

## On the Spaces of $\lambda_r$ -almost Convergent and $\lambda_r$ -almost Bounded Sequences

Sinan Ercan

---

ABSTRACT. The aim of the present work is to introduce the concept of  $\lambda_r$ -almost convergence of sequences. We define the spaces  $f(\lambda_r)$  and  $f_0(\lambda_r)$  of  $\lambda_r$ -almost convergent and  $\lambda_r$ -almost null sequences. We investigate some inclusion relations concerning those spaces with examples and we determine the  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -duals of the space  $f(\lambda_r)$ . Finally, we give the characterization of some matrix classes.

---

### 1. PRELIMINARIES AND BACKGROUND

By  $w$ , we denote the space of all real or complex valued sequences. Any vector subspace of  $w$  is called sequence space. We write  $\ell_\infty$ ,  $c$ ,  $c_0$  for the classical sequence spaces of all bounded, convergent, null, respectively. Throughout this paper, we simply write  $x = (x_k)$  instead of  $x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty$  and  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

Let  $X$  be a sequence space. If  $X$  is a Banach space and

$$\tau_k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \tau_k(x) = x_k$$

is a continuous for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X$  is called a  $BK$ -space. The sequence spaces  $\ell_\infty$ ,  $c$  and  $c_0$  are  $BK$ -spaces with the norm given by  $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_k |x_k|$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A continuous linear functional  $\phi$  on  $\ell_\infty$  is called a Banach limit if

- (i)  $\phi(x) \geq 0$  for  $x = (x_k)$  and  $x_k \geq 0$  for every  $k$ ,
- (ii)  $\phi(x_{\sigma(k)}) = \phi(x_k)$ , where  $\sigma$  is shift operator which is defined on  $w$  by  $\sigma(k) = k + 1$  and

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A45, 40C05.

*Key words and phrases.* Almost convergence, Matrix domain,  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ -duals, Matrix transformations.

Received: 21 July 2019, Accepted: 21 October 2019.

(iii)  $\phi(e) = 1$ , where  $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ .

A sequence  $x = (x_k) \in \ell_\infty$  is said to be almost convergent to the generalized limit  $\alpha$  if all Banach limits of  $x$  are  $\alpha$  and denoted by  $f\text{-}\lim x = \alpha$ . Lorentz [17] introduced that  $f\text{-}\lim x_k = \alpha$  uniformly in  $n$  if and only if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m x_{k+n} \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

We denote the sets of all almost convergent sequences  $f$  by

$$f = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_{mn}(x) = \alpha \text{ uniformly in } n \right\},$$

where

$$t_{mn}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{m+1} x_{k+n}, \quad t_{-1,n} = 0.$$

It is well known that  $c \subset f \subset \ell_\infty$  strictly hold. Since these inclusions, norms  $\|\cdot\|_f$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_\infty}$  of the spaces  $f$  and  $\ell_\infty$  are equivalent, so the sets  $f$  and  $f_0$  are  $BK$ -spaces with the norm  $\|x\|_f = \sup_{m,n} |t_{mn}(x)|$ .

A matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  is called a triangle if  $a_{nk} = 0$  for  $k > n$  and  $a_{nn} = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is trivial that  $A(Bx) = (AB)x$  holds for the triangle matrices  $A, B$  and a sequence  $x$ . Further, a triangle matrix  $U$  uniquely has an inverse  $U^{-1} = V$  which is also a triangle matrix. Then  $x = U(Vx) = V(Ux)$  holds for all  $x \in w$ .

