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# Coefficient Bounds for a Family of Analytic Functions Linked with a Petal-Shaped Domain and Applications to Borel Distribution 

Trailokya Panigrahi ${ }^{1}$, Gangadharan Murugusundaramoorthy ${ }^{2 *}$ and Eureka Pattnayak ${ }^{3}$


#### Abstract

In this paper, by employing sine hyperbolic inverse functions, we introduced the generalized subfamily $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\sinh }(\beta)$ of analytic functions defined on the open unit disk $\Delta:=\{\xi: \xi \in$ $\mathbb{C}$ and $|\xi|<1\}$ associated with the petal-shaped domain. The bounds of the first three Taylor-Maclaurin's coefficients, FeketeSzegö functional and the second Hankel determinants are investigated for $f \in \mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\sinh }(\beta)$. We considered Borel distribution as an application to our main results. Consequently, a number of corollaries have been made based on our results, generalizing previous studies in this direction.


## 1. Introduction and Motivation

Let $\mathcal{A}$ represent the family of holomorphic functions $f(\xi)$ defined in the domain of an open unit disk $\Delta:=\{\xi \in \mathbb{C}:|\xi|<1\}$. Then the function $f(\xi)$ can have a Taylor-Maclaurin's series as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\xi)=\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \xi^{n}, \quad(\xi \in \Delta) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consists of all normalized univalent functions in $\Delta$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}$. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$. We say the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$

[^0]or $g$ is superordinate to $f$, written as $f \prec g$ (see [17]) if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega(\xi)$ with $\omega(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that
$$
f(\xi)=g(\omega(\xi)), \quad(\xi \in \Delta)
$$

The subfamilies of the class $\mathcal{S}$ that play a dominant role in geometric function theory are the families of starlike functions $\left(\mathcal{S}^{*}\right)$, convex functions $(\mathcal{K})$ and bounded turning functions $(\mathcal{R})$ defined in terms of subordination as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}^{*} & =\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{\xi f^{\prime}(\xi)}{f(\xi)} \prec \phi(\xi),(\xi \in \Delta)\right\} \\
\mathcal{K} & :=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: 1+\frac{\xi f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{f^{\prime}(\xi)} \prec \phi(\xi),(\xi \in \Delta)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{R}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: f^{\prime}(\xi) \prec \phi(\xi),(\xi \in \Delta)\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(\xi) & =1+2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \xi^{n} \\
& =\frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi}, \quad(\xi \in \Delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Some subclasses of the set $\mathcal{S}$ can be generated by varying the function $\phi$. For instance:

- If we take $\phi(\xi)=\frac{1+L \xi}{1+M \xi},(-1 \leq M<L \leq 1)$, we get the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(L, M)=\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\frac{1+L \xi}{1+M \xi}\right)$, the function of Janowski starlike class studied by Janowski (see [9]).
- Letting $L=1-2 \alpha$ and $M=-1$, we get the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)=$ $\mathcal{S}^{*}(1-2 \alpha,-1)$, the familiar starlike functions of order $\alpha,(0 \leq$ $\alpha<1)$.
- For $\phi(\xi)=\sqrt{1+\xi}$, the family $\mathcal{S}_{L}^{*}=\mathcal{S}^{*}(\phi)$ was studied by Sokòl and Stankiewicz [30]. The function $\phi(\xi)$ maps the region $\Delta$ onto the image domain which is bounded by $\left|\omega^{2}-1\right|<1$.
- For $\phi(\xi)=1+\frac{4}{3} \xi+\frac{2}{3} \xi^{2}$, the class $\mathcal{S}_{c}^{*}=\mathcal{S}^{*}(\phi)$ was introduced in [27] and further studied by [28].
- By choosing $\phi(\xi)=e^{\xi}$, the class $\mathcal{S}_{e}^{*}=\mathcal{S}^{*}(\phi)$ was studied in 16 (also, see [29]).
- Taking $\phi(\xi)=\cos \xi$, we get the families $\mathcal{S}_{\cos }^{*}=\mathcal{S}^{*}(\phi)$ investigated by Bano and Raza [3].
- Taking $\phi(\xi)=\cosh \xi$, we get the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\phi)$ studied by Alotaibi et al. [1]
- The family $\mathcal{S}_{\text {sin }}^{*}=\mathcal{S}^{*}(\phi)=\mathcal{S}^{*}(1+\sin \xi)$ was investigated in [6].
- Kumar and Arora [13] introduced the class $\mathcal{S}_{\phi}^{*}=\mathcal{S}^{*}(\phi)$ where $\phi(\xi)=1+\sinh ^{-1} \xi$.
Note that, the function $\phi(\xi)=1+\sinh ^{-1} \xi$ is a multivalued function and has the branch cuts about the line segments $(-i \infty,-i) \cup(i, i \infty)$ on the imaginary axis and hence it is analytical in $\Delta$. Geometrically, the function $\phi(\xi)$ maps the unit disk $\Delta$ onto a petal-shaped domain $\Omega_{\phi}$ where

