

Cauchy Sequences in Fuzzy Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Theorems

Mortaza Abtahi

**Sahand Communications in
Mathematical Analysis**

Print ISSN: 2322-5807
Online ISSN: 2423-3900
Volume: 20
Number: 1
Pages: 137-152

Sahand Commun. Math. Anal.
DOI: 10.22130/scma.2022.552400.1099

Volume 20, No. 1, January 2023

Print ISSN 2322-5807
Online ISSN 2423-3900

Sahand Communications
in
Mathematical Analysis



Photo by Farnad Mansoori

Sahand Mountain, Maragheh, Iran.

SCMA, P. O. Box 55181-83111, Maragheh, Iran
<http://scma.maragheh.ac.ir>

Cauchy Sequences in Fuzzy Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Theorems

Mortaza Abtahi

ABSTRACT. In this paper, contractive mappings of Ćirić-Matkowski type in fuzzy metric spaces are studied. A class Ψ_1 of gauge functions $\psi : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that, for any $r \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\rho \in (r, 1)$ such that $1 - r > \tau > 1 - \rho$ implies $\psi(\tau) \geq 1 - r$, is introduced, and it is shown that fuzzy ψ -contractive mappings are fuzzy contractive mappings of Ćirić-Matkowski type. A characterization of Cauchy sequences in fuzzy metric spaces is presented, and it is utilized to establish fixed point theorems. Examples are given to support the results. Our results cover those of Mihet (Fuzzy ψ -contractive mappings in non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 159(2008) 739–744), Wardowski (Fuzzy contractive mappings and fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 222(2013) 108–114) and others.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy metric spaces were initiated by Kramosil and Michálek [9]. Later, in order to obtain a Hausdorff topology in fuzzy metric spaces, George and Veeramani [3] modified the conditions formulated in [9]. The study of fixed point theory in fuzzy metric spaces started with the work of Grabiec [4], by extending the well-known fixed point theorems of Banach [1] and Edelstein [2] to fuzzy metric spaces. Many authors followed this concept by introducing and investigating different types of fuzzy contractive mappings; see, e.g., [6, 10–13, 15, 17, 24–27]. They reconsidered the Banach contraction principle by initiating a new concept of fuzzy contractive mapping in fuzzy metric spaces in the sense of George and Veeramani [3] and also in the sense of Kramosil and

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A40, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. Fuzzy metric spaces, Cauchy sequences, Fixed point theorems, Contractive mappings, Gauge functions.

Received: 20 April 2022, Accepted: 13 August 2022.

Michálek [9]. However, in their results, there were used strong conditions for completeness, namely G -completeness [4], of a fuzzy metric space. Being aware of this problem, they raised the question whether the fuzzy contractive sequences are Cauchy in the usual sense, namely M -Cauchy [3]. Many papers have appeared concerning this subject; see, for example, the interesting results of Mihet [10–12].

In [26] Wardowski introduced the concept of fuzzy \mathcal{H} -contractive mappings and formulated conditions guaranteeing the convergence of fuzzy \mathcal{H} -contractive sequences to a unique fixed point in a complete fuzzy metric space. The paper includes a comprehensive set of examples showing the generality of the results and demonstrating that the formulated conditions are significant and cannot be omitted. However, in [5], it is shown that some assertions made in [26] are not true.

Many works has been done on fuzzy fixed point theory and sequences of fuzzy real numbers in the recent past; see [18–23] for example.

This paper is outlined as follows. Section 2 contains definitions and basic concepts of fuzzy metric spaces. In Section 3, we introduce and discuss contractive mappings of Ćirić-Matkowski type in fuzzy metric spaces. We introduce a class Ψ_1 of gauge functions that contains the class Ψ introduced in [12], and establish a relation between fuzzy Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mappings and fuzzy ψ -contractive mappings ($\psi \in \Psi_1$). In Section 4, a characterization of Cauchy sequences in fuzzy metric spaces is presented. Utilizing this characterization, in Section 5, it is proved that fuzzy Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mappings on complete fuzzy metric spaces have unique fixed points. Fixed point theorems presented in Section 5 extend some results on this subject (e.g., [5, 12, 13, 26]). At the end, to support our results, we present many examples.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout, the sets of integers, nonnegative integers and positive integers are denoted, respectively, by \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Z}^+ and \mathbb{N} . The sets of real numbers and nonnegative real numbers are denoted, respectively, by \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^+ .

A binary operation $*$ on $[0, 1]$ is called a *triangular norm* or a *t-norm* [16] if it is associative, commutative, and satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $a * 1 = a$, for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- (ii) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$.