If  $A$  is an infinite matrix with complex entries  $a_{nk}$  for  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we write  $A = (a_{nk})$  instead of  $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k=0}^\infty$ . Any sequence in the  $n^{\text{th}}$  row of  $A$  is indicated by  $A_n$ , that is  $A_n = (a_{nk})_{k=0}^\infty$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $x = (x_k) \in w$  then we define the  $A$ -transform of  $x$  as the sequence  $Ax = (A_n(x))_{n=0}^\infty$ , where

$$(1.1) \quad A_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k,$$

provided the series (1.1) converges for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $x = (x_k)$  is called  $A$ -summable to  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  if  $Ax$  converges to  $a$  which is called  $A$ -limit of  $x$ . If  $x \in X$  implies that  $Ax \in Y$ , then we say that  $A$  defines a matrix mapping from  $X$  into  $Y$  and denote it by  $A : X \rightarrow Y$ . By  $(X : Y)$  we mean the class of all infinite matrices such that  $A : X \rightarrow Y$ .

For an arbitrary sequence space  $X$ , the matrix domain of an infinite matrix  $A$  in  $X$  is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad X_A = \{x \in w : Ax \in X\},$$

which is a sequence space. If  $A$  is triangle, then one can easily observe that the sequence spaces  $X_A$  and  $X$  are linearly isomorphic, i.e.,  $X_A \cong X$ .

Constructing a new sequence space  $X_A$  generated by the limitation matrix  $A$  from a sequence space  $X$  is the expansion or the contraction of the original space  $X$ . Using domain of a triangle matrix to construct a new sequence spaces was studied by many authors. (for instance [1]-[15])

2. ON THE CONCEPT OF  $\lambda_r$ -SUMMABILITY

Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k : k = 0, 1, \dots\}$  be a set which consists of strictly increasing sequence of positive numbers tending to  $\infty$ , that is  $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots$  and  $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Throughout this paper, we assume that  $r \geq 1$  is an integer. We define the infinite matrix  $\Lambda^r = (\lambda_{nk}^r)$  by

$$\lambda_{nk}^r = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}}{\lambda_n}; & 0 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } r | n - k, \\ 0; & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is clear that the matrix  $\Lambda^r$  is a triangle, that is  $\lambda_{nn} \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_{nk} = 0$  for  $k > n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We note that if we choose  $r = 1$  the  $\Lambda^r$  matrix reduces to the matrix  $\Lambda$  which is defined in [12]. Also, if  $r = 1$  for the sequence  $\lambda_k = k + r$  the  $\Lambda^r$  matrix is coincide with the matrix of Cesàro means given in [13] and [14].

Now, let  $x = (x_n) \in w$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Then, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} x_n - \Lambda_n^r(x) &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ r|n-i}}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-r})(x_n - x_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ r|n-i}}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-r}) \sum_{\substack{k=i+r \\ r|n-k}}^n (x_k - x_{k-r}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k=r \\ r|n-k}}^n (x_k - x_{k-r}) \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ r|n-i}}^{k-r} (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-r}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k=r \\ r|n-k}}^n \lambda_{k-r} (x_k - x_{k-r}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that

$$(2.1) \quad x_n - \Lambda_n^r(x) = S_n^r(x),$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here the sequence  $S^r(x) = (S_n^r(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad S_0^r(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S_n^r(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k=r \\ r|n-k}}^n \lambda_{k-r} (x_k - x_{k-r}).$$

We have the following result from (2.1) and Lemma 2.2.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For a sequence  $x = (x_k) \in w$  with  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , let  $f - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$ . Then,  $f - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n^r(x) = a$  holds if and only if  $S^r(x) \in f_0$ .*

*Proof.* Firstly, we assume that  $f - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = f - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n^r(x) = a$ . We have that the equality

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m [x_{n+k} - \Lambda_{n+k}^r(x)] = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m S_{n+k}^r(x),$$

holds for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since (2.3) tends to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $n$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m S_{n+k}^r(x) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

This means that  $S^r(x) \in f_0$ .