$$
\Omega_{\phi}=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\sinh w-1|<1\}
$$

Further, recently Barukab et al. [5] obtained the sharp bounds of the Hankel determinant of order three for the function class

$$
\mathcal{B} \mathcal{T}_{s}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: f^{\prime}(\xi) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} \xi,(\xi \in \Delta)\right\}
$$

Motivated by the above researchers, we introduce the following subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\text {sinh }}(\beta)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[f^{\prime}(\xi)\right]^{\beta}\left[\frac{\left(\xi f^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(\xi)}\right]^{1-\beta} \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} \xi, \quad(\xi \in \Delta) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R K_{\mathrm{sinh}}(0) & =K_{\mathrm{sinh}} \\
& =\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{\left(\xi f^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(\xi)} \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} \xi,(\xi \in \Delta)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R K_{\sinh }(1) & =\mathcal{B} \mathcal{T}_{s} \\
& =\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: f^{\prime}(\xi) \prec 1+\sinh ^{-1} \xi,(\xi \in \Delta)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1.2. For a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1), Pommerenke [24, 25] stated the kth Hankel determinant as:

$$
H_{k, n}(f)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+k-1} \\
a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+k} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n+k-1} & a_{n+k} & \cdots & a_{n+2 k-2}
\end{array}\right|, \quad\left(k, n \in \mathbb{N}, a_{1}=1\right)
$$

In particular, for $k=2, n=1$ and $k=2, n=2$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{2,1}(f) & =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & a_{2}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{2,2}(f) & =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{3} & a_{4}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It may be noted that $H_{2,1}(f)$ is popularly known as Fekete-Szegö functional (see [7, 12, 18, 21, 22, 26]).

A significant amount of research papers have been devoted to determining the upper bounds for the second-order Hankel determinant $H_{2,2}(f)$ for different subclasses of $\mathcal{A}$ in the literature. For recent expository works on the second Hankel determinant, see ([4, 10, 11, 14, 19, 20]).

Specifically, we investigate the upper bounds of the coefficient inequality, Fekete-Szeg $\ddot{o}$ functional and Hankel determinant of order two for the function class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\sinh }(\beta)$ associated with sine hyperbolic inverse function defined in Definition 1.1.

## 2. A Set of Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the class of functions $q(\xi)$ which are holomorphic with a positive real part in the open unit disk $\Delta$ and have the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(\xi)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{n} \xi^{n}, \quad(\xi \in \Delta) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We require the following lemmas for our investigation.
Lemma 2.1 ([23]). If $q \in \mathcal{P}$ and has the form (2.1), then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|q_{n}\right| \leq 2, \quad \text { for } n \geq 1  \tag{2.2}\\
\left|q_{n+k}-\delta q_{n} q_{k}\right| \leq \begin{cases}2, & 0 \leq \delta \leq 1 \\
2|2 \delta-1|, & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases} \\
\left|q_{n} q_{m}-q_{l} q_{k}\right| \leq 4 \quad \text { for } n+m=l+k  \tag{2.3}\\
\left|q_{n+2 k}-\mu q_{n} q_{k}^{2}\right| \leq 2(1+2 \mu) \quad \text { for } \mu \in \mathbb{R}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\left|q_{2}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{2}\right| \leq 2-\frac{\left|q_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}
$$