A t-norm $*$ is called *positive* if $a * b > 0$ whenever $a > 0$ and $b > 0$. Some typical examples of t-norms are the following:

$$a * b = ab, \quad (\text{product}),$$

$$a * b = \min\{a, b\}, \quad (\text{minimum}),$$

$$a * b = \max\{0, a + b - 1\}, \quad (\text{Lukasiewicz}),$$

$$a * b = \frac{ab}{a + b - ab}, \quad (\text{Hamacher}).$$

Definition 2.1 ([9]). A *fuzzy metric space* is a triplet $(X, M, *)$, where X is a nonempty set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm, and $M : X^2 \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a mapping with the following properties:

- (1) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$, for all $x, y \in X$;
- (2) $x = y$ if and only if $M(x, y, t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$;
- (3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (4) $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous, for all $x, y \in X$;
- (5) $M(x, z, s + t) \geq M(x, y, s) * M(y, z, t)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$.

If, in the above definition, the triangular inequality (5) is replaced by

$$(5') \quad M(x, z, t) \geq M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, t), \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in X \text{ and } t > 0,$$

then the triplet $(X, M, *)$ is called a *strong fuzzy metric space*. It is easy to check that the triangle inequality (5') implies (5), that is, every strong fuzzy metric space is itself a fuzzy metric space.

In [3], the authors modified the above definition in order to introduce a Hausdorff topology on the fuzzy metric space.

Definition 2.2 (George and Veeramani, [3]). A *fuzzy metric space* is a triplet $(X, M, *)$, where X is a nonempty set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm, and $M : X^2 \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a mapping satisfying the following properties, for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$:

- (a) $M(x, y, t) > 0$;
- (b) $x = y$ if and only if $M(x, y, t) = 1$;
- (c) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$;
- (d) $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous;
- (e) $M(x, z, s + t) \geq M(x, y, s) * M(y, z, t)$.

For example, given a metric space (X, d) , define a t-norm by $a * b = ab$, for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$, and set

$$M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}, \quad (x, y \in X, t > 0).$$

Then $(X, M_d, *)$ is a (strong) fuzzy metric space; M_d is called the standard fuzzy metric induced by d . It is interesting to note that the topologies induced by the standard fuzzy metric M_d and the corresponding metric d coincide. (For more information, see [3].)

By definition, a sequence (x_n) in a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ converges to a point $x \in X$, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$. In

[4], notions of Cauchy sequences and complete fuzzy metric spaces are defined. The sequence (x_n) in X is called *G-Cauchy* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x_{n+m}, t) = 1 \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}, t > 0).$$

A fuzzy metric space in which every *G-Cauchy* sequence is convergent is called a *G-complete* fuzzy metric space. With this definition of completeness, even $(\mathbb{R}, M_d, *)$, where d is the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R} and $a * b = ab$, fails to be complete. Hence, in [3], the authors redefined Cauchy sequence as follows.

Definition 2.3 (George and Veeramani, [3]). A sequence (x_n) in a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be *Cauchy* (or *M-Cauchy*) if, for each $r \in (0, 1)$ and each $t > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - r \quad (m, n \geq N).$$

A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent, is called a *complete* (or *M-complete*) fuzzy metric space.

Note that a metric space (X, d) is complete if and only if the induced fuzzy metric space $(X, M_d, *)$ is complete.

In this paper, we work in the setting of fuzzy metric spaces in the sense of George and Veeramani, in which by a Cauchy sequence we always mean an *M-Cauchy* sequence, and by a complete space we always mean an *M-complete* space.

3. FUZZY ψ -CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS

To begin, a result of [14] is needed. Let Φ_1 denote the class of all functions $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with the property that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > \epsilon$ such that $\epsilon < s < \delta$ implies $\phi(s) \leq \epsilon$.

Lemma 3.1 ([14]). *Let E and F be two nonnegative functions on a nonempty set X such that $E(x) \leq F(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *There is a function $\phi \in \Phi_1$ such that $E(x) \leq \phi(F(x))$, for all $x \in X$.*
- (ii) *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > \epsilon$ such that $\epsilon < F(x) < \delta$ implies $E(x) \leq \epsilon$.*

In [12] (see also [10]) Mihet defined a class Ψ consisting of all continuous, nondecreasing functions $\psi : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ with the property that $\psi(\tau) > \tau$, for all $\tau \in (0, 1)$. In the following, we introduce a class Ψ_1 of gauge functions that includes Ψ .

Definition 3.2. Let Ψ_1 denote the class of all functions $\psi : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ with the property that, for any $r \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\rho \in (r, 1)$

such that $1 - r > \tau > 1 - \rho$ implies $\psi(\tau) \geq 1 - r$. Given $\psi \in \Psi_1$, a self-map T of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be ψ -contractive if

- (i) $M(Tx, Ty, t) > M(x, y, t)$ for $x \neq y$ and $t > 0$,
- (ii) $M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq \psi(M(x, y, t))$, for all x, y and $t > 0$.