Conversely, assume that  $S^r(x) \in f_0$  and let  $f - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$ . We have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m [x_{n+k} - \Lambda_{n+k}^r(x)] = 0,$$

from (2.3) as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently, the desired result

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m x_{n+k} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m \Lambda_{n+k}^r(x) \\ &= a \end{aligned}$$

is obtained. □

### 3. THE SPACES OF $\lambda_r$ -ALMOST CONVERGENT AND $\lambda_r$ -ALMOST NULL SEQUENCES

In this section, we introduce the following spaces as the sets of all  $\lambda_r$ -almost convergent sequences and  $\lambda_r$ -almost null sequences, respectively, that is

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_r) &= \left\{ x \in w : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m \Lambda_n^r(x) = l \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}, \\ f_0(\lambda_r) &= \left\{ x \in w : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m \Lambda_n^r(x) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the notation of (1.2) we write again these spaces given above as the matrix domains of the triangle  $\Lambda^r$  in the spaces  $f$  and  $f_0$ , respectively, such that

$$f(\lambda_r) = (f)_{\Lambda^r}, \quad f_0(\lambda_r) = (f_0)_{\Lambda^r}.$$

Now we define the sequence  $y = (y_n)$  which is connected with the sequence  $x = (x_k)$  by the  $\lambda_r$ -transform, i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} y_n &= \Lambda_n^r(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ r|n-k}}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}) x_k, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *The sequence spaces  $f(\lambda_r)$  and  $f_0(\lambda_r)$  are BK-spaces with the same norm given by*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x\|_{f(\lambda_r)} &= \|\Lambda^r(x)\|_f \\ &= \sup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} |t_{mn}(\Lambda^r(x))|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} t_{mn}(\Lambda^r(x)) &= \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m \Lambda_{n+j}^r(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ r|n+j-k}}^{n+j} \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}}{\lambda_{n+j}} x_k, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* It is well known that  $f$  and  $f_0$  are BK-spaces with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Also the matrix  $\Lambda^r$  is a triangle matrix. Hence  $f(\lambda_r)$  and  $f_0(\lambda_r)$  are BK-spaces endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{f(\lambda_r)}$  from Theorem 4.3.2 given in [16].  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *The sequence spaces  $f(\lambda_r)$ ,  $f_0(\lambda_r)$  are norm isomorphic to the spaces  $f$  and  $f_0$ , respectively, that is  $f(\lambda_r) \cong f$  and  $f_0(\lambda_r) \cong f_0$ .*

*Proof.* To prove  $f(\lambda_r) \cong f$  we need show the existence of a linear bijection between the spaces  $f(\lambda_r)$  and  $f$  which preserves the norm. Let define  $T$  as (3.1), from  $f(\lambda_r)$  to  $f$  by  $x \rightarrow y = Tx = \Lambda^r(x)$ . The linearity of  $T$  is clear. Also  $x = \theta$  whenever  $Tx = T\theta$  and hence  $T$  is injective.

Now, let  $y = (y_k) \in f$  and  $x = (x_k)$  defined by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} -\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}}, & j = k - r, \\ \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}}, & j = k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ r|n+j-k}}^{n+j} \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}}{\lambda_{n+j}} x_k &= \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ r|n+j-k}}^{n+j} \frac{\lambda_k y_k - \lambda_{k-r} y_{k-r}}{\lambda_{n+j}} \\ &= y_{n+j} \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ r|n+j-k}}^{n+j} \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}}{\lambda_{n+j}} x_k = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m y_{n+j}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m \Lambda_{n+j}^r(x) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m y_{n+j} \\ &= l \text{ uniformly in } n. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $x \in f(\lambda_r)$  and  $T$  is surjective.  $T$  is also norm preserving from (3.2). The desired result is obtained.  $\square$

We note that absolute property does not hold on the spaces  $f(\lambda_r)$  and  $f_0(\lambda_r)$ , that is  $\|x\|_{f(\lambda_r)} \neq \| |x| \|_{f_0(\lambda_r)}$  for at least one sequence  $x$  in each of these spaces, where  $|x| = (|x_k|)$ . Consequently, these spaces are  $BK$ -spaces of non-absolute type. Further,  $f(\lambda_r)$  and  $f_0(\lambda_r)$  has no Schauder basis from Corollary 3.3 in [11] and Theorem 2.3 in [18].

