_{op} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|\lambda\|_{op}$. Therefore, (iii) holds. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space, let $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complexification of \mathfrak{X} with respect to an injective real linear map $J : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$, let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying (*) condition with respect to positive constants k_1 and k_2 and let $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ be the dual space of the complex Banach space $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}, \|\cdot\|)$. Define the map $J_1 : \mathfrak{X}^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ by*

$$(2.1) \quad J_1(\varphi) = \varphi_C, \quad (\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}^*).$$

Then:

- (i) $J_1(\varphi)(J(x) + iJ(y)) = \varphi(x) + i\varphi(y)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$.
- (ii) J_1 is a real linear map from \mathfrak{X}^* into $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$.
- (iii) If $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$, then $\lambda = J_1(\lambda_R) + iJ_1(\lambda_I)$.
- (iv) J_1 is injective and $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^* = J_1(\mathfrak{X}^*) \oplus iJ_1(\mathfrak{X}^*)$.
- (v) $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is a complexification of \mathfrak{X}^* with respect to the map $J_1 : \mathfrak{X}^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ defined by (2.1) and

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|\varphi\|_{op}, \|\psi\|_{op}\} &\leq \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi)\|_{op} \\ &\leq 4k_2 \max\{\|\varphi\|_{op}, \|\psi\|_{op}\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$.

Proof. By part (i) of Lemma 2.1, J_1 is well-defined. Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$. Then, by part (i) of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(\varphi)(J(x) + iJ(y)) &= \varphi_C(J(x) + iJ(y)) \\ &= \varphi_C(J(x)) + i\varphi_C(J(y)) \\ &= \varphi(x) + i\varphi(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (i) holds.

It is easy to see that $(\varphi + \psi)_C = \varphi_C + \psi_C$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $(\alpha\varphi)_C = \alpha\varphi_C$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$. Hence, (ii) holds.

Let $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{X}_C)^*$. By parts (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2.1, $\lambda_R, \lambda_I \in \mathfrak{X}^*$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(J(x) + iJ(y)) &= \lambda(J(x)) + i\lambda(J(y)) \\
&= (\operatorname{Re} \lambda(J(x)) + i\operatorname{Im} \lambda(J(x))) \\
&\quad + i(\operatorname{Re} \lambda(J(y)) + i\operatorname{Im} \lambda(J(y))) \\
&= (\lambda_R(x) + i\lambda_I(x)) + i(\lambda_R(y) + i\lambda_I(y)) \\
&= (\lambda_R(x) + i\lambda_R(y)) + i(\lambda_I(x) + i\lambda_I(y)) \\
&= (\lambda_R)_C(J(x) + iJ(y)) + i(\lambda_I)_C(J(x) + iJ(y)) \\
&= ((\lambda_R)_C + i((\lambda_I)_C))(J(x) + iJ(y)) \\
&= (J_1(\lambda_R) + iJ_1(\lambda_I))(J(x) + iJ(y)),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$, we have $\lambda = J_1(\lambda_R) + iJ_1(\lambda_I)$. Hence, (iii) holds.

Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $J_1(\varphi) = 0$. Then $\varphi_C = 0$ and so $\varphi_C(J(x)) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. This implies that $\varphi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ by part (ii) of Lemma 2.1. Hence, $\varphi = 0$ and so J_1 is injective.

By the definition of the map $J_1 : \mathfrak{X}^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_C)^*$ and (iii), we conclude that

$$(2.2) \quad (\mathfrak{X}_C)^* = J_1(\mathfrak{X}^*) + iJ_1(\mathfrak{X}^*).$$

Let $\lambda \in J_1(\mathfrak{X}^*) \cap iJ_1(\mathfrak{X}^*)$. Then there exist $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ such that $\lambda = J_1(\varphi) = iJ_1(\psi)$. This implies that $\varphi(x) = i\psi(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ and so $\varphi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ since φ and ψ are real-valued functions on \mathfrak{X} . Hence, $\varphi = 0$ and so $\lambda = J_1(\varphi) = 0$. Thus

$$(2.3) \quad J_1(\mathfrak{X}^*) \cap iJ_1(\mathfrak{X}^*) = \{0\}.$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) we have $(\mathfrak{X}_C)^* = J_1(\mathfrak{X}^*) \oplus iJ_1(\mathfrak{X}^*)$. Therefore, (iv) holds.

Applying (ii) and (iv), we deduce that $(\mathfrak{X}_C)^*$ is a complexification of \mathfrak{X}^* with respect to the injective real linear map $J_1 : \mathfrak{X}^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_C)^*$ which is defined by (2.1).

Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(x)| &\leq |\varphi(x) + i\psi(x)| \\
&= |J_1(\varphi)(J(x)) + iJ_1(\psi)(J(x))| \\
&= |(J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi))(J(x))| \\
&\leq \|J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi)\|_{op} \|J(x)\| \\
&\leq \|J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi)\|_{op} \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|x\|,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, we deduce that $\|\varphi\|_{op} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi)\|_{op}$. Similarly, we have $\|\psi\|_{op} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi)\|_{op}$. Hence,

$$(2.4) \quad \max\{\|\varphi\|_{op}, \|\psi\|_{op}\} \leq \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi)\|_{op}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & |(J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi))(J(x) + iJ(y))| \\ &= |J_1(\varphi)(J(x) + iJ(y)) + iJ_1(\psi)(J(x) + iJ(y))| \\ &= |(\varphi(x) + i\varphi(y)) + i(\psi(x) + i\psi(y))| \\ &\leq |\varphi(x)| + |\varphi(y)| + |\psi(x)| + |\psi(y)| \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{op}\|x\| + \|\varphi\|_{op}\|y\| + \|\psi\|_{op}\|x\| + \|\psi\|_{op}\|y\| \\ &\leq 2\|\varphi\|_{op} \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} + 2\|\psi\|_{op} \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} \\ &\leq 4k_1 \|J(x) + iJ(y)\| \max\{\|\varphi\|_{op}, \|\psi\|_{op}\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$, we deduce that

$$(2.5) \quad \|J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi)\|_{op} \leq 4k_1 \max\{\|\varphi\|_{op}, \|\psi\|_{op}\}.$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|\varphi\|_{op}, \|\psi\|_{op}\} &\leq \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi)\|_{op} \\ &\leq 4k_2 \max\{\|\varphi\|_{op}, \|\psi\|_{op}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (v) holds. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space, let $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complexification of \mathfrak{X} with respect to an injective real linear map $J : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$, let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying (*) condition with positive constants k_1 and k_2 and let $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ be the dual space of $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}, \|\cdot\|)$. Define the map $J_2 : \mathfrak{X}^{**} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ by*

$$(2.6) \quad J_2(\Phi) = \Phi_C \quad (\Phi \in \mathfrak{X}^{**}).$$

Then:

- (i) $J_2(\Phi)(J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi)) = \Phi(\varphi) + i\Phi(\psi)$ for all $\Phi \in \mathfrak{X}^{**}$ and $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$.
- (ii) J_2 is a real linear map from \mathfrak{X}^{**} into $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$.
- (iii) If $\Lambda \in (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$, then the maps $\Lambda_R, \Lambda_I : \mathfrak{X}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_R(\varphi) &= \operatorname{Re} \Lambda(J_1(\varphi)) \quad (\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}^*), \\ \Lambda_I(\varphi) &= \operatorname{Im} \Lambda(J_1(\varphi)) \quad (\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}^*), \end{aligned}$$

belong to \mathfrak{X}^{**} and

$$\Lambda = J_2(\Lambda_R) + iJ_2(\Lambda_I).$$

- (iv) J_2 is injective and $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^{**} = J_2(\mathfrak{X}^{**}) \oplus iJ_2(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$.
(v) $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ is a complexification of \mathfrak{X}^{**} with respect to the map $J_2 : \mathfrak{X}^{**} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ defined by (2.6) and

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|\Phi\|_{op}, \|\Psi\|_{op}\} &\leq 4k_1\|J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)\|_{op} \\ &\leq 16k_2 \max\{\|\Phi\|_{op}, \|\Psi\|_{op}\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathfrak{X}^{**}$.

- (vi) $J_2 \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{X}} = \pi_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}} \circ J$, whenever $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow Y^{**}$ is the natural embedding Y in Y^{**} defined by

$$\pi_Y(y)(\lambda) = \lambda(y) \quad (y \in Y, \lambda \in Y^*).$$

- (vii) \mathfrak{X} is reflexive if and only if $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is reflexive.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we deduce that the map $J_1 : \mathfrak{X}^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ defined by (2.1) is an injective real linear map, the complex linear space $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is a complexification of \mathfrak{X}^* with respect to J_1 ,

$$\lambda = J_1(\lambda_R) + iJ_1(\lambda_I) \quad (\lambda \in (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|\varphi\|_{op}, \|\psi\|_{op}\} &\leq \frac{k_2}{k_1}\|J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi)\|_{op} \\ &\leq 4k_2 \max\{\|\varphi\|_{op}, \|\psi\|_{op}\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$, and

$$J_1(\varphi)(J(x) + iJ(y)) = \varphi(x) + i\varphi(y)$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$. Hence, by the definition of J_2 , we deduce that (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) hold.