We prove fixed point theorems for ψ -contractive mappings ($\psi \in \Psi_1$) in complete fuzzy metric spaces (Theorems 5.3 and 5.4). Since the class Ψ_1 properly contains the class Ψ (Proposition 3.3 and Example 5.8), our theorem extends Mihet's result in [12].

Proposition 3.3. $\Psi \subset \Psi_1$.

Proof. Let $\psi \in \Psi$. For every $r \in (0, 1)$, since $\psi(1 - r) > 1 - r$, the continuity of ψ at $1 - r$ implies the existence of some $\rho \in (r, 1)$ such that $1 - r > \tau > 1 - \rho$ implies $\psi(\tau) \geq 1 - r$. This shows that $\psi \in \Psi_1$, and thus $\Psi \subset \Psi_1$. \square

Remark 3.4. In Example 5.8, a function $\psi \in \Psi_1$ which is not continuous is presented. This confirms that the inclusion $\Psi \subset \Psi_1$ is proper.

As in [26], let \mathcal{H} be the family of all strictly decreasing bijections $\eta : (0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Wardowski [26] introduced the notion of fuzzy \mathcal{H} -contractive mappings, and proved a fixed point theorem for such mappings. In [5], it is shown that fuzzy \mathcal{H} -contractive mappings are included in the class of fuzzy ψ -contractive mappings ($\psi \in \Psi$). One might define new fuzzy contractive mappings by composing functions $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ with gauge functions $\phi \in \Phi_1$. Given $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\phi \in \Phi_1$, let us call a self-map T of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ a *fuzzy ϕ - \mathcal{H} -contractive mapping*, if

$$\eta(M(Tx, Ty, t)) \leq \phi(\eta(M(x, y, t))) \quad (x, y \in X, t > 0).$$

We show that these kinds of fuzzy contractive mappings are included in the class of fuzzy ψ -contractive mappings ($\psi \in \Psi_1$).

Proposition 3.5. *For every $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, the mapping $\phi \mapsto \eta^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \eta$, is a one-to-one correspondence from Φ_1 onto Ψ_1 .*

Proof. Let $\phi \in \Phi_1$, and $\psi = \eta^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \eta$. Given $r \in (0, 1)$, let $\epsilon = \eta(1 - r)$. Since $\phi \in \Phi_1$, there is $\delta > \epsilon$ such that $\epsilon < s < \delta$ implies $\phi(s) \leq \epsilon$. Let $\rho = 1 - \eta^{-1}(\delta)$. Now, if $1 - r > \tau > 1 - \rho$ then $\epsilon < \eta(\tau) < \delta$, and thus $\phi(\eta(\tau)) \leq \epsilon$. Therefore, $\psi(\tau) = \eta^{-1}(\phi(\eta(\tau))) \geq \eta^{-1}(\epsilon) = 1 - r$.

Similarly, if $\psi \in \Psi_1$, then $\phi = \eta \circ \psi \circ \eta^{-1}$ belongs to Φ_1 . \square

Corollary 3.6. *The class of fuzzy ϕ - \mathcal{H} -contractive mappings is included in the class of fuzzy ψ -contractive mappings, for $\psi \in \Psi_1$.*

We conclude this section by introducing the concept of fuzzy Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mappings.

Definition 3.7. A self-map T of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be a *fuzzy Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mapping* if

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(i) } M(Tx, Ty, t) > M(x, y, t) \text{ for } x \neq y \text{ and } t > 0, \\ & \text{(ii) for every } t > 0 \text{ and } r \in (0, 1), \text{ there exists } \rho \in (r, 1) \text{ such that} \\ & 1 - r > M(x, y, t) > 1 - \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq 1 - r \quad (x, y \in X). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, in the above definition, condition (3.1) can be replaced by the following:

$$(3.2) \quad M(x, y, t) > 1 - \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq 1 - r \quad (x, y \in X).$$

The following reveals the relation between fuzzy ψ -contractive mappings and fuzzy Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mappings.

Lemma 3.8. *Let E and F be two nonnegative functions on a nonempty set X such that $E(x) \geq F(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(i) There is a function } \psi \in \Psi_1 \text{ such that } E(x) \geq \psi(F(x)), \text{ for all } \\ & \quad x \in X. \\ & \text{(ii) For any } r \in (0, 1) \text{ there is } \rho \in (r, 1) \text{ such that} \\ & 1 - r > F(x) > 1 - \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad E(x) \geq 1 - r \quad (x \in X). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, and set $E_1(x) = \eta(E(x))$ and $F_1(x) = \eta(F(x))$. It is a matter of calculation to see that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > \epsilon$ such that $\epsilon < F_1(x) < \delta$ implies $E_1(x) \leq \epsilon$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, there is $\phi \in \Phi_1$ such that $E_1(x) \leq \phi(F_1(x))$, for all $x \in X$. Therefore,

$$E(x) = \eta^{-1}(E_1(x)) \geq \eta^{-1}(\phi(F_1(x))) = \eta^{-1}(\phi(\eta(F_1(x)))).$$