#### 4. SOME INCLUSION RELATIONS

**Theorem 4.1.** *The inclusions  $c(\lambda_r) \subset f(\lambda_r) \subset \ell_\infty(\lambda_r)$  strictly hold.*

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_k)$  be a sequence in  $c(\lambda_r)$ . Then,  $\Lambda^r(x) \in c$  and we know that the inclusion  $c \subset f$  holds. Hence,  $\Lambda^r(x) \in f$ , that is  $x \in f(\lambda_r)$ . Now to prove strictness of the inclusion we give the following example.

**Example 4.2.** Consider the sequence  $x = (x_k)$  defined by

$$(4.1) \quad x_k = \begin{cases} (-1)^k, & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\ -\frac{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k-r}}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}}, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have

$$(4.2) \quad \Lambda_n^r(x) = (-1)^n$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This means that  $x \in c(\lambda_r)$ . Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m \Lambda_{n+j}^r(x) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{n+j} \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{m+1} \left[ \frac{1 + (-1)^m}{2} \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x \in f(\lambda_r)$  and the inclusion  $c(\lambda_r) \subset f(\lambda_r)$  is strict.

Now we prove the inclusion  $f(\lambda_r) \subset \ell_\infty(\lambda_r)$  holds. Let  $y = (y_k) \in f(\lambda_r)$ . Then, since  $\Lambda^r(y) \in f$  and  $f \subset \ell_\infty$ , we have  $\Lambda^r(y) \in \ell_\infty$  and  $f(\lambda_r) \subset \ell_\infty(\lambda_r)$  holds. To see strictness of this inclusion consider the sequence  $z$  defined in [19] by  $y = \Lambda^r(z)$ , where

$$y = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 1, \dots, 0, \dots, 0, \dots),$$

and blocks of 0's are increasing by factors of 100 and the blocks of 1 increasing by factors of 10. This sequence is in  $\ell_\infty$  but not in  $f$ . Hence,  $z \in \ell_\infty(\lambda_r) \setminus f(\lambda_r)$  and the inclusion  $f(\lambda_r) \subset \ell_\infty(\lambda_r)$  is strict.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *The inclusion  $f_0(\lambda_r) \subset f(\lambda_r)$  strictly holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_k)$  be a sequence in  $f_0(\lambda_r)$ . Then, we have  $\Lambda^r(x) \in f_0$ . Since  $f_0 \subset f$ ,  $\Lambda^r(x) \in f$  and  $x \in f(\lambda_r)$ . Consequently,  $f_0(\lambda_r) \subset f(\lambda_r)$  holds. Now to see strictness of this inclusion consider  $x = (x_k)$  defined by  $x_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Obviously,  $x \in f(\lambda_r)$  and we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ r|n+j-k}}^{n+j} \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}}{\lambda_{n+j}} x_k = 1.$$

Hence,  $x \notin f_0(\lambda_r)$  and the inclusion  $f_0(\lambda_r) \subset f(\lambda_r)$  is strict.  $\square$

By taking into account  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , we have  $\lambda_{k+r}/\lambda_k > 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, there are only two distinct cases of the sequence  $\lambda$ , either  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k+r}/\lambda_k = 1$  or  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k+r}/\lambda_k > 1$ . Clearly, we obtain the following result:

**Lemma 4.4.** (i)  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k+r}/\lambda_k = 1$  if and only if  $\left( \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}} \right) \notin \ell_\infty$ .  
 (ii)  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k+r}/\lambda_k > 1$  if and only if  $\left( \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}} \right) \in \ell_\infty$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** (i) *The inclusions  $f_0 \subset f_0(\lambda_r)$  and  $f \subset f(\lambda_r)$  strictly hold.*