To prove (vi), suppose that $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. Then for each $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((\pi_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}} \circ J)(x))(\lambda) &= (\pi_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}}(J(x)))(\lambda) \\ &= \lambda(J(x)) \\ &= (J_1(\lambda_R) + iJ_1(\lambda_I))(J(x)) \\ &= (J_1(\lambda_R))(J(x)) + i(J_1(\lambda_I))(J(x)) \\ &= \lambda_R(x) + i\lambda_I(x) \\ &= \pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(x)(\lambda_R) + i\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(x)(\lambda_I) \\ &= J_2(\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(x))(J_1(\lambda_R)) + iJ_2(\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(x))(J_1(\lambda_I)) \\ &= J_2(\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(x))(J_1(\lambda_R) + iJ_1(\lambda_I)) \\ &= (J_2 \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{X}})(x)(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(2.7) \quad (\pi_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}} \circ J)(x) = (J_2 \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{X}})(x).$$

Since (2.7) holds for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, we deduce that $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}} \circ J = J_2 \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{X}}$. Hence (vi) holds.

To prove (vii) we first assume that \mathfrak{X} is reflexive. Then $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathfrak{X}^{**}$. Let $\Lambda \in (\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C})^{**}$. By part (iii) we have

$$\Lambda = J_2(\Lambda_R) + iJ_2(\Lambda_I).$$

Since $\Lambda_R, \Lambda_I \in \mathfrak{X}^{**}$, there exist $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(x) = \Lambda_R$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(y) = \Lambda_I$. Hence, by part (vi) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= J_2(\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(x)) + iJ_2(\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(y)) \\ &= (J_2 \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{X}})(x) + i(J_2 \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{X}})(y) \\ &= (\pi_{\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}} \circ J)(x) + i(\pi_{\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}} \circ J)(y) \\ &= \pi_{\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}}(J(x) + iJ(y)), \end{aligned}$$

and so $\Lambda \in \pi_{\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}}$ is surjective and so $\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}$ is reflexive.

We now assume that $\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}$ is reflexive. Then $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}) = (\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C})^{**}$. Let $\Phi \in \mathfrak{X}^{**}$. Then $J_2(\Phi) \in (\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C})^{**}$ and so there exist $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that

$$J_2(\Phi) = \pi_{\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}}(J(x) + iJ(y)).$$

Hence, by part (vi) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(0) &= J_2(\Phi) \\ &= (\pi_{\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}} \circ J)(x) + i(\pi_{\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C}} \circ J)(y) \\ &= (J_2 \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{X}})(x) + i(J_2 \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{X}})(y) \\ &= J_2(\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(x)) + iJ_2(\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $J_2(\Phi) = J_2(\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(x))$ since $(\mathfrak{X}_\mathbb{C})^{**} = J_2(\mathfrak{X}^{**}) \oplus iJ_2(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$. Therefore, $\Phi = \pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(x)$ since J_2 is injective. Hence, $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is surjective and so \mathfrak{X} is reflexive. Thus, (vii) holds. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach algebra, let $A_\mathbb{C}$ be a complexification of A with respect to an injective real algebra homomorphism $J : A \rightarrow A_\mathbb{C}$, let $\|\cdot\|$ be an algebra norm on $A_\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the (*) condition and let $(A_\mathbb{C})^*$ be the dual space of $(A_\mathbb{C}, \|\cdot\|)$.*

(i) *If $a \in A$ and $\varphi \in A^*$, then*

$$J_1(a \cdot \varphi) = J(a) \cdot J_1(\varphi), \quad J_1(\varphi \cdot a) = J_1(\varphi) \cdot J(a).$$

(ii) *If $\varphi \in A^*$ and $\Phi \in A^{**}$, then*

$$J_1(\varphi \cdot \Phi) = J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Phi), \quad J_1(\Phi \cdot \varphi) = J_2(\Phi) \cdot J_1(\varphi).$$

(iii) *If $\Phi, \Psi \in A^{**}$, then*

$$J_2(\Phi \square \Psi) = J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi), \quad J_2(\Phi \triangle \Psi) = J_2(\Phi) \triangle J_2(\Psi).$$

(iv) If $\Lambda \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ and $\lambda \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$, then

$$\Lambda \cdot \lambda = J_1(\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_R - \Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_I) + iJ_1(\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_I + \Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_R),$$

$$\lambda \cdot \Lambda = J_1(\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_R - \lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_I) + iJ_1(\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_I + \lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_R)$$

Proof. Let $a \in A$ and $\varphi \in A^*$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(a \cdot \varphi)(J(b)) &= (a \cdot \varphi)(b) \\ &= \varphi(ba) \\ &= J_1(\varphi)(J(ba)) \\ &= J_1(\varphi)(J(b)J(a)) \\ &= (J(a) \cdot J_1(\varphi))(J(b)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $b \in A$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(a \cdot \varphi)(J(b) + iJ(c)) &= J_1(a \cdot \varphi)(J(b)) + iJ_1(a \cdot \varphi)(J(c)) \\ &= (J(a) \cdot J_1(\varphi))(J(b)) + i(J(a) \cdot J_1(\varphi))(J(c)) \\ &= (J(a) \cdot J_1(\varphi))(J(b) + iJ(c)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $b, c \in A$. Hence,

$$J_1(a \cdot \varphi) = J(a) \cdot J_1(\varphi).$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$J_1(\varphi \cdot a) = J_1(\varphi) \cdot J(a).$$

Therefore, (i) holds.

Let $\varphi \in A^*$ and $\Phi \in A^{**}$. Then, by (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(\varphi \cdot \Phi)(J(a)) &= J_1(\varphi \cdot \Phi)(a) \\ &= \Phi(a \cdot \varphi) \\ &= J_2(\Phi)(J_1(a \cdot \varphi)) \\ &= J_2(\Phi)(J(a) \cdot J_1(\varphi)) \\ &= (J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Phi))(J(a)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(\varphi \cdot \Phi)(J(a) + iJ(b)) &= J_1(\varphi \cdot \Phi)(J(a)) + iJ_1(\varphi \cdot \Phi)(J(b)) \\ &= (J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Phi))(J(a)) \\ &\quad + i(J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Phi))(J(b)) \\ &= (J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Phi))(J(a) + iJ(b)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Hence,

$$J_1(\varphi \cdot \Phi) = J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Phi).$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$J_1(\Phi \cdot \varphi) = J_2(\Phi) \cdot J_1(\varphi).$$

Therefore, (ii) holds.

Let $\Phi, \Psi \in A^{**}$. Then, by (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(\Phi \square \Psi)(J_1(\varphi)) &= (\Phi \square \Psi)(\varphi) = \Phi(\Psi \cdot \varphi) \\ &= J_2(\Phi)(J_1(\Psi \cdot \varphi)) \\ &= J_2(\Phi)(J_2(\Psi) \cdot J_1(\varphi)) \\ &= (J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi))(J_1(\varphi)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in A^*$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(\Phi \square \Psi)(J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi)) & \\ &= J_2(\Phi \square \Psi)(J_1(\varphi)) + iJ_2(\Phi \square \Psi)(J_1(\psi)) \\ &= (J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi))(J_1(\varphi)) + i(J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi))(J_1(\psi)) \\ &= (J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi))(J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\psi)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in A^*$. Hence,

$$J_2(\Phi \square \Psi) = J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi).$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$J_2(\Phi \triangle \Psi) = J_2(\Phi) \triangle J_2(\Psi).$$

Therefore, (iii) holds.

Let $\Lambda \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ and $\lambda \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$. Then by part (iii) of Lemma 2.3 and part (iii) of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \Lambda = J_2(\Lambda_R) + iJ_2(\Lambda_I), \quad \lambda = J_1(\lambda_R) + iJ_1(\lambda_I).$$

Applying (2.8) and (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda \cdot \lambda &= (J_2(\Lambda_R) + iJ_2(\Lambda_I)) \cdot (J_1(\lambda_R) + iJ_1(\lambda_I)) \\ &= (J_2(\Lambda_R) \cdot J_1(\lambda_R) - J_2(\Lambda_I) \cdot J_1(\lambda_I)) \\ &\quad + i(J_2(\Lambda_R) \cdot J_1(\lambda_I) + J_2(\Lambda_I) \cdot J_1(\lambda_R)) \\ &= (J_1(\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_R) - J_1(\Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_I)) + i(J_1(\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_I) + J_1(\Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_R)) \\ &= J_1(\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_R - \Lambda_I \lambda_I) + iJ_1(\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_I + \Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_R). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\lambda \cdot \Lambda = J_1(\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_R - \lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_I) + iJ_1(\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_I + \lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_R).$$

Hence, (iv) holds. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach algebra, let $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complexification of A with respect to an injective real algebra homomorphism $J : A \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}$, let $\|\cdot\|$ be an algebra norm on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the $(*)$ condition and let $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ be the dual space of $(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \|\cdot\|)$. Then A is Arens regular if and only if $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is Arens regular.*