If we take $\psi = \eta^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \eta$, then $\psi \in \Psi_1$ (by Proposition 3.5) and $E(x) \geq \psi(F(x))$, for all $x \in X$. \square

Obviously, the class of fuzzy Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mappings contains the class of ψ -contractive mappings ($\psi \in \Psi_1$). We can say more:

Theorem 3.9. *Let T be a self-map of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ such that*

$$M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq M(x, y, t) \quad (x, y \in X, t > 0).$$

Consider the following statements;

(i) *There exists $\psi \in \Psi_1$ such that*

$$M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq \psi(M(x, y, t)) \quad (x, y \in X, t > 0).$$

(ii) For every $r \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\rho \in (r, 1)$ such that

$$1 - r > M(x, y, t) > 1 - \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq 1 - r \quad (x, y \in X, t > 0).$$

(iii) For every $t > 0$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\rho \in (r, 1)$ such that

$$1 - r > M(x, y, t) > 1 - \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq 1 - r \quad (x, y \in X).$$

(iv) For every $t > 0$, there exists $\psi_t \in \Psi_1$ such that

$$M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq \psi_t(M(x, y, t)) \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Then (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv)

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) follows from Lemma 3.8 by considering the nonnegative functions

$$E(x, y, t) = M(Tx, Ty, t), \quad F(x, y, t) = M(x, y, t),$$

on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obvious, and (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) also follows from Lemma 3.8 by considering, for each $t > 0$, the nonnegative functions $E_t(x, y) = M(Tx, Ty, t)$ and $F_t(x, y) = M(x, y, t)$ on $X \times X$. \square

4. A CHARACTERIZATION OF CAUCHY SEQUENCES

In this section, a characterization of Cauchy sequences in fuzzy metric spaces is presented. This characterization is used, in Section 5, to give new fixed point theorems. First, we need a couple of definitions.

Definition 4.1. Let (x_n) be a sequence in a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$.

- (i) The sequence (x_n) is called *asymptotically regular* if $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \rightarrow 1$, for every $t > 0$.
- (ii) The sequence (x_n) is called *uniformly asymptotically regular* if, for any sequence $E = \{t_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of positive numbers with $t_i \searrow 0$, we have $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \rightarrow 1$, uniformly on $t \in E$.

The following is the main result of the section.

Lemma 4.2. Let (x_n) be a sequence in $(X, M, *)$. Suppose, for every $t > 0$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, for any two subsequence (x_{p_n}) and (x_{q_n}) , if $\liminf M(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) \geq 1 - r$, then, for some N ,

$$(4.1) \quad M(x_{p_n+1}, x_{q_n+1}, t) \geq 1 - r, \quad (n \geq N).$$

Then (x_n) is Cauchy, provided it is uniformly asymptotically regular. In case $(X, M, *)$ is a strong fuzzy metric space, we only need (x_n) be asymptotically regular.

Proof. To get a contradiction, assume that (x_n) is not Cauchy. Then, there exist $t > 0$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists p, q \geq k, \quad M(x_p, x_q, t) < 1 - r.$$

Set $a_i = 1/i$, and $E = \{a_i t : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. In case (x_n) is uniformly asymptotically regular, we have $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, a_i t) \rightarrow 1$, uniformly on E . Hence, there exist positive integers $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad M(x_m, x_{m+1}, a_i t) > 1 - \frac{r}{n}, \quad (m \geq k_n, i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For each k_n , by (4.2), there exist integers p_n and q_n such that

$$(4.4) \quad q_n > p_n \geq k_n \quad \text{and} \quad M(x_{p_n+1}, x_{q_n+1}, t) < 1 - r.$$

We choose q_n be the smallest such integer so that $M(x_{p_n+1}, x_{q_n}, t) \geq 1 - r$. Now, for every n and i , we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} M(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) &\geq M(x_{p_n}, x_{p_n+1}, a_i t) * M(x_{p_n+1}, x_{q_n}, (1 - a_i)t) \\ &> \left(1 - \frac{r}{n}\right) * M(x_{p_n+1}, x_{q_n}, (1 - a_i)t). \end{aligned}$$

This is true for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $i \rightarrow \infty$ then $(1 - a_i)t \rightarrow t$, and the continuity of M gives

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) &\geq \left(1 - \frac{r}{n}\right) * M(x_{p_n+1}, x_{q_n}, t) \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{r}{n}\right) * (1 - r). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\liminf M(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) \geq 1 - r$. However, we have

$$M(x_{p_n+1}, x_{q_n+1}, t) < 1 - r, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

This is a contradiction.