- (ii) The equalities  $f_0 = f_0(\lambda_r)$  and  $f = f(\lambda_r)$  hold if and only if  $\Lambda^r(x) \in f_0$  for every  $x$  in the spaces  $f(\lambda_r)$  and  $f_0(\lambda_r)$ , respectively.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x = (x_k) \in c$ . We know that  $c \subset f$  and  $\Lambda^r$  is regular, hence  $x \in f$ ,  $\Lambda^r(x) \in c$ . Therefore, we obtain that  $x \in f(\lambda_r)$  and the inclusion  $f \subset f(\lambda_r)$  holds. To see strictness of this inclusion let define a sequence  $x = (x_k)$  by (4.1) and suppose that  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{k+r}}{\lambda_k} = 1$ . Since  $x \notin \ell_\infty$ , we obtain  $x \notin f$ . But  $\Lambda^r(x) \in f$  and  $x \in f(\lambda_r)$ . This completes the proof. Similarly, one can prove that the inclusion  $f_0 \subset f_0(\lambda_r)$  strictly holds.

- (ii) If we assume that  $x \in f(\lambda_r)$ , we have  $S^r(x) \in f_0$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m S(x)_{n+k} = 0.$$

Then, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m [x_{n+k} - \Lambda_{n+k}^r(x)] = 0$$

from (2.2). This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m x_{n+k} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m \Lambda_{n+k}^r(x) \\ &= l \text{ uniformly in } n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f(\lambda_r) \subset f$ . By combining the inclusion  $f \subset f(\lambda_r)$  the equality  $f(\lambda_r) = f$  is obtained.

Conversely, assume that the equality  $f = f(\lambda_r)$  holds. By (2.2), we have  $S^r(x) \in f_0$ . Following similar way, the results which are concerning to  $f_0(\lambda_r)$  will be obtained. □

**Theorem 4.6.** *Neither of the spaces  $\ell_\infty$  and  $f(\lambda_r)$  includes the other.*

*Proof.* Consider the sequences defined by  $\lambda_k = k$  and  $x_k = 1/r$ . Then, since  $\Lambda^r(x) = e \in f$ ,  $x \in f(\lambda_r)$ . It is obvious that  $x \in \ell_\infty \cap f(\lambda_r)$ . Now, consider the sequence  $x$  given by (4.1) and suppose that  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{k+r}}{\lambda_k} = 1$ . Then, since  $\Lambda^r(x) = (-1)^n \in f$ ,  $x \in f(\lambda_r)$  but  $x \notin \ell_\infty$ . Further, let take  $\lambda_k = k$  and define another sequence

$$y = (0, \dots, 0, 1/r, \dots, 1/r, 0, \dots, 0, 1/r, \dots, 1/r, 0, \dots, 0, \dots),$$

where the block's of 0's are increasing by factors of 100 and the blocks of  $1/r$  are increasing by factors of 10. Then,

$$\Lambda^r(y) = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \notin f$$



and  $y \notin f(\lambda_r)$ , where the blocks of 0's are increasing by factors of 100 and the blocks of 1's are increasing by factors of 10, but  $y \in \ell_\infty$ . This means that  $y \in \ell_\infty \setminus f(\lambda_r)$ . Hence the spaces  $\ell_\infty$  and  $f(\lambda_r)$  overlap, but neither of them include each other.  $\square$

5. THE  $\beta$ - AND  $\gamma$ -DUALS OF THE SET  $f(\lambda_r)$  AND SOME CERTAIN MATRIX CLASSES

In this section, we determine the  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ -duals of the space  $f(\lambda_r)$ . The  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ - duals of a sequence space  $\mu$  are defined as followings;

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^\beta &= \{x = (x_k) \in w : xy = (x_k y_k) \in cs \text{ for all } y = (y_k) \in \mu\} \\ \mu^\gamma &= \{x = (x_k) \in w : xy = (x_k y_k) \in bs \text{ for all } y = (y_k) \in \mu\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we characterize some matrix transformations between  $f(\lambda_r)$  and classical sequence spaces. Now we begin the following lemmas which will be used in the proof of our results.