Proof. We first assume that A is Arens regular. Then

$$(2.9) \quad \Phi \square \Psi = \Phi \Delta \Psi,$$

for all $\Phi, \Psi \in A^{**}$. Let $\Lambda, \Gamma \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$. Then, by part (iii) of Lemma 2.3, we have $\Lambda_R, \Lambda_I, \Gamma_R, \Gamma_I \in A^{**}$ and

$$(2.10) \quad \Lambda = J_2(\Lambda_R) + iJ_2(\Lambda_I), \quad \Gamma = J_2(\Gamma_R) + iJ_2(\Gamma_I).$$

Since (2.9) holds for all $\Phi, \Psi \in A^{**}$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda_R \square \Gamma_R &= \Lambda_R \Delta \Gamma_R, & \Lambda_R \square \Gamma_I &= \Lambda_R \Delta \Gamma_I, \\ \Lambda_I \square \Gamma_R &= \Lambda_I \Delta \Gamma_R, & \Lambda_I \square \Gamma_I &= \Lambda_I \Delta \Gamma_I. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4 and according to (2.10) and (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda \square \Gamma &= (J_2(\Lambda_R) + iJ_2(\Lambda_I)) \square (J_2(\Gamma_R) + iJ_2(\Gamma_I)) \\ &= (J_2(\Lambda_R) \square J_2(\Gamma_R) - (J_2(\Lambda_I) \square J_2(\Gamma_I))) \\ &\quad + i((J_2(\Lambda_R) \square J_2(\Gamma_I)) + (J_2(\Lambda_I) \square J_2(\Gamma_R))) \\ &= (J_2(\Lambda_R \square \Gamma_R) - J_2(\Lambda_I \square \Gamma_I)) \\ &\quad + i(J_2(\Lambda_R \square \Gamma_I) + J_2(\Lambda_I \square \Gamma_R)) \\ &= (J_2(\Lambda_R \Delta \Gamma_R) - J_2(\Lambda_I \Delta \Gamma_I)) \\ &\quad + i(J_2(\Lambda_R \Delta \Gamma_I) + J_2(\Lambda_I \Delta \Gamma_R)) \\ &= (J_2(\Lambda_R) \Delta J_2(\Gamma_R) - J_2(\Lambda_I) \Delta J_2(\Gamma_I)) \\ &\quad + i(J_2(\Lambda_R) \Delta J_2(\Gamma_I) + J_2(\Lambda_I) \square J_2(\Gamma_R)) \\ &= (J_2(\Lambda_R) + iJ_2(\Lambda_I)) \Delta (J_2(\Gamma_R) + iJ_2(\Gamma_I)) \\ &= \Lambda \Delta \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ is Arens regular.

We now assume that $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is Arens regular. Then

$$(2.12) \quad \Lambda \square \Gamma = \Lambda \Delta \Gamma,$$

for all $\Lambda, \Gamma \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in A^{**}$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we have $J_2(\Phi), J_2(\Psi) \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ and so by (2.12) we have

$$(2.13) \quad J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi) = J_2(\Phi) \Delta J_2(\Psi).$$

Moreover,

$$(2.14) \quad J_2(\Phi \square \Psi) = J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi), \quad J_2(\Phi) \Delta J_2(\Psi) = J_2(\Phi \Delta \Psi),$$

by part (iii) of Lemma 2.4. From (2.13) and (2.14) we get $J_2(\Phi \square \Psi) = J_2(\Phi \triangle \Psi)$. This implies that $\Phi \square \Psi = \Phi \triangle \Psi$, since J_2 is injective. Therefore, A is Arens regular. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach algebra, let $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complexification of A with respect to an injective real algebra homomorphism $J : A \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}$, let $\|\cdot\|$ be an algebra norm on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the $(*)$ condition and let $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ be the dual space of $(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \|\cdot\|)$. Then A^* is a real Banach A^{**} -module if and only if $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is a complex Banach $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ -module.*

Proof. We prove the result for the first Arens product \square on A^{**} and $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$. Similarly, one can show that the result holds for the second Arens product \triangle on A^{**} and $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$.

We first assume that A^* is a real Banach A^{**} -module. Then

$$(2.15) \quad (\Phi \cdot \varphi) \cdot \Psi = \Phi \cdot (\varphi \cdot \Psi),$$

$$(2.16) \quad \varphi \cdot (\Phi \square \Psi) = (\varphi \cdot \Phi) \cdot \Psi,$$

$$(2.17) \quad (\Phi \square \Psi) \cdot \varphi = \Phi \cdot (\Psi \cdot \varphi),$$

for all $(\varphi, \Phi, \Psi) \in A^* \times A^{**} \times A^{**}$. Let $(\Lambda, \lambda, \Gamma) \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**} \times (A_{\mathbb{C}})^* \times (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$. Then $\Lambda_R, \Lambda_I \in A^{**}$, $\lambda_R, \lambda_I \in A^*$ and $\Gamma_R, \Gamma_I \in A^{**}$. Applying part (iv) of Lemma 2.4 and (2.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Lambda \cdot \lambda) \cdot \Gamma \\ &= (J_1(\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_R - \Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_I) + iJ_1(\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_I + \Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_R)) \cdot \Gamma \\ &= J_1((\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_R - \Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_I) \cdot \Gamma_R - (\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_I + \Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_R) \cdot \Gamma_I) \\ & \quad + iJ_1((\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_R - \Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_I) \cdot \Gamma_I + (\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_I + \Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_R) \cdot \Gamma_R) \\ &= J_1((\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_R) \cdot \Gamma_R - (\Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_I) \cdot \Gamma_R - (\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_I) \cdot \Gamma_I - (\Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_R) \cdot \Gamma_I) \\ & \quad + iJ_1((\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_R) \cdot \Gamma_I - (\Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_I) \cdot \Gamma_I + (\Lambda_R \cdot \lambda_I) \cdot \Gamma_R + (\Lambda_I \cdot \lambda_R) \cdot \Gamma_R) \\ &= J_1(\Lambda_R \cdot (\lambda_R \cdot \Gamma_R) - \Lambda_I \cdot (\lambda_I \cdot \Gamma_R) - \Lambda_R \cdot (\lambda_I \cdot \Gamma_I) - \Lambda_I \cdot (\lambda_R \cdot \Gamma_I)) \\ & \quad + iJ_1(\Lambda_R \cdot (\lambda_R \cdot \Gamma_I) - \Lambda_I \cdot (\lambda_I \cdot \Gamma_I) + \Lambda_R \cdot (\lambda_I \cdot \Gamma_R) + \Lambda_I \cdot (\lambda_R \cdot \Gamma_R)) \\ &= J_1(\Lambda_R \cdot (\lambda_R \cdot \Gamma_R - \lambda_I \cdot \Gamma_I) - \Lambda_I \cdot (\lambda_I \cdot \Gamma_R + \lambda_R \cdot \Gamma_I)) \\ & \quad + iJ_1(\Lambda_I \cdot (\lambda_R \cdot \Gamma_I - \lambda_I \cdot \Gamma_R) + \Lambda_I \cdot (\lambda_R \cdot \Gamma_R - \lambda_I \cdot \Gamma_I)) \\ &= \Lambda \cdot (J_1(\lambda_R \cdot \Gamma_R - \lambda_I \cdot \lambda_I) + iJ_1(\lambda_R \cdot \Gamma_I + \lambda_I \cdot \Gamma_R)) \\ &= \Lambda \cdot (\lambda \cdot \Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Applying part (ii) of Lemma 2.4 and (2.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \cdot (\Lambda \square \Gamma) \\ &= (J_1(\lambda_R) + iJ_1(\lambda_I)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot (J_2(\Lambda_R \square \Gamma_R - \Lambda_I \square \Gamma_I) + iJ_2(\Lambda_R \square \Gamma_I + \Lambda_I \square \Gamma_R)) \\
= & J_1(\lambda_R \cdot (\Lambda_R \square \Gamma_R - \Lambda_I \square \Gamma_I) - \lambda_I \cdot (\Lambda_R \square \Gamma_I + \Lambda_I \square \Gamma_R)) \\
& + iJ_1(\lambda_R \cdot (\Lambda_R \square \Gamma_I + \Lambda_I \square \Gamma_R) + \lambda_I \cdot (\Lambda_R \square \Gamma_R - \Lambda_I \square \Gamma_I)) \\
= & J_1(\lambda_R \cdot (\Lambda_R \square \Gamma_R) - \Lambda_R \cdot (\Lambda_I \square \Gamma_I) - \lambda_I \cdot (\Lambda_R \square \Gamma_I) - \lambda_I \cdot (\Lambda_I \square \Gamma_R)) \\
& + iJ_1(\lambda_R \cdot (\Lambda_R \square \Gamma_I) + \lambda_R \cdot (\Lambda_I \square \Gamma_R) + \lambda_I \cdot (\Lambda_R \square \Gamma_R) - \lambda_I \cdot (\Lambda_I \square \Gamma_I)) \\
= & J_1((\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_R) \cdot \Gamma_R - (\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_I) \cdot \Gamma_I - (\lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_R) \cdot \Gamma_I - (\lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_I) \cdot \Gamma_R) \\
& + iJ_1((\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_R) \cdot \Gamma_I + (\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_I) \cdot \Gamma_R + (\lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_R) \cdot \Gamma_R - (\lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_I) \cdot \Gamma_I) \\
= & J_1((\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_R - \lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_I) \cdot \Gamma_R - (\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_I + \lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_R) \cdot \Gamma_I) \\
& + iJ_1((\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_R - \lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_I) \cdot \Gamma_I + (\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_I + \lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_R) \cdot \Gamma_R) \\
= & (J_1(\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_R - \lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_I) + iJ_1(\lambda_R \cdot \Lambda_I + \lambda_I \cdot \Lambda_R)) \\
& \cdot (J_2(\Gamma_R) + iJ_2(\Gamma_I)) \\
= & (\lambda \cdot \Lambda) \cdot \Gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, applying part (ii) of Lemma 2.4 and (2.17) we get

$$(\Lambda \square \Gamma) \cdot \lambda = \Lambda \cdot (\Gamma \cdot \lambda).$$

Therefore, $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is a complex Banach $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ -module.