Lemma 2.2 (see 15]). If $q \in \mathcal{P}$ and has the form (2.1), then for any complex number $\mu$, we have

$$
\left|q_{2}-\mu q_{1}^{2}\right| \leq 2 \max \{1,|2 \mu-1|\}
$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [2]). Let $q \in \mathcal{P}$ and has of the form (2.1). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J q_{1}^{3}-K q_{1} q_{2}+L q_{3}\right| \leq 2|J|+2|K-2 J|+2|J-K+L| \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J, K, L \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 2.4 (15]). If $q \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form (2.1), then there exists some $x, z$ with $|x| \leq 1,|z| \leq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 q_{2}=q_{1}^{2}+x\left(4-q_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& 4 q_{3}=q_{1}^{3}+2 q_{1} x\left(4-q_{1}^{2}\right)-\left(4-q_{1}^{2}\right) q_{1} x^{2}+2\left(4-q_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.5 (15]). If $q \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form (2.1), then

$$
\left|q_{2}-\nu q_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}-4 \nu+2 & \nu \leq 0 \\ 2 & 0 \leq \nu \leq 1 \\ 4 \nu-2 & \nu \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

## 3. Coefficient Estimates and Fekete-Szeqö Functional

In the first theorem, we determine the bounds of the first three TaylorMaclaurin's coefficients for the function class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\sinh }(\beta)$.
Theorem 3.1. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\text {sinh }}(\beta)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{2}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq & \leq \frac{1}{3(2-\beta)} \\
\left|a_{4}\right| \leq & \frac{1}{96(2-\beta)(3-2 \beta)}\left[\left|12 \beta^{2}-5 \beta-2\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\left|24 \beta^{2}-22 \beta-16\right|+\left(12 \beta^{2}-41 \beta+34\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let the function $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\sinh }(\beta)$. According to Definition 1.1, there exists an analytical function $\omega(\xi)$ satisfying the condition of the Schwarz lemma such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[f^{\prime}(\xi)\right]^{\beta}\left[\frac{\left(\xi f^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(\xi)}\right]^{1-\beta}=1+\sinh ^{-1}(\omega(\xi)), \quad(\xi \in \Delta) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q \in \mathcal{P}$. Then, in terms of the Schwarz function $\omega(\xi)$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(\xi)=\frac{1+\omega(\xi)}{1-\omega(\xi)}=1+q_{1} \xi+q_{2} \xi^{2}+q_{3} \xi^{3}+\cdots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega(\xi) & =\frac{q(\xi)-1}{q(\xi)+1}  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\frac{q_{1} \xi+q_{2} \xi^{2}+q_{3} \xi^{3}+\cdots}{2+q_{1} \xi+q_{2} \xi^{2}+\cdots} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{1} \xi+q_{2} \xi+q_{3} \xi^{3}+\cdots\right)\left(1+\frac{q_{1} \xi+q_{2} \xi^{2}+\cdots}{2}\right)^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
=\frac{q_{1}}{2} \xi+\left(\frac{q_{2}}{2}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{4}\right) \xi^{2}+\left(\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{8}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1} q_{2}+\frac{q_{3}}{2}\right) \xi^{3}+\cdots
$$

Using relation (3.4) in the series expansion of $\sinh ^{-1}(\omega(\xi))$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
1+\sinh ^{-1}(\omega(\xi))= & 1+\omega(\xi)-\frac{(\omega(\xi))^{3}}{3!}+\frac{3}{40}(\omega(\xi))^{5}-\cdots  \tag{3.5}\\
= & 1+\frac{q_{1}}{2} \xi+\left(\frac{q_{2}}{2}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{4}\right) \xi^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{q_{3}}{2}+\frac{5}{48} q_{1}^{3}-\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{2}\right) \xi^{3}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.1), it can be easily derived that