**Lemma 5.1** ([21]).  $A = (a_{nk}) \in (f : \ell_\infty)$  if and only if

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |a_{nk}| < \infty.$$

**Lemma 5.2** ([21]).  $A = (a_{nk}) \in (f : c)$  if and only if (5.1) holds and there are  $\alpha, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$(5.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = \alpha_k,$$

$$(5.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k a_{nk} = \alpha,$$

$$(5.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k |\Delta(a_{nk} - \alpha_k)| = 0.$$

**Lemma 5.3** ([22]).  $A \in (\ell_\infty : f)$  if and only if (5.1) holds and

$$(5.5) \quad f - \lim a_{nk} = \alpha_k \text{ exists for each fixed } k,$$

$$(5.6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k |a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k| = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

**Lemma 5.4** ([23]).  $A \in (c : f)$  if and only if (5.1), (5.5) hold and

$$(5.7) \quad f - \lim \sum_k a_{nk} = \alpha.$$

**Lemma 5.5** ([22]).  $A \in (f : f)$  if and only if (5.1), (5.5), (5.7) hold and

$$(5.8) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k |\Delta[a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k]| = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

**Theorem 5.6.** *The  $\gamma$ -dual of the space  $f(\lambda_r)$  is the set  $e_1 \cap e_2$ , where*

$$e_1 = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \bar{\Delta}_r \left( \frac{a_k}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}} \right) \lambda_k \right| < \infty \right\},$$

$$e_2 = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \left( \frac{a_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-r}} \lambda_n \right) \in \ell_\infty \right\}.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x_k &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left[ -\frac{\lambda_{k-r}}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}} y_{k-r} + \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}} y_k \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \bar{\Delta}_r \left( \frac{a_k}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}} \right) \lambda_k y_k + \frac{a_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-r}} \lambda_n y_n \\ &= T_n(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $T = (t_{nk})$  is the matrix defined by

$$(5.9) \quad t_{nk} = \begin{cases} \bar{\Delta}_r \left( \frac{a_k}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}} \right) \lambda_k, & \text{if } 0 < k < n-1, \\ \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-r}} a_n, & \text{if } k = n, \\ 0, & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

for all  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We deduce from  $T_n(y)$  that  $ax = (a_k x_k) \in bs$  whenever  $x = (x_k) \in f(\lambda_r)$  if and only if  $Ty \in \ell_\infty$  whenever  $y = (y_k) \in f$ , where  $T = (t_{nk})$  is defined by (5.9). Therefore, we obtain from Lemma 5.1 that  $(f(\lambda_r))^\gamma = e_1 \cap e_2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.7.** *Define the sets  $e_3$  and  $e_4$  by*

$$e_3 = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \left\{ \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-r}} a_n \right\} \in c \right\},$$

$$e_4 = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k |\Delta(t_{nk} - \alpha_k)| = 0 \right\},$$

then  $\{f(\lambda_r)\}^\beta = e_3 \cap e_4$ .

*Proof.* Take any  $a = (a_k) \in w$ . It is easily seen from  $T_n(y)$  that  $ax = (a_k x_k) \in cs$  whenever  $x = (x_k) \in f(\lambda_r)$  if and only if  $Ty \in c$  whenever  $y = (y_k) \in f$ . It is clear that the columns of the matrix  $T$  lie in  $c$  where  $T = (t_{nk})$  defined in (5.9). We have the consequence by Lemma 5.2 that  $\{f(\lambda_r)\}^\beta = e_3 \cap e_4$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let assume that  $A = (a_{nk})$  and  $B = (b_{nk})$  are the infinite matrices which are connected with relation*

$$(5.10) \quad \hat{a}_{nk} = b_{nk}$$

$$= \overline{\Delta}_r \left( \frac{a_{nk}}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}} \right) \lambda_k$$

and  $\mu$  is any given sequence space. Then,  $A \in (f(\lambda_r) : \mu)$  if and only if  $B \in (f : \mu)$  and

$$(5.11) \quad \left\{ \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-r}} a_{nk} \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0.$$