In case X is a strong fuzzy metric space, we choose $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ such that (4.3) holds only for $i = 1$, that is,

$$(4.6) \quad M(x_m, x_{m+1}, t) > 1 - \frac{r}{n}, \quad (m \geq k_n).$$

Then, instead of (4.5), we have the following

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} M(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) &\geq M(x_{p_n}, x_{p_n+1}, t) * M(x_{p_n+1}, x_{q_n}, t) \\ &> \left(1 - \frac{r}{n}\right) * M(x_{p_n+1}, x_{q_n}, t). \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is similar. □

The following result follows directly from the above lemma.

Theorem 4.3. *Let (x_n) be a sequence in $(X, M, *)$, and let $\mathfrak{M}(x, y, t)$ be a nonnegative function on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ such that, for any two subsequences (x_{p_n}) and (x_{q_n}) ,*

$$(4.8) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{M}(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t), \quad (t > 0).$$

Suppose, for every $t > 0$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, for any two subsequences (x_{p_n}) and (x_{q_n}) , condition

$$\liminf \mathfrak{M}(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) \geq 1 - r,$$

implies that, for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(4.9) \quad M(x_{p_{n+1}}, x_{q_{n+1}}, t) \geq 1 - r, \quad (n \geq N).$$

*Then (x_n) is Cauchy, provided it is uniformly asymptotically regular. In case $(X, M, *)$ is a strong fuzzy metric space, we only need (x_n) be asymptotically regular.*

Proof. Using Lemma 4.2, let $t > 0$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, and let (x_{p_n}) and (x_{q_n}) be two subsequences of (x_n) with

$$\liminf M(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) \geq 1 - r.$$

Then $\liminf \mathfrak{M}(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) \geq 1 - r$, and thus (4.9) holds. All conditions in Lemma 4.2 are fulfilled and so the sequence is Cauchy. \square

The following result helps us apply Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 to fuzzy Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mappings. In fact, if T is such a contraction, then the Picard iterations $x_n = T^n x$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy condition (i) in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let (x_n) be a sequence in $(X, M, *)$. For a nonnegative function $\mathfrak{M}(x, y, t)$ on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *for every $t > 0$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\rho \in (r, 1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that*

$$(4.10) \quad \forall p, q \geq N, \quad \mathfrak{M}(x_p, x_q, t) > 1 - \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(x_{p+1}, x_{q+1}, t) \geq 1 - r.$$

- (ii) *for every $t > 0$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, for any two subsequences (x_{p_n}) and (x_{q_n}) , if $\liminf \mathfrak{M}(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) \geq 1 - r$ then, for some N ,*

$$M(x_{p_{n+1}}, x_{q_{n+1}}, t) \geq 1 - r, \quad (n \geq N).$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $t > 0$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. Assume, for subsequences (x_{p_n}) and (x_{q_n}) , we have $\liminf \mathfrak{M}(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) \geq 1 - r$. By (i), there exists $\rho \in (r, 1)$ and $N_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that (4.10) holds. Let $N_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be such that $\mathfrak{M}(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) > 1 - \rho$ for $n \geq N_2$. Then

$$M(x_{p_{n+1}}, x_{q_{n+1}}, t) \geq 1 - r, \quad (n \geq \max\{N_1, N_2\}).$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume, to get a contradiction, that (i) fails to hold. Then there exist $t > 0$, $r \in (0, 1)$, and subsequences (x_{p_n}) and (x_{q_n}) such that

$$\mathfrak{M}(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) > (1 - r) * \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - r > M(x_{p_{n+1}}, x_{q_{n+1}}, t).$$

This contradicts (ii) because $\liminf \mathfrak{M}(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) \geq 1 - r$. \square

5. FIXED POINT THEOREMS

In this section, we use the characterization of Cauchy sequences presented in previous section to prove new fixed point theorems for Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mappings on complete fuzzy metric spaces.

Definition 5.1. A self-map T of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is called (uniformly) asymptotically regular at $x \in X$, if the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ is (uniformly) asymptotically regular (in the sense of Definition 4.1).

Lemma 5.2. Every fuzzy Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mapping T on X is asymptotically regular at each $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and set $x_n = T^n x$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_m = x_{m+1}$, for some m , then $x_m = x_n$ for all $n \geq m$, and there is nothing to prove. Suppose $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$, for all n . Then, by induction, we have $M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t) > M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) > 0$, for all $t > 0$. Therefore, for every $t > 0$, the sequence $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$ converges to some number $L(t) \in (0, 1]$, and $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) < L(t)$. We show that $L(t) = 1$. If $L(t) < 1$, take $r = 1 - L(t)$. There is $\rho \in (r, 1)$ such that (3.1) holds. Therefore,

$$L(t) > M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) > 1 - \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t) \geq L(t).$$

This is a contradiction, and thus $L(t) = 1$. \square

The following two fixed point theorems follow directly from Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.4, and Lemma 5.2.

