

Some Basic Results on Fuzzy Strong ϕ -b-Normed Linear Spaces

Abhishikta Das, Tarapada Bag and Shayani Chatterjee

**Sahand Communications in
Mathematical Analysis**

Print ISSN: 2322-5807
Online ISSN: 2423-3900
Volume: 20
Number: 2
Pages: 183-196

Sahand Commun. Math. Anal.
DOI: 10.22130/scma.2023.554520.1117

Volume 20, No. 2, March 2023

Print ISSN 2322-5807
Online ISSN 2423-3900

Sahand Communications
in
Mathematical Analysis



Photo by Farhad Mansoori

Sahand Mountain, Maragheh, Iran.

SCMA, P. O. Box 55181-83111, Maragheh, Iran
<http://scma.maragheh.ac.ir>

Some Basic Results on Fuzzy Strong ϕ -b-Normed Linear Spaces

Abhishikta Das¹, Tarapada Bag^{2*} and Shayani Chatterjee³

ABSTRACT. Following the definition of fuzzy normed linear space which was introduced by Bag and Samanta in general t-norm settings, in this paper, definition of fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear space is given. Here the scalar function $|c|$ is replaced by a general function $\phi(c)$ where ϕ satisfies some properties. Some basic results on finite dimensional fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear space are studied.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of a fuzzy set was introduced initially by Zadeh [23] in 1965. Since then, many authors have expansively developed the theory of fuzzy sets. Osmo Kaleva [12], Kramosil and Michalek [17], George and Veeramani [11] et al. have introduced the concept of fuzzy metric spaces in different approaches. On the other hand, Katsaras [13], Felbin [8], Cheng and Mordeson [6], Bag and Samanta [1] have given the definition of fuzzy normed linear spaces in different way.

Recently different types of generalized metric as well as norm (viz. 2-metric [9], b-metric [7], strong b-metric [15], G-metric [19], 2-norm [10], G-norm [14], etc.) and consequently generalized fuzzy metric and fuzzy norm (viz. fuzzy b-metric [20], strong fuzzy b-metric [22], fuzzy cone metric [21], fuzzy cone norm [4], G-fuzzy norm [5], etc.) have introduced in different approaches.

In 2018, Oner [22] introduced the concept of fuzzy strong b-metric spaces and developed some topological results in such spaces. Following

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A40, 03E72.

Key words and phrases. Fuzzy norm, t-norm, Fuzzy normed linear space, Fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear space.

Received: 25 May 2022, Accepted: 01 February 2023.

* Corresponding author.

this definition of fuzzy strong b-metric spaces, in this paper, we give a definition of fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear space whose induced fuzzy metric is Oner type. In fuzzy normed linear space, scalar multiplication is given by $N(cx, t) = N\left(x, \frac{t}{|c|}\right)$. But in our definition of fuzzy strong ϕ -b-norm, scalar multiplication is given by $N(cx, t) = N\left(x, \frac{t}{\phi(c)}\right)$ where ϕ is a non-negative real valued function satisfying some properties. We study some results on finite dimensional fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear spaces.

The organization of the paper is in the following.

Section 2 consists some preliminary results. In Section 3, we introduce a definition of fuzzy strong ϕ -b-norm by using a special function ϕ in general t-norm settings and illustrate by examples. Some basic results of finite dimensional fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear spaces are established in Section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, some definitions and results are collected which are used in this paper.

Definition 2.1 ([16]). A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a t -norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $*$ is associative and commutative;
- (ii) $\alpha * 1 = \alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$;
- (iii) $\alpha * \gamma \leq \beta * \delta$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $\gamma \leq \delta$, $\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1]$.

If $*$ is continuous, then it is called continuous t -norm.

The following are examples of some t -norms.

- (i) Standard intersection: $\alpha * \beta = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$.
- (ii) Algebraic product: $\alpha * \beta = \alpha\beta$.
- (iii) Bounded difference: $\alpha * \beta = \max\{0, \alpha + \beta - 1\}$.