We now assume that $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is a complex Banach $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ -module. Then

$$(2.18) \quad (\Lambda \cdot \lambda) \cdot \Gamma = \Lambda \cdot (\lambda \cdot \Gamma),$$

$$(2.19) \quad \lambda \cdot (\Lambda \square \Gamma) = (\lambda \cdot \Lambda) \cdot \Gamma,$$

$$(2.20) \quad (\Lambda \square \Gamma) \cdot \lambda = \Lambda \cdot (\Gamma \cdot \lambda),$$

for all $(\Lambda, \lambda, \Gamma) \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**} \times (A_{\mathbb{C}})^* \times (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$. Let $(\Phi, \varphi, \Psi) \in A^{**} \times A^* \times A^{**}$. Then $(J_2(\Phi), J_1(\varphi), J_2(\Psi)) \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**} \times (A_{\mathbb{C}})^* \times (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$. By (2.18), we have

$$(2.21) \quad (J_2(\Phi) \cdot J_1(\varphi)) \cdot J_2(\Psi) = J_2(\Phi) \cdot (J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Psi)).$$

Applying part (ii) of Lemma 2.4 and (2.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1((\Phi \cdot \varphi) \cdot \Psi) &= J_1(\Phi \cdot \varphi) \cdot J_2(\Psi) \\
&= (J_2(\Phi) \cdot J_1(\varphi)) \cdot J_2(\Psi) \\
&= J_2(\Phi) \cdot (J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Psi)) \\
&= J_2(\Phi) \cdot J_1(\varphi \cdot \Psi) \\
&= J_1(\Phi \cdot (\varphi \cdot \Psi)).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(\Phi \cdot \varphi) \cdot \Psi = \Phi \cdot (\varphi \cdot \Psi)$, since J_1 is injective.

By (2.19), we have

$$(2.22) \quad J_1(\varphi) \cdot (J_2(\Phi) \cdot J_2(\Psi)) = (J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Phi)) \cdot J_2(\Psi).$$

Applying part (iii) of Lemma 2.4 and (2.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1(\varphi \cdot (\Phi \square \Psi)) &= J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Phi \square \Psi) \\
&= J_1(\varphi) \cdot (J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi)) \\
&= (J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Phi)) \cdot J_2(\Psi) \\
&= J_1(\varphi \cdot \Phi) \cdot J_2(\Psi) \\
&= J_1((\varphi \cdot \Phi) \cdot \Psi).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\varphi \cdot (\Phi \square \Psi) = (\varphi \cdot \Phi) \cdot \Psi$, since J_1 is injective.

Similarly, we can show that

$$(\Phi \square \Psi) \cdot \varphi = \Phi \cdot (\Psi \cdot \varphi).$$

Therefore, A^* is a real Banach A^{**} -module. \square

Applying Theorem 2.6 and [11, Example 2], we give an example of a real Banach algebra A for which A^* is not a real Banach A^{**} -module.

Example 2.7. Let \mathbb{Z} be the set of all integer numbers and $l^1(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the complex Banach algebra consisting of all sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ in \mathbb{C} for which $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty$ with convolution product $*$ defined by

$$a * b = \{c_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}, \quad a = \{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}, b = \{b_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $c_n = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{n-j} b_j$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and with the l^1 -norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ defined by

$$\|a\|_1 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n|, \quad a = \{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}).$$

It is shown [11, Example 2] that $(l^1(\mathbb{Z}))^*$ is not a complex Banach $(l^1(\mathbb{Z}))^{**}$ -module.

Let $\tau : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a bijection additive map. Define

$$l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \tau) = \{\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}) : a_{\tau(n)} = \overline{a_n} \ (n \in \mathbb{Z})\}.$$

It is easy to see that $l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$ is a real closed subalgebra of $l^1(\mathbb{Z})$ and

$$l^1(\mathbb{Z}) = l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \tau) \oplus il^1(\mathbb{Z}, \tau).$$

Hence, $l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$ is a real Banach algebra with the algebra norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $l^1(\mathbb{Z})$ is the complexification of $l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$ with respect to the injective real algebra homomorphism $J : l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \tau) \rightarrow l^1(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$J(a) = a, \quad a = \{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \tau).$$

Since $\|a - ib\|_1 = \|a + ib\|_1$ for all $a = \{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}, b = \{b_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$, we deduce that

$$\max\{\|a\|_1, \|b\|_1\} \leq \|a + ib\|_1 \leq 2 \max\{\|a\|_1, \|b\|_1\}$$

for all $a = \{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}, b = \{b_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$. Therefore, $(l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \tau))^*$ is not a real Banach $(l^1(\mathbb{Z}, \tau))^{**}$ -module by Theorem 2.6.

Note that the map $\tau : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a bijection additive map if and only if either $\tau(n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $\tau(n) = -n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We now discuss the relationship between the (-1)-weak amenability of A^{**} and (-1)-weak amenability of $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$. For this purpose we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach algebra, let $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complexification of A with respect to an injective real algebra homomorphism $J : A \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}$, let $\|\cdot\|$ be an algebra norm on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying (*) condition and let $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ be the second dual of $(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \|\cdot\|)$. Suppose that A^* is a real Banach A^{**} -module. Then:*

- (i) *If $d \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$ and $\Phi \in A^{**}$, then $J_1(d(\Phi)) \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$.*
- (ii) *If $d \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$ then $\Delta_d \in Z_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*)$, where the map $\Delta_d : (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**} \rightarrow (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is defined by*

$$(2.23) \quad \Delta_d(J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)) = J_1(d(\Phi)) + iJ_1(d(\Psi)), \quad \Phi, \Psi \in A^{**}.$$

- (iii) *The map $J_Z : Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*) \rightarrow Z_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*)$ defined by*

$$(2.24) \quad J_Z(d) = \Delta_d, \quad d \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$$

is an injective real linear map.

- (iv) *The complex linear space $Z_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*)$ is a complexification of the real linear space $Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$ with respect to the injective linear map J_Z .*

- (v) *If $\varphi \in A^*$, then $J_Z(\delta_{\varphi}) = \delta_{J_1(\varphi)}$.*
- (vi) *If $\lambda \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$, then $\delta_{\lambda} = J_Z(\delta_{\lambda_R}) + iJ_Z(\delta_{\lambda_I})$.*
- (vii) *$H_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*) = \{0\}$ if and only if $H_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $d \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$ and $\Phi \in A^{**}$. Then $d(\Phi) \in A^*$ and so $J_1(d(\Phi)) \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ by Lemma 2.2. Hence, (i) holds.

Let $d \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$ and define $\Delta_d : (A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**} \rightarrow (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ by (2.23). Then Δ_d is well-defined by (i). It is easy to see that Δ_d is a complex linear map from $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ to $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$. Since $\|\cdot\|$ be an algebra norm on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying (*) condition, there exist positive constants k_1 and k_2 such that

$$\max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\} \leq k_1 \|J(a) + iJ(b)\| \leq k_2 \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\}$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Applying part (v) of Lemma 2.2 and part (v) of Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_d(J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi))\|_{op} &= \|J_1(d(\Phi) + iJ_1(d(\Psi)))\|_{op} \\ &\leq 4k_1 \max\{\|d(\Phi)\|_{op}, \|d(\Psi)\|_{op}\} \\ &\leq 4k_1 \|d\|_{op} \max\{\|\Phi\|_{op}, \|\Psi\|_{op}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 4k_1 \|d\|_{op} \|d\|_{op} 4k_1 \|J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)\|_{op} \\
&= 16k_1^2 \|d\|_{op} \|J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)\|_{op},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\Phi, \Psi \in A^{**}$. Therefore, Δ_d is a bounded complex linear operator and