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[f^{\prime}(\xi)\right]^{\beta}\left[\frac{\left(\xi f^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(\xi)}\right]^{1-\beta}}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \quad=1+2 a_{2} \xi+\left[3(2-\beta) a_{3}-4(1-\beta) a_{2}^{2}\right] \xi^{2} \\
& \quad+\left[4(3-2 \beta) a_{4}-18(1-\beta) a_{2} a_{3}+8(1-\beta) a_{2}^{3}\right] \xi^{3}+\cdots .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.5) and (3.6) in (3.2) and then comparing the coefficients of $\xi, \xi^{2}$ and $\xi^{3}$ on both sides, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2}=\frac{q_{1}}{4}  \tag{3.7}\\
& 3(2-\beta) a_{3}-4(1-\beta) a_{2}^{2}=\frac{q_{2}}{2}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{4} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{3} & =\frac{1}{3(2-\beta)}\left(\frac{q_{2}}{2}-\frac{\beta}{4} q_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{6(2-\beta)}\left(q_{2}-\frac{\beta}{2} q_{1} q_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
4(3-2 \beta) a_{4}-18(1-\beta) a_{2} a_{3}+8(1-\beta) a_{2}^{3}=\frac{q_{3}}{2}+\frac{5}{48} q_{1}^{3}-\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{2}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{4}=\frac{1}{4(3-2 \beta)}\left[\frac{q_{3}}{2}+\frac{12 \beta^{2}-5 \beta-2}{48(2-\beta)} q_{1}^{3}-\frac{1+\beta}{4(2-\beta)} q_{1} q_{2}\right] \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $a_{2}$, utilizing $(2.2)$ in $(3.7)$, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

For $a_{3}$, applying (1.2) of Lemma 2.1 one will get

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3(2-\beta)}
$$

Taking the modulus on both sides of (3.9) and the application of (2.4) of Lemma 2.3, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{4}\right| \leq & \frac{1}{2(3-2 \beta)}\left[\left|\frac{12 \beta^{2}-5 \beta-2}{48(2-\beta)}\right|+\left|\frac{1+\beta}{4(2-\beta)}-\frac{12 \beta^{2}-5 \beta-2}{24(2-\beta)}\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\frac{12 \beta^{2}-5 \beta-2}{48(2-\beta)}-\frac{1+\beta}{4(2-\beta)}+\frac{1}{2}\right|\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{96(2-\beta)(3-2 \beta)}\left[\left|12 \beta^{2}-5 \beta-2\right|+\left|24 \beta^{2}-22 \beta-16\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\left(12 \beta^{2}-41 \beta+34\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is thus completed.
Taking $\beta=1$ in Theorem 3.1 we get the following result as a corollary for the class $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{T}_{s}$ due to Barukab (see [5])
Corollary 3.2 ([5, Theorem 4]). Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be in the class $\mathcal{B T}_{\text {s }}$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3}, \quad\left|a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}
$$

Putting $\beta=0$ we get the result for the class of $K_{\sinh }$
Corollary 3.3. Let $f \in K_{\text {sinh }}$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3}, \quad\left|a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{13}{144}
$$

The next theorem gives a bound for Fekete-Szegö inequality when $\mu$ is complex.
Theorem 3.4. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\sinh }(\beta)$. Then, for any complex number $\nu$, we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\nu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3(2-\beta)} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{3(2-\beta) \nu-4(1-\beta)}{4}\right|\right\}
$$

Proof. Relations (3.7) and (3.8) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{3}-\nu a_{2}^{2}\right| & =\left|\frac{q_{2}}{6(2-\beta)}-\frac{\beta}{12(2-\beta)} q_{1}^{2}-\nu \frac{q_{1}^{2}}{16}\right|  \tag{3.10}\\
& =\frac{1}{6(2-\beta)}\left|q_{2}-\mu q_{1}^{2}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mu=\frac{4 \beta+3(2-\beta) \mu}{8}
$$

An application of Lemma 2.2 to relation (3.10) gives

$$
\left|a_{3}-\nu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3(2-\beta)} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{3(2-\beta) \nu-4(1-\beta)}{4}\right|\right\}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4.
Taking $\beta=1$ in the above theorem, we get the result of Barukab et al.(see [5]) as

Corollary 3.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{T}_{s}$. Then for any complex number $\nu$, we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\nu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3} \max \left\{1, \frac{3}{4}|\nu|\right\} .
$$

Letting $\beta=0$ in Theorem 3.4 we get Fekete-Szegö functional for the class $K_{\text {sinh }}$.