Definition 2.2 ([3]). Let X be a linear space over a field \mathbb{F} . A fuzzy subset N of $X \times \mathbb{R}$ is called fuzzy norm on X if for all $x, y \in X$ the following conditions hold:

- (N1) $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \leq 0$, $N(x, t) = 0$;
- (N2) $(\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, N(x, t) = 1) \Leftrightarrow x = \theta$;
- (N3) $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $t > 0$, $N(cx, t) = N\left(x, \frac{t}{|c|}\right)$;
- (N4) $\forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $N(x + y, s + t) \geq N(x, s) * N(y, t)$;
- (N5) $N(x, \cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function of t and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, t) = 1$.

Then the pair (X, N) is called fuzzy normed linear space.

Definition 2.3 ([1]). Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space.

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n - x, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. Then x is called the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and denoted by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.
- (ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a fuzzy normed linear space (X, N) is said to be Cauchy if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{n+p} - x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and $p = 1, 2, \dots$
- (iii) $A \subseteq X$ is said to be closed if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in A converges to $x \in A$.
- (iv) $A \subseteq X$ is said to be the closure of A , denoted by \bar{A} if for any $x \in \bar{A}$, if there is a sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq A$ such that $\{x_n\}$ converges to x .
- (v) $A \subseteq X$ is said to be compact if any sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq A$ has a subsequence converging to an element of A .

Definition 2.4 ([2]). Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space.

- (i) A set $B(x, \alpha, t)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ is defined as

$$B(x, \alpha, t) = \{y : N(x - y, t) > 1 - \alpha\}.$$

- (ii) $\tau = \{G \subseteq X : x \in G, \exists t > 0, 0 < \alpha < 1 \text{ such that } B(x, \alpha, t) \subset G\}$ is a topology on (X, N) .
- (iii) Members of τ are called open sets in (X, N) .

Definition 2.5 ([3]). A subset B of a fuzzy normed linear space (X, N) is said to be fuzzy bounded if for each r , $0 < r < 1$, $\exists t > 0$ such that

$$N(x, t) > 1 - r, \quad \text{for all } x \in B.$$

Lemma 2.6 ([3]). Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space and $N(x, \cdot)(x \neq 0)$. If the set $M = \{x : N(x, 1) > 0\}$ is compact, then X is finite dimensional.

3. FUZZY STRONG ϕ -B-NORMED LINEAR SPACE

In this section, we give the definition of fuzzy normed linear space in a new approach.

Definition 3.1. Let ϕ be a function defined on \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R}^+ with the following properties

- (ϕ 1) $\phi(-t) = \phi(t)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ϕ 2) $\phi(1) = 1$;
- (ϕ 3) ϕ is strictly increasing and continuous on $(0, \infty)$;
- (ϕ 4) $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \phi(\alpha) = 0$ and $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\alpha) = \infty$.

The followings are examples of such functions.

- (i) $\phi(\alpha) = |\alpha|$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) $\phi(\alpha) = |\alpha|^p$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^+$.
- (iii) $\phi(\alpha) = \frac{2\alpha^{2n}}{|\alpha|+1}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.2. Let X be a linear space over the field \mathbb{R} and $b \geq 1$ be a given real number. A fuzzy subset N of $X \times \mathbb{R}$ is called fuzzy strong ϕ -b-norm on X if for all $x, y \in X$ the following conditions hold:

- (bN1) $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \leq 0$, $N(x, t) = 0$;
- (bN2) $(\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, N(x, t) = 1)$ iff $x = \theta$;
- (bN3) $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, N(cx, t) = N\left(x, \frac{t}{\phi(c)}\right)$ if $\phi(c) \neq 0$;
- (bN4) $\forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}, N(x + y, s + bt) \geq N(x, s) * N(y, t)$;
- (bN5) $N(x, \cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function of t and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, t) = 1$.

Then $(X, N, \phi, b, *)$ is called fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear space.

Remark 3.3. If $b = 1$ and $\phi(\alpha) = |\alpha|$ then (X, N) is a fuzzy normed linear space in the sense of Definition 2.2.

Example 3.4. Consider the linear space \mathbb{R} and a fuzzy subset N of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$N(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t+|x|^p} & t > 0 \\ 0 & t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < p \leq 1$.

Consider the t-norm $*$ by $\alpha * \beta = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$.