$$\|\Delta_d\|_{op} \leq 16k_1^2 \|d\|_{op}.$$

By Theorem 2.6, $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is complex Banach $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ -module. Since $d \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$, by Lemma 2.4, for all $\Phi, \Psi \in A^{**}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_d(J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi)) &= \Delta_d(J_2(\Phi \square \Psi)) \\
&= J_1(d(\Phi \square \Psi)) \\
&= J_1(d(\Phi) \cdot \Psi + \Phi \cdot d(\Psi)) \\
&= J_1(d(\Phi) \cdot \Psi) + J_1(\Phi \cdot d(\Psi)) \\
&= J_1(d(\Phi)) \cdot J_2(\Psi) + J_2(\Phi) \cdot J_1(d(\Psi)) \\
&= \Delta_d(J_2(\Phi))J_2(\Psi) + J_2(\Phi) \cdot \Delta_d(J_2(\Psi)).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that for all $\Phi, \Psi, \Phi', \Psi' \in A^{**}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_d(J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)) \square (J_2(\Phi') + iJ_2(\Psi')) \\
&= \Delta_d((J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Phi')) - (J_2(\Psi) \square J_2(\Psi'))) \\
&\quad + i((J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi')) + (J_2(\Psi) \square J_2(\Phi'))) \\
&= \Delta_d(J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Phi')) - \Delta_d(J_2(\Psi) \square J_2(\Psi')) \\
&\quad + i\Delta_d(J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi')) + i\Delta_d(J_2(\Psi) \square J_2(\Phi')) \\
&= (\Delta_d(J_2(\Phi)) \cdot J_2(\Phi') + J_2(\Phi) \cdot \Delta_d(J_2(\Phi'))) \\
&\quad - \Delta_d(J_2(\Psi)) \cdot J_2(\Psi') - J_2(\Psi) \cdot \Delta_d(J_2(\Psi')) \\
&\quad + i(\Delta_d(J_2(\Phi)) \cdot J_2(\Psi') + J_2(\Phi) \cdot \Delta_d(J_2(\Psi'))) \\
&\quad + i(\Delta_d(J_2(\Psi)) \cdot J_2(\Phi') + J_2(\Psi) \cdot \Delta_d(J_2(\Phi'))) \\
&= (\Delta_d(J_2(\Phi)) + i\Delta_d(J_2(\Psi))) \cdot (J_2(\Phi') + iJ_2(\Psi')) \\
&\quad + (J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)) \cdot (\Delta_d(J_2(\Phi')) + i\Delta_d(J_2(\Psi'))) \\
&= \Delta_d(J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)) \cdot (J_2(\Phi') + iJ_2(\Psi')) \\
&\quad + (J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)) \cdot \Delta_d(J_2(\Phi') + iJ_2(\Psi')).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\Delta_d \in Z_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*)$. Hence, (ii) holds.

It is clear that the map $J_Z : Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*) \longrightarrow Z_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*)$, defined by (2.24), is a real linear map. Let $d \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$ and $J_Z(d) = 0$. Then $\Delta_d = 0$ and so for each $\Phi \in A^{**}$ we have

$$0 = \Delta_d(J_2(\Phi)) = J_1(d(\Phi)).$$

This implies that $d(\Phi) = 0$ for all $\Phi \in A^{**}$, since J_1 is injective. Hence, $d = 0$ and so J_Z is injective.

Assume that $D \in Z_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*)$. Define the maps $D_R, D_I : A^{**} \rightarrow A^*$ by

$$(2.25) \quad D_R(\Phi) = (D(J_2(\Phi)))_R, \quad (\Phi \in A^{**}),$$

$$(2.26) \quad D_I(\Phi) = (D(J_2(\Phi)))_I, \quad (\Phi \in A^{**}).$$

By Lemma 2.1, D_R is well-defined. It is easy to see that D_R is a real linear map from A^{**} to A^* . Applying part (iii) of Lemma 2.1 and part (v) of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_R(\Phi)\|_{op} &= \|(D(J_2(\Phi)))_R\|_{op} \\ &\leq \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|D(J_2(\Phi))\|_{op} \\ &\leq \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|D\|_{op} \|J_2(\Phi)\|_{op} \\ &\leq \frac{k_2}{k_1} \|D\|_{op} \frac{4k_2}{k_1} \|\Phi\|_{op} \\ &= \frac{4k_2^2}{k_1^2} \|D\|_{op} \|\Phi\|_{op} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\Phi \in A^{**}$. Hence, D_R is a bounded real linear operator and

$$\|D_R\|_{op} \leq \frac{4k_2^2}{k_1^2} \|D\|_{op}.$$

On the other hand, for all $\Phi, \Psi \in A^{**}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_R(\Phi \square \Psi) &= (D(J_2(\Phi \square \Psi)))_R \\ &= (D(J_2(\Phi) \square J_2(\Psi)))_R \\ &= (D(J_2(\Phi)) \cdot J_2(\Psi) + J_2(\Phi) \cdot D(J_2(\Psi)))_R \\ &= (D(J_2(\Phi)) \cdot J_2(\Psi))_R + (J_2(\Phi) \cdot D(J_2(\Psi)))_R \\ &= (D(J_2(\Phi)))_R \cdot \Psi + \Phi \cdot (D(J_2(\Psi)))_R \\ &= D_R(\Phi) \cdot \Psi + \Phi \cdot D_R(\Psi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, D_R is a real A^* -derivation on A^{**} and so $D_R \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$.

Similarly, we can show that $D_I \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$.

Now we show that

$$(2.27) \quad D = J_Z(D_R) + iJ_Z(D_I).$$

Let $\Phi \in A^{**}$. For each $a \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(J_2(\Phi))(J(a)) &= \operatorname{Re} D(J_2(\Phi))(J(a)) + i \operatorname{Im} D(J_2(\Phi))(J(a)) \\ &= D_R(\Phi)(a) + i D_I(\Phi)(a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= J_1(D_R(\Phi))(J(a)) + iJ_1(D_I(\Phi))(J(a)) \\
&= (J_1(D_R(\Phi)) + iJ_1(D_I(\Phi)))(J(a)) \\
&= (J_Z(D_R)(J_2(\Phi)) + iJ_Z(D_I)(J_2(\Phi)))(J(a)) \\
&= ((J_Z(D_R) + iJ_Z(D_I))(J_2(\Phi)))(J(a)).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(2.28) \quad D(J_2(\Phi)) = (J_Z(D_R) + iJ_Z(D_I))(J_2(\Phi)),$$

since $D(J_2(\Phi))$ and $(J_Z(D_R) + iJ_Z(D_I))(J_2(\Phi))$ are complex linear mappings from $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ to \mathbb{C} . Since D and $J_Z(D_R) + iJ_Z(D_I)$ are complex linear mappings from $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ to $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ and (2.28) holds for each $\Phi \in A^{**}$, we deduce that

$$D(J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)) = (J_Z(D_R) + iJ_Z(D_I))(J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi))$$

for all $\Phi, \Psi \in A^{**}$. Hence, (2.27) holds. Since (2.27) holds for all $D \in Z_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*)$, we have

$$(2.29) \quad Z_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*) = J_Z(Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)) + iJ_Z(Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)).$$

Let $D \in J_Z(Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)) \cap iJ_Z(Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*))$. Then there exist two functions $d_1, d_2 \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$ such that $D = J_Z(d_1) = iJ_Z(d_2)$. Hence, for each $\Phi \in A^{**}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1(d_1(\Phi)) &= (J_Z(d_1))(J_2(\Phi)) \\
&= (iJ_Z(d_2))(J_2(\Phi)) \\
&= i(J_Z(d_2))(J_2(\Phi)) \\
&= iJ_1(d_2(\Phi)),
\end{aligned}$$

and so $J_1(d_1(\Phi)) = 0$, since $J_1(A^*) \cap iJ_1(A^*) = \{0\}$. This implies that $d_1(\Phi) = 0$ for all $\Phi \in A^{**}$, since J_1 is injective. Hence, $d_1 = 0$ and so $D = J_Z(d_1) = 0$. Therefore,

$$(2.30) \quad J_Z(Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)) \cap iJ_Z(Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)) = \{0\}.$$

From (2.29) and (2.30) we obtain

$$Z_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*) = J_Z(Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)) \oplus iJ_Z(Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)).$$

Therefore, (iv) holds.

Let $\varphi \in A^*$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
J_Z(\delta_{\varphi})(J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)) &= J_1(\delta_{\varphi}(\Phi)) + iJ_1(\delta_{\varphi}(\Psi)) \\
&= J_1(\Phi \cdot \varphi - \varphi \cdot \Phi) + iJ_1(\Psi \cdot \varphi - \varphi \cdot \Psi) \\
&= (J_1(\Phi \cdot \varphi) - J_1(\varphi \cdot \Phi)) \\
&\quad + i(J_1(\Psi \cdot \varphi) - J_1(\varphi \cdot \Psi)) \\
&= (J_2(\Phi) \cdot J_1(\varphi) - J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Phi))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + i(J_2(\Psi) \cdot J_1(\varphi) - J_1(\varphi) \cdot J_2(\Psi)) \\
& = (J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)) \cdot J_1(\varphi) \\
& \quad - J_1(\varphi) \cdot (J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)) \\
& = \delta_{J_1(\varphi)}(J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\Phi, \Psi \in A^{**}$, we deduce that $J_Z(\delta_\varphi) = \delta_{J_1(\varphi)}$. Hence (v) holds.