*Proof.* Firstly, keep in mind that the sequences  $f$  and  $f(\lambda_r)$  are norm isomorphic. Then, we assume that  $A \in (f(\lambda_r) : \mu)$  and take any  $y = (y_k) \in f$ . Then,  $BA^r$  exists and  $\{a_{nk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{f(\lambda_r)\}^\beta$  which yields that  $(b_{nk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $By$  exists for each  $y \in f$  and thus letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^m b_{nk} y_k &= \sum_{k=0}^m \left[ \overline{\Delta}_r \left( \frac{a_{nk}}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}} \right) \lambda_k \right] \cdot \left( \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ r|k-i}}^k \frac{(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r})}{\lambda_k} x_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m a_{nk} x_k, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have by (5.10) that  $By = Ax$  which gives the result  $B \in (f : \mu)$ .

Conversely, suppose that (5.11) holds for every fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $B \in (f : \mu)$ . Let take any  $x = (x_k) \in f(\lambda_r)$ . Then  $Ax$  exists. Further, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^m a_{nk} x_k &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \overline{\Delta}_r \left( \frac{a_{nk}}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}} \right) \lambda_k y_k + \frac{a_{nm}}{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-r}} \lambda_m y_m \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m b_{nk} y_k \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , that  $Ax = By$  and this shows that  $A \in (f(\lambda_r) : \mu)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.9.** Let  $\mu$  be any sequence space and assume that  $A = (a_{nk})$  and  $E = (e_{nk})$  are the infinite matrices which are connected by the relation

$$e_{nk} = \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ r|n-j}}^n \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-r}}{\lambda_n} a_{jk},$$

for all  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $D \in (\mu : f(\lambda_r))$  if and only if  $E \in (\mu : f(\lambda_r))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_k) \in \mu$  and consider the following equality

$$\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ r|n-j}}^n \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-r}}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^j a_{jk} x_k = \sum_{k=0}^j e_{nk} x_k,$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further, by letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ r|n-j}}^n \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-r}}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{jk} x_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{nk} x_k,$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we have  $\{\Lambda^r(Ax)\}_n = (Ex)_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $Ax \in f(\lambda_r)$ ,  $Ex \in f$  whenever  $x \in \mu$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.10.** *The following statements hold:*

- (i)  $A = (a_{nk}) \in (f(\lambda_r) : \ell_\infty)$  if and only if  $\{a_{nk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{f(\lambda_r)\}^\beta$  and (5.1) holds with  $\hat{a}_{nk}$  instead of  $a_{nk}$ .
- (ii)  $A = (a_{nk}) \in (f(\lambda_r) : c)$  if and only if  $\{a_{nk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{f(\lambda_r)\}^\beta$  and (5.1), (5.2), (5.3), (5.4) hold with  $\hat{a}_{nk}$  instead of  $a_{nk}$ .
- (iii)  $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell_\infty : f(\lambda_r))$  if and only if (5.1), (5.5) and (5.6) hold with  $e_{nk}$  instead of  $a_{nk}$ .
- (iv)  $A = (a_{nk}) \in (f : f(\lambda_r))$  if and only if (5.1), (5.5), (5.7) and (5.8) hold with  $e_{nk}$  instead of  $a_{nk}$ .
- (v)  $A = (a_{nk}) \in (c : f(\lambda_r))$  if and only if (5.1), (5.5), (5.7) hold with  $e_{nk}$  instead of  $a_{nk}$ .

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions which led to a number of improvements in this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Sönmez, *Almost convergence and triple band matrix*, Math. Comput. Modelling, 57 (2013), pp. 2393-2402.
2. M. Candan, *Almost convergence and double sequential band matrix*, Acta. Math. Sci., 34 (2014), pp. 354-366.
3. M. Kirişci, *Almost convergence and generalized weighted mean II*, J. Inequal. Appl., 1 (2014), pp. 1-13.
4. M. Kirişci, *Almost convergence and generalized weighted mean*, In: AIP Conference Proceedings, AIP (2012), pp. 191-194.
5. M. Şengönül and K. Kayaduman, *On the Riesz almost convergent sequences space*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2012 (2012), Article ID 691694, 18 pages.