We show that N is a fuzzy strong ϕ -b-norm on \mathbb{R} . For,

- (i) $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \leq 0$, by definition we have, $N(x, t) = 0$.

Thus, (bN1) holds.

- (ii) $(\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, N(x, t) = 1) \Leftrightarrow \frac{t}{t+|x|^p} = 1 \Leftrightarrow |x|^p = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$

Therefore (bN2) holds.

- (iii) $\forall t > 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $N(cx, t) = \frac{t}{t+|cx|^p} = \frac{t}{\frac{|c|^p}{|c|^p} + |x|^p} = N\left(x, \frac{t}{\phi(c)}\right)$

where $\phi(c) = |c|^p$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and clearly ϕ satisfies all the conditions of Definition 3.2. Thus, (bN3) holds.

- (iv) $\forall s, t > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $N(x + y, bs + t) = \frac{bs+t}{bs+t+|x+y|^p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} N(x, s) * N(y, t) &= \min\{N(x, s), N(y, t)\} \\ &= \min\left\{\frac{s}{s+|x|^p}, \frac{t}{t+|y|^p}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We only prove the inequality for $s, t > 0$. Let $N(x, s) * N(y, t) = \min\{N(x, s), N(y, t)\} = N(x, s)$. Then $N(y, t) \geq N(x, s) \Rightarrow \frac{t}{t+|y|^p} \geq \frac{s}{s+|x|^p} \Rightarrow t|x|^p \geq s|y|^p$. Again $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < p \leq 1$, $|x + y|^p \leq 2^p|x|^p + |y|^p$. If we take $b = 2^p$, then

$$N(x + y, 2^p s + t) - N(x, s) = \frac{2^p s + t}{2^p s + t + |x + y|^p} - \frac{s}{s + |x|^p}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{2^p s + t}{2^p s + t + 2^p |x|^p + |y|^p} - \frac{s}{s + |x|^p} \\ &= \frac{t|x|^p - s|y|^p}{(2^p s + t + 2^p |x|^p + |y|^p)(s + |x|^p)} \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $N(x + y, 2^p s + t) \geq N(x, s) = \min\{N(x, s), N(y, t)\}$. Similarly, it can be shown that if $\min\{N(x, s), N(y, t)\} = N(y, t)$, then $N(x + y, 2^p s + t) \geq N(y, t) = \min\{N(x, s), N(y, t)\}$.

Therefore, (bN4) holds.

- (v) From the definition of $N(x, t)$, it is clear that $N(x, \cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function of t and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, t) = 1$.

Hence $(X, N, \phi, b, *)$ is a fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear space where $b = 2^p (> 1)$ and $\phi(\alpha) = |\alpha|^p, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p \leq 1$.

Example 3.5. Consider the linear space \mathbb{R} and a fuzzy subset N of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$N(x, t) = \begin{cases} \exp(-\frac{|x|^p}{t}) & t > 0 \\ 0 & t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < p \leq 1$ and consider the t-norm $*$ by $\alpha * \beta = \alpha\beta \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$. Now,

- (i) Clearly (bN1) holds from the definition.
- (ii) $(\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, N(x, t) = 1) \Leftrightarrow \exp(-\frac{|x|^p}{t}) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |x|^p = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$. Therefore (bN2) holds.
- (iii) $\forall t > 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} N(cx, t) &= \exp\left(-\frac{|cx|^p}{t}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^p}{\frac{t}{|c|^p}}\right) \\ &= N\left(x, \frac{t}{\phi(c)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(c) = |c|^p, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and clearly ϕ satisfies all the conditions of Definition 3.2. Thus, (bN3) holds.

- (iv) For $s, t > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, N(x + y, bs + t) = \exp\left(-\frac{|x+y|^p}{bs+t}\right)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} N(x, s) * N(y, t) &= N(x, s) \cdot N(y, t) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^p}{s}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^p}{t}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality, $|x+y|^p \leq 2^p|x|^p + |y|^p$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < p \leq 1$ and taking $b = 2^p$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{|x+y|^p}{2^p s + t} &\geq -\frac{2^p|x|^p + |y|^p}{2^p s + t} \\ &\geq -\frac{2^p|x|^p}{2^p s + t} - \frac{|y|^p}{2^p s + t} \\ &\geq -\frac{|x|^p}{s} - \frac{|y|^p}{t} \end{aligned}$$

which implies $N(x+y, 2^p s + t) \geq N(x, s) \cdot N(y, t)$. Thus (bN4): $N(x+y, 2^p s + t) \geq N(x, s) * N(y, t)$ holds $\forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

(v) Clearly $N(x, \cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function of t and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, t) = 1$.