Let $\lambda \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$. By parts (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2.1 and part (iii) of Lemma 2.2, we have $\lambda_R, \lambda_I \in A^*$ and

$$(2.31) \quad \lambda = J_1(\lambda_R) + iJ_1(\lambda_I).$$

Since $J_Z(\delta_{\lambda_R}), \delta_{J_1(\lambda_R)} \in Z_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_Z(\delta_{\lambda_R})(J_2(\Phi)) & = J_1(\delta_{\lambda_R}(\Phi)) \\
& = J_1(\Phi \cdot \lambda_R - \lambda_R \cdot \Phi) \\
& = J_1(\Phi \cdot \lambda_R) - J_1(\lambda_R \cdot \Phi) \\
& = J_2(\Phi) \cdot J_1(\lambda_R) - J_1(\lambda_R) \cdot J_2(\Phi) \\
& = \delta_{J_1(\lambda_R)}(J_2(\Phi))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\Phi \in A^{**}$, we conclude that

$$J_Z(\delta_{\lambda_R})(J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi)) = \delta_{J_1(\lambda_R)}(J_2(\Phi) + iJ_2(\Psi))$$

for all $\Phi, \Psi \in A^{**}$. Hence,

$$(2.32) \quad J_Z(\delta_{\lambda_R}) = \delta_{J_1(\lambda_R)}.$$

Similar to the argument above we can obtain

$$(2.33) \quad J_Z(\delta_{\lambda_I}) = \delta_{J_1(\lambda_I)}.$$

Applying (2.32), (2.33) and (2.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_Z(\delta_{\lambda_R}) + iJ_Z(\delta_{\lambda_I}) & = \delta_{J_1(\lambda_R)} + i\delta_{J_1(\lambda_I)} \\
& = \delta_{J_1(\lambda_R) + iJ_1(\lambda_I)} \\
& = \delta_\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (vi) holds.

To prove (vii), we first assume that

$$(2.34) \quad H_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*) = \{0\}.$$

Let $D \in Z_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*)$. By (iv), there exist unique elements $d, d' \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$ such that

$$(2.35) \quad D = J_Z(d) + iJ_Z(d').$$

By (2.34), there exist $\varphi, \varphi' \in A^*$ such that

$$(2.36) \quad d = \delta_\varphi, \quad d' = \delta_{\varphi'}.$$

Set $\lambda = J_1(\varphi) + iJ_1(\varphi')$. Then $\lambda \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ and

$$(2.37) \quad \varphi = \lambda_R, \quad \varphi' = \lambda_I.$$

From (2.35), (2.36) and (2.37) we obtain

$$(2.38) \quad D = J_Z(\delta_{\lambda_R}) + iJ_Z(\delta_{\lambda_I}).$$

Since $\lambda \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$, we deduce that

$$(2.39) \quad \delta_\lambda = J_Z(\delta_{\lambda_R}) + iJ_Z(\delta_{\lambda_I}),$$

by (vi). From (2.38) and (2.39), we have $D = \delta_\lambda$ and so

$$H_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*) = \{0\}.$$

We now assume that

$$(2.40) \quad H_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*) = \{0\}.$$

Let $d \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*)$. Then $J_Z(d) \in Z_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*)$. By (2.40), there exists $\lambda \in (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ such that $J_Z(d) = \delta_\lambda$, and so by (vi) we have

$$(2.41) \quad J_Z(d) + iJ_Z(0) = J_Z(d) = J_Z(\delta_{\lambda_R}) + iJ_Z(\delta_{\lambda_I}).$$

Applying (2.41) and (iv), we deduce that $J_Z(d) = J_Z(\delta_{\lambda_R})$ and so $d = \delta_{\lambda_R}$, since J_Z is injective. Therefore, $H_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*) = \{0\}$ and so (vii) holds. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach algebra, let $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complexification of A with respect to an injective real algebra homomorphism $J : A \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}$, let $\|\cdot\|$ be an algebra norm on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the (*) condition, and let $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ be the dual space of $(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \|\cdot\|)$. Then A^{**} is (-1) -weakly amenable if and only if $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ is (-1) -weakly amenable.*

Proof. We first assume that A^{**} is (-1) -weakly amenable. Then A^* is a real Banach A^{**} -module and $H_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*) = \{0\}$. Hence, $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is a complex Banach $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ -module by Theorem 2.6 and $H_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*) = \{0\}$ by part (vii) of Lemma 2.8. Therefore, $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ is (-1) -weakly amenable.

We now assume that $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ is (-1) -weakly amenable. Then $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is a complex Banach $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ -module and $H_{\mathbb{C}}^1((A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}, (A_{\mathbb{C}})^*) = \{0\}$. Hence, A^* is a real Banach A^{**} -module by Theorem 2.6 and so we conclude that $H_{\mathbb{R}}^1(A^{**}, A^*) = \{0\}$ by part (vii) of Lemma 2.8. Therefore, A^{**} is (-1) -weakly amenable. \square

Here, as applications of Theorem 2.9, we give some examples of real Banach algebras which their second duals of some them are and of others are not (-1) -weakly amenable.

Example 2.10. Let $A = \mathbb{R}$ with the zero multiplication. Then A is a real Banach algebra with the Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$. Set $A_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}$ with the zero multiplication. Clearly, $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex Banach algebra with Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$ and $A_{\mathbb{C}} = A \oplus iA$. Hence, $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complexification of A with respect to the injective real algebra homomorphism $J : A \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $J(a) = a$ ($a \in \mathbb{R}$). Moreover,

$$\max\{|a|, |b|\} \leq |a + ib| \leq 2 \max\{|a|, |b|\},$$

for all $a, b \in A$. It is known [11, Example 2.2] that $(A_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ is not (-1) -weakly amenable. Therefore, A^{**} is not (-1) -weakly amenable by Theorem 2.9.

Example 2.11. Let S be a discrete semigroup. We denote by $l^1(S)$ the set of all complex-valued functions f on S for which $\sum_{s \in S} |f(s)| < \infty$. Then $l^1(S)$ is a self-adjoint complex Banach algebra with the convolution product $*$ defined by

$$(f * g)(r) = \sum_{s, t \in S, st=r} f(s)g(t), \quad f, g \in l^1(S),$$

and with the algebra norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ defined by

$$\|f\|_1 = \sum_{s \in S} |f(s)|, \quad f \in l^1(S).$$

Let $\tau : S \rightarrow S$ be a self-map of S satisfying $\tau(st) = \tau(s)\tau(t)$ for all $s, t \in S$ and $\tau(\tau(s)) = s$ for all $s \in S$. It is easy to see $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in l^1(S)$ for all $f \in l^1(S)$. Define

$$l^1(S, \tau) = \{f \in l^1(S) : \bar{f} \circ \tau = f\}.$$

Then $l^1(S, \tau)$ is a real closed subalgebra of $l^1(S)$ and

$$l^1(S) = l^1(S, \tau) \oplus il^1(S, \tau).$$

Hence, $l^1(S)$ is the complexification of $l^1(S, \tau)$ with respect to the injective real algebra homomorphism $J : l^1(S, \tau) \rightarrow l^1(S)$ defined by $J(f) = f + if$ ($f \in l^1(S, \tau)$). Since $\|f - ig\|_1 = \|f + ig\|_1$ for all $f, g \in l^1(S, \tau)$, we deduce that

$$\max\{\|f\|_1, \|g\|_1\} \leq \|f + ig\|_1 \leq 2 \max\{\|f\|_1, \|g\|_1\},$$

for all $f, g \in l^1(S, \tau)$. It is known [11, Example 2.3] that if $S^2 \neq S$ then $(l^1(S))^{**}$ is not (-1) -weakly amenable. Therefore, if $S^2 \neq S$ then $(l^1(S, \tau))^{**}$ is not (-1) -weakly amenable by Theorem 2.9.

Example 2.12. Let $\mathbb{N}^{<\omega} = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{N}^k$ and let P be the set of all elements $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$ such that $k \geq 2$ and $p_j < p_{j+1}$ for all $j \in$

$\{1, \dots, k-1\}$. For a sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{F} and for $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k) \in P$, define $N(\alpha, p)$ by

$$2(N(\alpha, p))^2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\alpha_{p_{j+1}} - \alpha_{p_j}|^2 \right) + |\alpha_{p_k} - \alpha_{p_1}|^2.$$

For each sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{F} , we set

$$N(\alpha) = \sup\{N(\alpha, p) : p \in P\}.$$

Then $N(\alpha) \in [0, \infty]$ for all sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{F} . Define

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{F}} = \{\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}, N(\alpha) < \infty\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a closed subalgebra of Banach algebra $(l_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ over \mathbb{F} , where $l_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ is the set of all sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{F} for which $\sup\{|\alpha_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the algebra norm on $l_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ over \mathbb{F} defined by

$$\|\alpha\|_\infty = \sup\{|\alpha_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad (\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(\mathbb{N})).$$

$\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is called the James algebra over \mathbb{F} . It is clear that $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real subalgebra of $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus i\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence, $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complexification of $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the injective real algebra homomorphism $J : \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $J(\alpha) = \alpha$ ($\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}}$). It is easy to see that

$$\max\{\|\alpha\|_\infty, \|\beta\|_\infty\} \leq \|\alpha + i\beta\|_\infty \leq 2 \max\{\|\alpha\|_\infty, \|\beta\|_\infty\},$$

for all $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, \beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

By [6, Theorem 4.1.45], we have some properties of $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{C}}$ as:

- (i) $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is Arens regular,
- (ii) $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is weakly amenable,
- (iii) $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not amenable.