6. A. Karaisa and F. Özger, *Almost difference sequence space derived by using a generalized weighted mean*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., 19 (2015), pp. 27-38.
7. F. Başar and R. Çolak, *Almost-conservative matrix transformations*, Turkish J. Math., 13 (1989), pp. 91-100.
8. M. Kirişci, *On the spaces of Euler almost null and Euler almost convergent sequences*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat, 62 (2013), pp. 1-16.
9. Qamaruddin, S.A. Mohuiddine, *Almost convergence and some matrix transformations*, Filomat, 21 (2007), pp. 261-266.
10. M. Candan and K. Kayaduman, *Almost convergent sequence space derived by generalized Fibonacci matrix and Fibonacci core*, British J. Math. Comput. Sci., 7 (2015), pp. 150-167.
11. F. Başar and M. Kirişci, *Almost convergence and generalized difference matrix*, Comput. Math. Appl., 61 (2011), pp. 602-611.
12. M. Mursaleen and A. K. Noman, *On the spaces of  $\lambda$ -convergent sequences and bounded sequences*, Thai J. Math, 8 (2010), pp. 311-329.
13. P.N. Ng and P.Y. Lee, *Cesàro sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat., 20 (1978), pp. 429-433.
14. M. Şengönül and F. Başar, *Some new Cesàro sequence spaces of non-absolute type which include the spaces  $c_0$  and  $c$* , Soochow J. Math., 31 (2005), pp. 107-119.
15. M. Yeşilkayagil and F. Başar, *Space of  $A_\lambda$ -almost null and  $A_\lambda$ -almost convergent sequences*, J. Egypt. Math. Soc., 23 (2015), pp. 119-126.
16. A. Wilansky, *Summability Through Functional Analysis*, in: North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, New York, 1984.
17. G.G. Lorentz, *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences*, Acta Math., 80 (1948), pp. 167-190.
18. A. M. Jarrah and E. Malkowsky, *BK spaces, bases and linear operators*, Ren. Circ. Mat. Palermo II, 52 (1990), pp. 177-191.
19. H.I. Miller and C. Orhan, *On almost convergent and statistically convergent subsequences*, Acta Math. Hungar., 93 (2001), pp. 135-151.
20. G.M. Petersen, *Regular Matrix Transformations*, McGraw-Hill, New York-Toronto-Sydney, 1970.
21. J.A. Siddiqi, *Infinite matrices summing every almost periodic sequences*, Pac. J. Math., 39 (1971), pp. 235-251.
22. J.P. Duran, *Infinite matrices and almost convergence*, Math. Z., 128 (1972), pp. 75-83.

23. J.P. King, *Almost summable sequences*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 17 (1966), pp. 1219-1225.
24. F. Başar and İ. Solak, *Almost-coercive matrix transformations*, Rend. Mat. Appl., 11 (1991), pp. 249-256.
25. F. Başar, *Summability Theory and Its Applications*, Bentham Science Publishers, Istanbul, 2012.
26. S. Nanda, *Infinite matrices and almost convergence*, J. Indian Math. Soc., 40 (1976), pp. 173-184.
27. P. Kórus, *On  $\Lambda^r$ -strong convergence of numerical sequences and Fourier series*, J. Class. Anal., 9 (2016), pp. 89-98.
28. S. Ercan, *On  $\lambda_r$ -Convergence and  $\lambda_r$ -Boundedness*, Journal of Advanced Physics, 7 (2018), pp. 123-129.

---

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, FIRAT UNIVERSITY, 23119, ELAZIG, TURKEY.

*E-mail address:* [sinanercan45gmail.com](mailto:sinanercan45gmail.com)