Hence $(X, N, \phi, b, *)$ is a fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear space where $b = 2^p (> 1)$ and $\phi(\alpha) = |\alpha|^p, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p \leq 1$.

Remark 3.6. The notions of converges, Cauchy sequences, boundedness, etc. are same as definitions in Bag and Samanta type fuzzy normed linear space [1].

4. FINITE DIMENSIONAL FUZZY STRONG ϕ -B-NORMED LINEAR SPACES

In this section, some basic results on finite dimensional fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear spaces are established.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(X, N, \phi, b, *)$ be a fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear space with the underlying t -norm $*$ continuous at $(1, 1)$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a linearly independent set of vectors in X . Then there exists $c > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that for any set of scalars $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \neq 0$,*

$$(4.1) \quad N \left(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n, \frac{bc}{\phi \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|} \right)} \right) < 1 - \delta.$$

Proof. The relation (4.1) is equivalent to the relation

$$(4.2) \quad N(\beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n, bc) < 1 - \delta$$

for some $c > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and for all set of scalars $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$

with $\sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i| = 1$.

If possible, suppose that (4.2) does not hold. Thus for each $c > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exists a set of scalars $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i| = 1$ for which

$$N(\beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n, bc) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Then for $c = \delta = \frac{1}{m}, m = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists a set of scalars $\{\beta_1^{(m)}, \beta_2^{(m)}, \dots, \beta_n^{(m)}\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i^{(m)}| = 1$ such that $N(y_m, \frac{b}{m}) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{m}$ where $y_m = \beta_1^{(m)} x_1 + \beta_2^{(m)} x_2 + \dots + \beta_n^{(m)} x_n$.

Since $\sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i^{(m)}| = 1$, we have $0 \leq |\beta_i^{(m)}| \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. So for each fixed i , the sequence $\{\beta_i^{(m)}\}$ is bounded and hence $\{\beta_i^{(m)}\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Let β_1 denotes the limit of that subsequence and let $\{y_{1,m}\}$ denotes the corresponding subsequence of $\{y_m\}$. By the same argument $\{y_{1,m}\}$ has a subsequence $\{y_{2,m}\}$ for which the corresponding subsequence of scalars $\{\beta_2^{(m)}\}$ converges to β_2 . Continuing in this way, after n steps we obtain a subsequence $\{y_{n,m}\}$ where $y_{n,m} = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^{(m)} x_i$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma_i^{(m)}| = 1$ and $\gamma_i^{(m)} \rightarrow \beta_i$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Let $y = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & N(y_{n,m} - y, t) \\ &= N\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (\gamma_j^{(m)} - \beta_j) x_j, t\right) \\ &= N\left(\left(\gamma_1^{(m)} - \beta_1\right) x_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n (\gamma_j^{(m)} - \beta_j) x_j, \frac{t}{n} + b(n-1) \frac{t}{nb}\right) \\ &\geq N\left(\left(\gamma_1^{(m)} - \beta_1\right) x_1, \frac{t}{n}\right) * N\left(\sum_{j=2}^n (\gamma_j^{(m)} - \beta_j) x_j, (n-1) \frac{t}{nb}\right) \\ &= N\left(\left(\gamma_1^{(m)} - \beta_1\right) x_1, \frac{t}{n}\right) \\ &\quad * N\left(\left(\gamma_2^{(m)} - \beta_2\right) x_2 + \sum_{j=3}^n (\gamma_j^{(m)} - \beta_j) x_j, \frac{t}{nb} + b\left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \frac{t}{b^2}\right) \\ &\geq N\left(\left(\gamma_1^{(m)} - \beta_1\right) x_1, \frac{t}{n}\right) * N\left(\left(\gamma_2^{(m)} - \beta_2\right) x_2, \frac{t}{nb}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& * N \left(\sum_{j=3}^n (\gamma_j^{(m)} - \beta_j) x_j, \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \frac{t}{b^2} \right) \\
& \vdots \\
& \geq N \left((\gamma_1^{(m)} - \beta_1) x_1, \frac{t}{n} \right) * N \left((\gamma_2^{(m)} - \beta_2) x_2, \frac{t}{nb} \right) * \cdots \\
& \quad * N \left((\gamma_n^{(m)} - \beta_n) x_n, \frac{t}{nb^{n-1}} \right) \\
& = N \left(x_1, \frac{t}{n\phi \left((\gamma_1^{(m)} - \beta_1) \right)} \right) * \cdots * N \left(x_n, \frac{t}{nb^{n-1}\phi \left((\gamma_n^{(m)} - \beta_n) \right)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} N(y_{n,m} - y, t) \geq 1 * 1 * \cdots * 1, \quad \forall t > 0$$