It is shown [8, Example 2.2] that $(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{C}})^{**}$ is (-1) -weakly amenable. Therefore, we deduce that $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is weakly amenable by [2, Theorem 2.5], $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is not amenable by [2, Theorem 2.4], $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is Arens regular by Theorem 2.5 and $(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}})^{**}$ is (-1) -weakly amenable by Theorem 2.9.

Example 2.13. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $l^p(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the set of all sequences $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$ in \mathbb{C} for which $\sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty |\alpha_n|^p < \infty$. Then $l^p(\mathbb{Z})$ with the pointwise addition and scalar multiplication is a complex Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ defined by

$$\|\alpha\|_p = \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty |\alpha_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty \in l^p(\mathbb{Z})).$$

Moreover, $l^p(\mathbb{Z})$ with the pointwise multiplication becomes a complex algebra and $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a complete algebra norm on $l^p(\mathbb{Z})$. Hence, $(l^p(\mathbb{Z}), \|\cdot\|_p)$

$\|_p$) is a complex Banach algebra. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $e_m \in l^p(\mathbb{Z})$ and $e_m e_m = e_m$ whenever $e_m = \{e_{m,n}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and

$$e_{m,n} = \begin{cases} 1 & n = m \\ 0 & n \neq m \end{cases} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Moreover, $l^p(\mathbb{Z})$ generates by $\{e_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Hence, $l^p(\mathbb{Z})$ is weakly amenable by [6, Proposition 2.8.72(i)]. Therefore, $(l^p(\mathbb{Z}))^{**}$ is (-1)-weakly amenable since $l^p(\mathbb{Z})$ is reflexive.

Let $\tau : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a bijection additive map. Define

$$l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau) = \{\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \in l^p(\mathbb{Z}) : \alpha_{\tau(n)} = \overline{\alpha_n}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z})\}.$$

It is easy to see that $l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$ is closed real subalgebra of $l^p(\mathbb{Z})$ and $l^p(\mathbb{Z}) = l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau) \oplus il^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$. Hence, $(l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau), \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a real Banach algebra and $l^p(\mathbb{Z})$ is a complexification of $l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$ with respect to the injective real algebra homomorphism $J : l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau) \rightarrow l^p(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by $J(\alpha) = \alpha$ ($\alpha \in l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$). Since $\|\alpha - i\beta\|_p = \|\alpha + i\beta\|_p$ for all $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}, \beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \in l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$, we deduce that

$$\max\{\|\alpha\|_p, \|\beta\|_p\} \leq \|\alpha + i\beta\|_p \leq 2 \max\{\|\alpha\|_p, \|\beta\|_p\}$$

for all $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}, \beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \in l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$. Therefore, $l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$ is reflexive by the reflexivity of $l^p(\mathbb{Z})$ and part (vii) of Lemma 2.3, $l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$ is weakly amenable by [2, Theorem 2.5] and $(l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \tau))^{**}$ is (-1)-weakly amenable by Theorem 2.9.

Example 2.14. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. We denote by $C_{\mathbb{F}}(X)$ the algebra of all \mathbb{F} -valued continuous functions on X over \mathbb{F} . Then $C_{\mathbb{F}}(X)$ is a Banach algebra over \mathbb{F} with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ defined by

$$\|f\|_X = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}, \quad (f \in C(X)).$$

We write $C(X)$ instead of $C_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$.

A self-map $\tau : X \rightarrow X$ is called a topological involution on X if τ is continuous and $\tau(\tau(x)) = x$ for all $x \in X$. Clearly, $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in C(X)$ for all $f \in C(X)$. Define

$$C(X, \tau) = \{f \in C(X) : \bar{f} \circ \tau = f\}.$$

Then $C(X, \tau)$ is a real closed subalgebra of $C(X)$, $1_X \in C(X, \tau)$ and $i1_X \notin C(X, \tau)$, where 1_X is the constant function on X with value 1. Moreover, $C(X) = C(X, \tau) \oplus iC(X, \tau)$. Hence, $C(X)$ is a complexification of $C(X, \tau)$ with respect to the injective real algebra homomorphism $J : C(X, \tau) \rightarrow C(X)$ defined by $J(f) = f$, ($f \in C(X, \tau)$). Since $\|f - ig\|_X = \|f + ig\|_X$ for all $f, g \in C(X, \tau)$, we deduce that

$$\max\{\|f\|_X, \|g\|_X\} \leq \|f + ig\|_X \leq 2 \max\{\|f\|_X, \|g\|_X\},$$

for all $f, g \in C(X, \tau)$. Real Banach algebra $C(X, \tau)$ was first defined by Kulkarni and Limaye in [14]. For further general facts about $C(X, \tau)$ and certain real subalgebras we refer to [15].

Clearly, $C(X)$ is a complex C^* -algebra with the natural algebra involution $f \mapsto \bar{f} : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$. Hence, $C(X)$ is Arens regular and, by [11, Corollary 3.7], $(C(X))^{**}$ is (-1) -weakly amenable. Therefore, if τ is a topological involution on X then $C(X, \tau)$ is Arens regular by Theorem 2.5 and $(C(X, \tau))^{**}$ is (-1) -weakly amenable by theorem 2.9.

Example 2.15. Let (X, d) be an infinite compact metric space and let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. We denote by $\text{Lip}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, d^\alpha)$ the set of all \mathbb{F} -valued functions f on X for which

$$p_{(X, d^\alpha)}(f) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d^\alpha(x, y)} : x, y \in X, x \neq y \right\} < \infty.$$

Clearly, $\text{Lip}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, d^\alpha)$ is a subalgebra of $C_{\mathbb{F}}(X)$ and $1_X \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, d^\alpha)$. Moreover, $\text{Lip}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, d^\alpha)$ is a Banach algebra over \mathbb{F} with the α -Lipschitz norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)}$ defined by

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)} = \|f\|_X + p_{(X, d^\alpha)}(f), \quad (f \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, d^\alpha)).$$

$\text{Lip}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, d^\alpha)$ is called the Lipschitz algebra of order α on (X, d) over \mathbb{F} . This algebra was first introduced by Sherbert in [19]. We write $\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)$ instead of $\text{Lip}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, d^\alpha)$.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A Lipschitz mapping on (X, d) is a self-map $\tau : X \rightarrow X$ for which there exist a positive constant M such that $d(\tau(x), \tau(y)) \leq Md(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. For a Lipschitz mapping $\tau : X \rightarrow X$ on (X, d) , the constant Lipschitz of τ is denoted by $p(\tau)$ and defined by

$$p(\tau) = \sup \left\{ \frac{d(\tau(x), \tau(y))}{d(x, y)} : x, y \in X, x \neq y \right\}.$$

A self-map $\tau : X \rightarrow X$ is called a Lipschitz involution on (X, d) if τ is a Lipschitz mapping and $\tau(\tau(x)) = x$ for all $x \in X$.

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and let $\tau : X \rightarrow X$ be a Lipschitz involution on (X, d) . It is easy to see that $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in \text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)$ for all $f \in \text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)$. Define

$$\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau) = \{f \in \text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha) : \bar{f} \circ \tau = f\}.$$

Then $\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau)$ is a real closed subalgebra of $\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)$, containing $1_X, i1_X \notin \text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau)$ and

$$\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha) = \text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau) \oplus i\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau).$$

Hence, $(\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau), \|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)})$ is a real Banach algebra and the complex algebra $\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)$ is a complexification of $\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau)$ with

respect to the injective real algebra homomorphism $J : \text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau) \longrightarrow \text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)$ by $J(f) = f$ ($f \in \text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau)$). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|f\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)}, \|g\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)}\} &\leq C\|f + ig\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)} \\ &\leq 2C \max\{\|f\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)}, \|g\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)}\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in \text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau)$, where $C = (p(\tau))^\alpha$ (see [1]).

By [20, Theorem 9.2], $\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)$ has a nonzero continuous point derivation. Hence, $(\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha))^{**}$ is not (-1) -weakly amenable by [11, Theorem 2.6]. Therefore, if $\tau : X \longrightarrow X$ is a Lipschitz involution on (X, d) then $(\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau))^{**}$ is not (-1) -weakly amenable by Theorem 2.9.

Example 2.16. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, let K be a nonempty compact subset of X and let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. We denote by $\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)$ the set of all $f \in C(X)$ for which $f|_K \in \text{Lip}(K, d^\alpha)$. Then $\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)$ is a complex subalgebra of $C(X)$ and $\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)$ is a complex subalgebra of $\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)$. Moreover, $\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha) = C(X)$ if K is finite and $\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha) = \text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)$ if $X \setminus K$ is finite.

Furthermore, $\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)$ is a complex Banach algebra with the algebra norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)}$ defined by

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)} = \|f\|_X + p_{(K, d^\alpha)}(f), \quad f \in \text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha).$$

$\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)$ is called extended Lipschitz algebra of order α on (X, d) with respect to K . This algebra was first studied in [9].