Theorem 5.3. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete strong fuzzy metric space. Then every fuzzy Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mapping (in particular, every ψ -contractive mapping for $\psi \in \Psi_1$) on X has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 5.4. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space. Then every fuzzy Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mapping (in particular, every ψ -contractive mapping for $\psi \in \Psi_1$) on X has a unique fixed point provided T is uniformly asymptotically regular at some point x_0 .

One crucial condition in the main theorem in [26] is the following.

- $\{\eta(M(x, Tx, t_i)) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded, for all $x \in X$ and any sequence (t_i) of positive numbers with $t_i \searrow 0$.

It is worth noting that this condition implies that T is uniformly asymptotically regular at each point $x \in X$. We see that Theorem 5.4 also generalizes [26, Theorem 3.2].

We now present our final fixed point theorem. For a self-map T of $(X, M, *)$ and nonnegative real numbers α, β , define a function \mathfrak{M} on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ by

$$(5.1) \quad \mathfrak{M}(x, y, t) = M(x, y, t) * M(x, Tx, t)^\alpha * M(y, Ty, t)^\beta.$$

Theorem 5.5. *Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space, and T be a continuous self-map of X . Define \mathfrak{M} by (5.1), and suppose*

- (i) $M(Tx, Ty, t) > \mathfrak{M}(x, y, t)$, for $x \neq y$ and $t > 0$;
- (ii) For every $t > 0$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, there exist $\rho \in (r, 1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that, for every $x, y \in X$,

$$(5.2) \quad \mathfrak{M}(T^N x, T^N y, t) > 1 - \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(T^{N+1}x, T^{N+1}y, t) \geq 1 - r.$$

Then T has a unique fixed point, provided T is uniformly asymptotically regular at some $x_0 \in X$. In case $(X, M, *)$ is a strong fuzzy metric space, we only need T be asymptotically regular at x_0 .

Proof. First, let us show that T has at most one fixed point. Suppose $x = Tx$ and $y = Ty$. By (5.1), we have $\mathfrak{M}(x, y, t) = M(x, y, t) = M(Tx, Ty, t)$, for all $t > 0$. Now, condition (i) implies that $x = y$.

Suppose that T is (uniformly) asymptotically regular at x_0 , and set $x_n = T(x_{n-1})$, for $n \geq 1$. Then $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \rightarrow 1$, for all $t > 0$. Therefore, for any two subsequences (x_{p_n}) and (x_{q_n}) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf \mathfrak{M}(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) &= \liminf M(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) * M(x_{p_n}, x_{p_{n+1}}, t)^\alpha * M(x_{q_n}, x_{q_{n+1}}, t)^\beta \\ &= \liminf M(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t) * 1 * 1 \\ &= \liminf M(x_{p_n}, x_{q_n}, t), \end{aligned}$$

which means that condition (4.8) in Theorem 4.3 holds. Moreover, (5.2) implies (4.10) in Lemma 4.4. All conditions in Theorem 4.3 are fulfilled, and hence the Picard iterations $T^n x_0$ form a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there is $z \in X$ with $T^n x_0 \rightarrow z$. Since T is continuous, we get $Tz = z$. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space, and T be a continuous self-map of X . Define \mathfrak{M} by (5.1), and suppose*

- (i) $M(Tx, Ty, t) > \mathfrak{M}(x, y, t)$, for $x \neq y$ and $t > 0$;
- (ii) for every $t > 0$, there exists $\psi_t \in \Psi_1$ such that, for every $x, y \in X$,

$$M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq \psi_t(\mathfrak{M}(x, y, t)).$$

Then T has a unique fixed point, provided T is uniformly asymptotically regular at some $x_0 \in X$. In case $(X, M, *)$ is a strong fuzzy metric space, we only need T be asymptotically regular at x_0 .

Corollary 5.7. *Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space, and T be a continuous self-map of X . Define \mathfrak{M} by (5.1), and suppose there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ such that*

$$M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq \psi(\mathfrak{M}(x, y, t)) \quad (x, y \in X, t > 0).$$

Then T has a unique fixed point, provided T is uniformly asymptotically regular at some $x_0 \in X$. In case $(X, M, *)$ is a strong fuzzy metric space, we only need T be asymptotically regular at x_0 .

To justify our results, we now present many examples.