i.e

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} N(y_{n,m} - y, t) = 1, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Now for $r > 0$, choose m such that $\frac{1}{m} < \frac{r}{b^2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
N \left(y_{n,m}, \frac{r}{b} \right) &= N \left(y_{n,m} + \theta, \frac{b}{m} + b \left(\frac{r}{b^2} - \frac{1}{m} \right) \right) \\
&\geq N \left(y_{n,m}, \frac{b}{m} \right) * N \left(\theta, \frac{r}{b^2} - \frac{1}{m} \right) \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{b}{m} \right) * 1
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} N(y_{n,m}, \frac{r}{b}) \geq 1$ i.e $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} N(y_{n,m}, \frac{r}{b}) = 1$. Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
N(y, 2r) &= N \left(y - y_{n,m} + y_{n,m}, r + b \cdot \frac{r}{b} \right) \\
&\geq N(y - y_{n,m}, r) * N \left(y_{n,m}, \frac{r}{b} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
N(y, 2r) &\geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} N(y - y_{n,m}, r) * \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} N \left(y_{n,m}, \frac{r}{b} \right) \\
&\Rightarrow N(y, 2r) \geq 1 * 1 = 1 \\
&\Rightarrow N(y, 2r) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $r > 0$ is arbitrary, so $y = \theta$.

Again since $\sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i^{(m)}| = 1$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a linearly independent set of vectors so $y = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n \neq \theta$, thus we arrive at a contradiction. Hence (4.2) holds and Lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Every finite dimensional fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear space with the underlying t -norm $*$ continuous at $(1, 1)$ is complete.*

Proof. Let $(X, N, \phi, b, *)$ be a fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear space where $b(> 1)$ is a real constant. Let $\dim X = r$ and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r\}$ be a basis for X . Let $\{x_p\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in X . Then $x_n = \sum_{k=1}^r \beta_k^{(n)} e_k$ for suitable scalars $\beta_1^{(n)}, \beta_2^{(n)}, \dots, \beta_r^{(n)}$. So,

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_m - x_n, t) = 1, \quad \forall t > 0$$

Now from Lemma 4.1, it follows that $\exists c > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad N \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}) e_i, \frac{bc}{\phi \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}|} \right)} \right) < 1 - \delta.$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}| = 0$ then $\beta_i^{(m)} = \beta_i^{(n)} \forall i$ implies $\{x_n\}$ is a constant sequence and hence follows the theorem. So we may assume $\sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}| \neq 0$.

Again for $0 < \delta < 1$, from (4.3), it follows that there exists a positive integer $n_0(\delta, t)$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad N \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}) e_i, t \right) > 1 - \delta, \quad \forall m, n \geq n_0(\delta, t).$$

Now from (4.4) and (4.5), $\forall m, n \geq n_0(\delta, t)$ we have,

$$N \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}) e_i, \frac{bc}{\phi \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}|} \right)} \right) < N \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}) e_i, t \right)$$

thus

$$\frac{bc}{\phi\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}|}\right)} < t \text{ (Since } N(x, t) \text{ is non-decreasing w.r.t } t)$$