By [16, Theorem 3.3], $\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)$ has a nonzero continuous point derivation if $\text{int}(K) \cap K' \neq \emptyset$ where $\text{int}(K)$ is the set of all interior points of K and K' is the set of all limit points of K in (X, d) . Therefore, if $\text{int}(K) \cap K' \neq \emptyset$ then $(\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha))^{**}$ is not (-1) -weakly amenable by [12, Theorem 2.6].

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, let K be compact subset of X , let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and let τ be a Lipschitz involution on (X, d) such that $\tau(K) = K$. Clearly, $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in \text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)$ for all $f \in \text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)$. Define

$$\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha, \tau) = \{f \in \text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha) : \bar{f} \circ \tau = f\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha, \tau)$ is a real closed subalgebra of $\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)$, $1_X \in \text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha, \tau)$ and

$$\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha) = \text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha, \tau) \oplus i\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha, \tau).$$

Hence, $(\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha, \tau), \|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)})$ is a real Banach algebra and $\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)$ is a complexification of $\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha, \tau)$ with the injective real algebra homomorphism $J : \text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha, \tau) \longrightarrow \text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)$

defined by $J(f) = f$ ($f \in \text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha, \tau)$). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|f\|_B, \|g\|_B\} &\leq C\|f + ig\|_B \\ &\leq 2C \max\{\|f\|_B, \|g\|_B\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in \text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha, \tau)$ where $B = \text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha)$ and $C = (p(\tau))^\alpha$. Therefore, if $\text{int}(K) \cap K' \neq \emptyset$ and $\tau : X \rightarrow X$ is a Lipschitz involution on (X, d) with $\tau(K) = K$, then $\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha, \tau)$ is not weakly amenable by [2, Theorem 2.5] and $(\text{Lip}(X, K, d^\alpha, \tau))^{**}$ is not (-1) -weakly amenable by Theorem 2.9.

Example 2.17. Let (X, d) be an infinite compact metric space and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We denote by $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, d^\alpha)$ the set of all $f \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, d^\alpha)$ for which $\lim_{d(x,y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d^\alpha(x,y)} = 0$, i.e., for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d^\alpha(x,y)} < \varepsilon$ whenever $x, y \in X$ with $0 < d(x, y) < \delta$. Then $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, d^\alpha)$ is a closed subalgebra of $\text{Lip}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, d^\alpha)$ over \mathbb{F} , and $1_X \in \text{lip}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, d^\alpha)$. Hence, $(\text{lip}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, d^\alpha), \|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)})$ is a Banach algebra over \mathbb{F} . This algebra is called the little Lipschitz algebra of order α on (X, d) over \mathbb{F} and was first introduced by Sherbert in [20]. We write $\text{lip}(X, d^\alpha)$ instead of $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, d^\alpha)$.

Let (X, d) be an infinite compact metric space, let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $B = \text{lip}(X, d^\alpha)$. For each $x \in X$ the map $e_{B,x} : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$e_{B,x}(f) = f(x), \quad f \in B,$$

belongs to B^* . Moreover, $\|e_{B,x} - e_{B,y}\|_{op} \leq d^\alpha(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and so the map $E_{B,X} : X \rightarrow B^*$ defined by

$$E_{B,X}(x) = e_{B,x}, \quad x \in X,$$

is a continuous function from (X, d) to $(B^*, \|\cdot\|_{op})$. We know [4, Theorem 3.5] that the map $\eta : B^{**} \rightarrow \text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)$ defined by

$$\eta(\Lambda) = \Lambda \circ E_{B,X}, \quad \Lambda \in B^{**},$$

is a complex linear isometry from $(B^{**}, \|\cdot\|_{op})$ onto $(\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha), \|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)})$. It is shown [4, Theorem 3.8] that B is Arens regular and η is an algebra homomorphism. This implies that B^* is a complex Banach B^{**} -module.

Let $\tau : X \rightarrow X$ be a Lipschitz involution on (X, d) . It is easy to see that $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in B$ for all $f \in B$. Define

$$\text{lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau) = \{f \in B = \text{lip}(X, d^\alpha) : \bar{f} \circ \tau = f\}.$$

Then $\text{lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau)$ is a real closed subalgebra of B and

$$\text{lip}(X, d^\alpha) = \text{lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau) \oplus i\text{lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau).$$

Therefore, $(\text{lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau), \|\cdot\|_{\text{lip}(X, d^\alpha)})$ is a real Banach algebra and the complex algebra $\text{lip}(X, d^\alpha)$ is a complexification of $\text{lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau)$ with respect to the injective real algebra homomorphism $J : \text{lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau) \rightarrow \text{lip}(X, d^\alpha)$ defined by $J(f) = f$ ($f \in \text{lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau)$). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|f\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)}, \|g\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)}\} &\leq C\|f + ig\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)} \\ &\leq 2C \max\{\|f\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)}, \|g\|_{\text{Lip}(X, d^\alpha)}\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in \text{lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau)$ where $C = (p(\tau))^\alpha$ (see [1]).

By Theorem 2.5, we deduce that $\text{lip}(X, d^\alpha, \tau)$ is Arens regular.

Let $\mathbb{T} = \{Z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, let d be the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{T} and let $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. By [8, Theorem 2.2], $(\text{lip}(\mathbb{T}, d^\alpha))^{**}$ is not (-1) -weakly amenable. Therefore, if $\tau : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be a Lipschitz involution on \mathbb{T} then $(\text{lip}(\mathbb{T}, d^\alpha, \tau))^{**}$ is not (-1) -weakly amenable by Theorem 2.9.

Note that the map $\tau : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ defined by one of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(z) &= z & (z \in \mathbb{T}), & & \tau(z) &= -z, & (z \in \mathbb{T}), \\ \tau(z) &= \bar{z} & (z \in \mathbb{T}), & & \tau(z) &= -\bar{z}, & (z \in \mathbb{T}), \\ \tau(z) &= i\bar{z} & (z \in \mathbb{T}), & & \tau(z) &= -i\bar{z}, & (z \in \mathbb{T}), \end{aligned}$$

is a Lipschitz involution on (\mathbb{T}, d) .

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the referees for their useful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. D. Alimohammadi and A. Ebadian, *Hedberg's theorem in real Lipschitz algebras*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 32 (2010), pp. 1470-1493.
2. D. Alimohammadi and T.G. Honary, *Contractibility, amenability and weak amenability of real Banach algebras*, J. Analysis, (9)(2001), pp. 69-88.
3. R. Arens, *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, Proc. Math. Amer. Soc., 2 (1951), pp. 839-848.
4. W.G. Bade, P.C. Curtis, and H.G. Dales, *Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 55 (1987), pp. 359-377.
5. F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1973.
6. H.G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, Oxford University Press, 2000.
7. J. Duncan and S.A.R. Hosseinioun, *The second dual of a Banach algebra*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburg Sect. A., 84 (1979), pp. 309-325.

8. M. Eshaghi Gordji, S.A.R. Hosseinioun, and A. Valadkhani, *On (-1)-weak amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Reports, 15 (65), (2013), pp. 271-279.
9. T.G. Honary and S. Moradi, *On the maximal ideal space of extended analytic Lipschitz algebras*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, 30 (2007), pp. 349-353.
10. S.A.R. Hosseinioun and A. Valadkhani, *(-1)-Weak amenability of unitized Banach algebras*, Europ. J. Pure Appl. Math., 9 (2016), pp. 231-239.
11. S.A.R. Hosseinioun and A. Valadkhani, *Weak and (-1)-weak amenability of second dual of Banach algebras*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., 7 (2016), pp. 39-48.
12. B.E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 127 (1972).
13. B.E. Johnson, *Derivations from $L^1(G)$ into $L^1(G)$ and $L^\infty(G)$* , Proc. International conference on Harmonic Analysis, Luxembourg, (Lecture note in Math. Springer-Verlag), 1359 (1987), pp. 191-198.
14. S.H. Kulkarni and B.V. Limaye, *Gleason parts of real function algebras*, Canad. J. Math., 33 (1981), pp. 181-200.
15. S.H. Kulkarni and B.V. Limaye, *Real Function Algebras*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1992.
16. M. Mayghani and D. Alimohammadi, *The Structure of ideals, point derivations, amenability and weak amenability of extended Lipschitz algebras*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., 2017, pp. 389-404.
17. A. Medghalchi and T. Yazdanpanah, *Problems concerning n -weak amenability of a Banach algebra*, Czechoslovak Math. J., 55 (2005), pp. 863-876.
18. T.W. Palmer, *Banach Algebras, the General Theory of *-Algebras, Vol. 1: Algebras and Banach Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
19. D.R. Sherbert, *Banach algebras of Lipschitz functions*, Pacific J. Math., (13) (1963), pp. 1387-1399.
20. D.R. Sherbert, *The structure of ideals and point derivations in Banach algebras of Lipschitz functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 111 (1964), pp. 240-272.

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, ARAK UNIVERSITY, 38156-8-8349, ARAK, IRAN.

E-mail address: hr_alihoseini@yahoo.com

² DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, ARAK UNIVERSITY, 38156-8-8349, ARAK, IRAN.

E-mail address: d-alimohammadi@araku.ac.ir