Example 5.8. This example stems from [8, Example 1]. We present a gauge function $\psi \in \Psi_1$ that is not continuous. Beside Proposition 3.3, this shows that Ψ_1 properly contains Ψ . Define $\psi : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ by

$$(5.3) \quad \psi(\tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \tau < \frac{1}{2}; \\ \frac{n+1}{n+2}, & \frac{n}{n+1} \leq \tau < \frac{n+1}{n+2}; \\ 1, & \tau = 1. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, ψ is not continuous and thus $\psi \notin \Psi$. To show that $\psi \in \Psi_1$, first we see that ψ is nondecreasing and satisfies the following conditions:

$$(5.4) \quad \psi(\tau) > \tau \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(\tau) = 1, \quad (0 < \tau < 1).$$

Towards a contradiction, suppose $\psi \notin \Psi_1$. Then, there exist $r \in (0, 1)$ and a sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ in $(0, 1-r)$ such that $\tau_n \rightarrow 1-r$ and $\psi(\tau_n) < 1-r$. Let $\tau \in (0, 1-r)$. Then $\tau < \tau_n < 1-r$, for some τ_n . Since ψ is nondecreasing, we get $\psi(\tau) \leq \psi(\tau_n) < 1-r$. Replacing τ by $\psi(\tau)$, we get $\psi^2(\tau) < 1-r$. By induction, we have $\psi^n(\tau) < 1-r$, for all $\tau \in (0, 1-r)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradicts the fact that $\psi^n(\tau) \rightarrow 1$, for all $\tau \in (0, 1)$, and so $\psi \in \Psi_1$.

In fact, $\psi = \eta^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \eta$, where $\eta(\tau) = 1/\tau - 1$, and $\phi \in \Phi_1$ is given by

$$(5.5) \quad \phi(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & s = 0; \\ \frac{1}{n+1}, & \frac{1}{n+1} < s \leq \frac{1}{n}; \\ 1, & s > 1. \end{cases}$$

The following example shows that Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 are genuine extensions of [12, Theorem 3.1].

Example 5.9. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and define d as follows [8]

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} \max\{x, y\}, & x \neq y; \\ 0, & x = y. \end{cases}$$

Then (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $*$ be the product t-norm, and M_d be the fuzzy metric on X induced by d ; that is

$$M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}, \quad (x, y \in X, t > 0).$$

Then $(X, M_d, *)$ is a complete strong fuzzy metric space. Define $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ as in (5.5). Then ϕ is a continuous self-map of the fuzzy metric space $(X, M_d, *)$. In fact, if $M_d(x_n, x, t) \rightarrow 1$, for $t > 0$, then $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ and thus $x = 0$ and $x_n \rightarrow 0$. It is then obvious that $\phi(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $(X, M_d, *)$ which shows that ϕ is continuous.

We show that ϕ is a fuzzy Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mapping. Using Theorem 3.9, it suffices to show that there exists, for every $t > 0$, a function $\psi_t \in \Psi_1$ such that

$$M_d(\phi(x), \phi(y), t) \geq \psi_t(M_d(x, y, t)), \quad (x, y \in X).$$

For $t > 0$, define $\eta_t(\tau) = t/\tau - t$ and $\psi_t = \eta_t^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \eta_t$. Since $\phi \in \Phi_1$ and $\eta_t \in \mathcal{H}$, Proposition 3.5 shows that $\psi_t \in \Psi_1$. Now, a simple calculation shows that the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $M_d(\phi(x), \phi(y), t) \geq \psi_t(M_d(x, y, t))$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,
- (ii) $d(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \leq \phi(d(x, y))$, for all $x, y \in X$,

and the latter is obvious. Hence, ϕ is a fuzzy Ćirić-Matkowski contractive mapping.

Now, we show that ϕ fails to be a ψ -contractive mapping for any $\psi \in \Psi$. To get a contradiction, assume ϕ is a ψ -contractive mapping for some $\psi \in \Psi$. Since ψ is continuous and $\psi(1/2) > 1/2$, there exists $\rho \in (0, 1/2)$ such that

$$\rho < \tau < 1/2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \psi(\tau) > 1/2.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $\rho = 1/(2 + \delta)$. Then take $x = 1$, $y = 1 + \delta/2$ and $t = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} M_d(x, y, 1) &= \frac{1}{1 + d(1, 1 + \delta/2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2 + \delta/2}, \\ M_d(\phi(x), \phi(y), 1) &= \frac{1}{1 + d(1/2, 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\rho < M_d(x, y, 1) < 1/2$ and thus we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} &= M_d(\phi(x), \phi(y), 1) \\ &\geq \psi(M_d(x, y, 1)) \\ &> \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

which is absurd.