Since $t > 0$ is arbitrary, thus

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{bc}{\phi\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}|}\right)} = 0$$

then

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}|}\right) = \infty$$

and thus

$$\phi\left(\frac{1}{\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}|}\right) = \infty \text{ (Since } \phi \text{ is continuous)}$$

then

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_i^{(n)}| = 0 \text{ (Since } \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\alpha) = \infty)$$

Therefore, $\{\beta_i^{(m)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of scalars for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. So each sequence $\{\beta_i^{(m)}\}$ converges. Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_i^{(n)} = \beta_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Define $x = \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i e_i$. Then clearly $x \in X$. By similar calculation as in Lemma 4.1, it can be shown that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n - x, t) = 1, \forall t > 0$. Hence X is complete. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(X, N, \phi, b, *)$ be a finite dimensional fuzzy strong ϕ - b -normed linear space in which the underlying t -norm $*$ continuous at $(1, 1)$. Then a subset A of X is compact iff A is closed and bounded.*

Proof. First we suppose that A is compact. We have to show that A is closed and bounded. For, let $x \in \bar{A}$. Then there exist a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in A such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Since A is compact, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point in A . Again $x_n \rightarrow x$ so $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$ and hence $x \in A$.

So A is closed. If possible suppose that A is not bounded. Then there exists r_0 , $0 < r_0 < 1$, such that for each positive integer n , there exists $x_n \in A$ for which $N(x_n, n) \leq 1 - r_0$.

Since A is compact, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_p}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ converging to some element $x \in A$. Thus $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{n_p} - x, t) = 1, \forall t > 0$. Again, $N(x_{n_p}, n_p) \leq 1 - r_0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - r_0 &\geq N(x_{n_p}, n_p) \\ &= N\left(x_{n_p} - x + x, \frac{t}{b} + b\left(\frac{n_p}{b} - \frac{t}{b^2}\right)\right) \\ &\geq N\left(x_{n_p} - x, \frac{t}{b}\right) * N\left(x, \left(\frac{n_p}{b} - \frac{t}{b^2}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

On above inequality, taking limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$1 - r_0 \geq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} N\left(x_{n_p} - x, \frac{t}{b}\right) * \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} N\left(x, \left(\frac{n_p}{b} - \frac{t}{b^2}\right)\right)$$

thus $1 - r_0 \geq 1 * 1 = 1$ and then $r_0 \leq 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence A is bounded.

Conversely suppose that A is closed and bounded and we have to show that A is compact. Let $\dim X = r$ and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r\}$ be a basis for X . Let us choose a sequence $\{x_p\}$ in A and suppose $x_p = \beta_1^{(p)}e_1 + \beta_2^{(p)}e_2 + \dots + \beta_r^{(p)}e_r$ for suitable scalars $\beta_1^{(p)}, \beta_2^{(p)}, \dots, \beta_r^{(p)}$. Now from Lemma 4.1, there exists $c > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad N\left(\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i^{(p)} e_i, \frac{bc}{\phi\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(p)}|}\right)}\right) < 1 - \delta.$$

Again since A is bounded, for $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $N(x, t_0) > 1 - \delta \forall x \in A$. So

$$(4.7) \quad N\left(\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i^{(p)} e_i, t_0\right) > 1 - \delta.$$

From (4.6) and (4.7) we get,

$$N \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i^{(p)} e_i, \frac{bc}{\phi \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(p)}|} \right)} \right) < N \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i^{(p)} e_i, t_0 \right)$$

then

$$\frac{bc}{\phi \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(p)}|} \right)} < t_0 \quad (\text{Since } N(x, t) \text{ is non-decreasing w.r.t } t).$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(p)}| \neq 0$.

If $\sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(p)}| = 0$, then $\beta_i^{(p)} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and $p = 1, 2, \dots$. In this case $\{x_p\}$ is a constant sequence and the theorem follows.