Example 5.10. This example shows that Theorem 5.5 is a real extension of Theorems 5.3 and 5.4. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 5\}$, and define $T : X \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$T0 = 0, \quad T1 = 5, \quad T2 = 0, \quad T5 = 2.$$

Let $*$ be the product t-norm, and set

$$M(x, y, t) = \exp\left[-\frac{|x - y|}{t}\right].$$

Then $(X, M, *)$ is a complete strong fuzzy metric space. It is easy to see that T is continuous and asymptotically regular at each point of X . Define

$$\mathfrak{M}(x, y, t) = M(x, y, t) * M(x, Tx, t)^2 * M(y, Ty, t)^2.$$

Then $M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq \mathfrak{M}(x, y, t)^{5/7}$; that is $M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq \psi(\mathfrak{M}(x, y, t))$, where $\psi(\tau) = \tau^{5/7}$. Hence, all conditions in Theorem 5.5 (Corollary 5.7) are fulfilled. However, for $x = 0$ and $y = 1$, we see that $M(Tx, Ty, t) < M(x, y, t)$, for all $t > 0$, and thus Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 and, in particular, Theorem 3.1 in [12] do not apply to T .

6. CONCLUSION

Fuzzy metric spaces were initiated by Kramosil and Michálek in [9], and by George and Veeramani in [3], and some fixed point results were obtained in [4]. After that, several researchers proved various fixed point results in these spaces by investigating different types of fuzzy contractive mappings. In the present paper, we have extended some of these results, particularly those appearing in [26] and [5]. It has been shown, by examples, that our results are more powerful than some of the results from the papers [26] and [5].

Acknowledgment. The author would like to express his sincere thanks to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales*, Fund. Math., 3 (1922), pp. 133-181.
2. M. Edelstein, *On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings*, J. Lon. Math. Soc., 37 (1962), pp. 74-79.
3. A. George, P. Veeramani, *On some results in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 64 (1994), pp. 395-399.
4. M. Grabiec, *Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 27 (1988), pp. 385-389.
5. V. Gregori, and J.J. Minana, *Some remarks on fuzzy contractive mappings*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 251(2014), 101-103.
6. V. Gregori, A. Sapena, *On fixed-point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 125 (2002), pp. 245-252.
7. V. Gupta, R. Deep and A.K. Tripathi, *Some common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces and their applications*, Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat., 36 no.3 (2018), pp. 141-153.
8. J. Jachymski, *Equivalent conditions and the Meir-Keeler type theorems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 194 (1995), pp. 293-303.
9. I. Kramosil, J. Michálek, *Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika, 11 (1975), pp. 336-344.
10. D. Mihet, *A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 144 (2004), pp. 431-439.
11. D. Mihet, *On fuzzy contractive mappings in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 158 (2007), pp. 915-921.
12. D. Mihet, *Fuzzy ψ -contractive mappings in non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 159 (2008), pp. 739-744.
13. D. Mihet, *A note on fuzzy contractive mappings in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 251 (2014), pp. 83-91.
14. P.D. Proinov, *Fixed point theorems in metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., 64 (2006), pp. 546-557.
15. R.K. Saini, M. Kumar, Vishal and S.B. Singh, *Common coincidence Points of R-weakly Commuting Fuzzy Maps*, Thai J. Math., 6 no.1 (2008), pp. 109-115.
16. B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., 10 (1960), pp. 314-334.
17. S. Sharma, *Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 127 (2002), pp. 345-352.
18. B.C. Tripathy and P.C. Das, *On convergence of series of fuzzy real numbers*, Kuwait J. Sci. Engrg., 39 no.1A (2012), pp. 57-70.
19. B.C. Tripathy and S. Debnath, *On generalized difference sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers*, Acta Sci. Technol., 35 no.1 (2013), pp.

- 117-121.
20. B.C. Tripathy, S. Paul and N.R. Das, *Banach's and Kannan's fixed point results in fuzzy 2-metric spaces*, *Proyecciones*, 32 no.4 (2013), pp. 359-375.
 21. B.C. Tripathy, S. Paul and N.R. Das, *A fixed point theorem in a generalized fuzzy metric space*, *Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat.*, 32 no.2 (2014), pp. 221-227.
 22. B.C. Tripathy, S. Paul and N.R. Das, *Fixed point and periodic point theorems in fuzzy metric space*, *Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol.*, 37 no.1 (2015), pp. 89-92.
 23. B.C. Tripathy and R. Goswami, *Statistically convergent multiple sequences in probabilistic normed spaces*, *Politehn. Univ. Bucharest Sci. Bull. Ser. A Appl. Math. Phys.*, 78 no.4 (2016), pp. 83-94.
 24. R. Vasuki, P. Veeramani, *Fixed point theorems and Cauchy sequences in fuzzy metric spaces*, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, 135 (2003), pp. 415-417.
 25. C. Vetro, *Fixed points in weak non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces*, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, 162 (2011), pp. 84-90.
 26. D. Wardowski, *Fuzzy contractive mappings and fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces*, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, 222 (2013), pp. 108-114.
 27. T. Zikić, *On fixed point theorems of Gregori and Sapena*, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, 144 (2004), pp. 421-429.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, DAMGHAN UNIVERSITY,
DAMGHAN, P.O.BOX 36715-364, IRAN.

Email address: abtahidu.ac.ir; mortaza.abtahigmail.com