Since c, b, t_0 are three fixed positive real numbers, it follows that $0 < \sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_i^{(p)}| < \infty$. Therefore the sequence of scalars $\{\beta_i^{(p)}\}$ is bounded for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. So by Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, there exists a convergent subsequence of $\{\beta_i^{(p)}\}$. Now, we follow the techniques of Lemma 4.1 to show that, there exists a subsequence of $\{x_p\}$ that converges to some point in A . Thus A is compact and this proves the theorem. \square

CONCLUSION

Recently different types of generalized fuzzy metric spaces as well as generalized fuzzy normed linear spaces have been developed by several authors. Following the definition of fuzzy strong b-metric spaces, we introduced the idea of fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear spaces and studied some results in finite dimensional fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear spaces. We think there is a huge scope of research to develop fuzzy strong ϕ -b-normed linear spaces. Results on completeness and compactness, operator norms etc. are the open problems in such spaces.

Acknowledgment. The author AD is thankful to University Grant Commission (UGC), New Delhi, India for awarding her senior research fellowship [Grant No.1221/(CSIRNETJUNE2019)]. The authors are grateful to the Editor-in-Chief, Editors, and Reviewers of the journal (SCMA) for their valuable comments which are helped us to revise the

manuscript in the present form. We are also grateful to Department of Mathematics, Siksha-Bhavana, Visva-Bharati.

REFERENCES

1. T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, *Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces*, The J. Fuzzy Math., 11 (2003), pp. 687-705.
2. T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, *Fuzzy bounded linear operators*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 151 (2005), pp. 513-547.
3. T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, *Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., 6 (2013), pp. 271-283.
4. T. Bag, *Finite dimensional fuzzy cone normed linear spaces*, Int. J. Math. Scientific Computing, 3 (2013), pp. 9-14.
5. S. Chatterjee, T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, *Some results on G-fuzzy normed linear space*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math, 5 (2018), pp. 1295-1320.
6. S.C. Cheng and J.N. Mordeson, *Fuzzy linear operators and fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 86 (1994), pp. 429-436.
7. S. Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Acta Math Inf Univ Ostraviensis, 1 (1993), pp. 5-11.
8. C. Felbin, *Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 48 (1992), pp. 239-248.
9. S. Gähler, *2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische Struktur*, Mathe-matische Nachrichten, 26 (1963), pp. 115-118.
10. S. Gähler, *Lineare 2-normierte raume*, Math. Nachr., 28 (1964), pp. 1-43.
11. A. George and P. Veeramani, *On some results in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 64 (1994), pp. 395-399.
12. O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala, *On fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 12 (1984), pp. 215-229.
13. A.K. Katsaras, *Fuzzy topological vector spaces I*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 12 (1984), pp. 143-154.
14. K.A. Khan, *Generalized normed spaces and fixed point theorems*, J. Math. Computer Sci., 13 (2014), pp. 157-167.
15. W. Kirk and N. Shahzad, *Fixed Point Theory in Distance Spaces*, Springer, Cham, 2014.
16. G.J. Klir and Bo Yuan, *Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic*, Printice-Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi-110001, 1997.
17. I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika, 11 (1975), pp. 326-334.
18. F. Mehmood, R. Ali, C.Ionescu and T. Kamran, *Extended fuzzy b-Metric Spaces*, J. Math. Anal., 8 (2017), pp. 124-131.

19. Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, *Some Fixed Point Theorem for Mapping on Complete G-Metric Spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2008, 12 pages.
 20. S. Nădăban, *Fuzzy b-Metric Spaces*, Int. J. Computers Communications and Control, 11 (2016), pp. 273-281.
 21. T. Oner, M.B. Kandemir and B. Tanay, *Fuzzy cone metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 8 (2015), pp. 610-616.
 22. T. Oner, *On topology of fuzzy strong b-metric spaces*, J. New Theory, 21 (2018), pp. 59-67.
 23. L.A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inf. Control, 8 (1965), pp. 338-353.
-

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIKSHA-BHAVANA, VISVA-BHARATI, SANTINIKETAN-731235, BIRBHUM, WEST-BENGAL, INDIA.

Email address: abhishikta.math@gmail.com

² DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIKSHA-BHAVANA, VISVA-BHARATI, SANTINIKETAN-731235, BIRBHUM, WEST-BENGAL, INDIA.

Email address: tarapadavb@gmail.com

³ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIKSHA-BHAVANA, VISVA-BHARATI, SANTINIKETAN-731235, BIRBHUM, WEST-BENGAL, INDIA.

Email address: shayani.mathvb10@gmail.com