Corollary 3.6. If $f \in K_{\sinh }$, then for $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\nu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{3 \nu-2}{2}\right|\right\} .
$$

Remark 3.7. Letting $\nu=1$ in Theorem 3.4 we get $\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3(2-\beta)}$.
Now, we discuss the result based on Fekete-Szegö functional $\left|a_{3}-\nu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ when $\nu$ is real.

Theorem 3.8. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the function class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\text {sinh }}(\beta)$, then any real number $\nu$, we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\nu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{4(1-\beta)-3(2-\beta) \nu}{12(2-\beta)}, & \nu \leq \frac{-4 \beta}{3(2-\beta)}, \\ \frac{1}{3(2-\beta)}, & -\frac{4 \beta}{3(2-\beta)} \leq \nu \leq \frac{4}{3}, \\ \frac{3(2-\beta) \nu-4(1-\beta)}{12(2-\beta)}, & \nu \geq \frac{4}{3} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From (3.10), we obtain

$$
\left|a_{3}-\nu a_{2}^{2}\right|=\frac{1}{6(2-\beta)}\left|q_{2}-\mu q_{1}^{2}\right|,
$$

where $\mu=\frac{4 \beta+3(2-\beta) \nu}{8}$. The result was followed by of Lemma 2.5. This proves the result of Theorem 3.8.

## 4. Coefficient Inequalities for the Function $f^{-1}$

Theorem 4.1. If the function $f \in \mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\text {sinh }}(\beta)$ given by (1.1) and $f^{-1}(w)=$ $w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} l_{n} w^{n}$ is the analytic continuation to $\Delta$ of the inverse function of $f$ with $|w|<r_{0}$ where $r_{0}>\frac{1}{4}$, the radius of the Koebe domain, then for any complex number $\nu$, we have

$$
\left|l_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

$$
\left|l_{3}\right| \leq \frac{4-\beta}{6(2-\beta)}
$$

and

$$
\left|l_{3}-\nu l_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3(2-\beta)} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{2(4-\beta)-3(2-\beta) \nu}{4}\right|\right\}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w)=w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} l_{n} w^{n} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the inverse of $f$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(f(\xi))=f\left(f^{-1}(\xi)\right)=\xi \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}\left(\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \xi^{n}\right)=\xi \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.1) and (4.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi+\left(a_{2}+l_{2}\right) \xi^{2}+\left(a_{3}+2 a_{2} l_{2}+l_{3}\right) \xi^{3}+\cdots=\xi \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the coefficients of $\xi^{2}$ and $\xi^{3}$ on both sides of (4.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{2}=-a_{2}, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{3}=-a_{3}-2 a_{2} l_{2}=2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.7), (3.8) in (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain

$$
l_{2}=-\frac{q_{1}}{4}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{3} & =\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{8}-\frac{q_{2}}{6(2-\beta)}+\frac{\beta}{12(2-\beta)} q_{1}^{2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{6(2-\beta)}\left(q_{2}-\frac{6-\beta}{4} q_{1}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The bound for $l_{2}$ can be obtained by using (2.2) of Lemma 2.1. Further, an application of Lemma 2.2 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|l_{3}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{3(2-\beta)} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{4-\beta}{2}\right|\right\} \\
& =\frac{4-\beta}{6(2-\beta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, for any complex number $\nu$, we have

$$
\left|l_{3}-\nu l_{2}^{2}\right|=\frac{1}{6(2-\beta)}\left|q_{2}-\frac{2(6-\beta)-3(2-\beta) \nu}{8} q_{1}^{2}\right| .
$$

When Lemma 2.2 is used,

$$
\left|l_{3}-\nu l_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3(2-\beta)} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{2(4-\beta)-3(2-\beta) \nu}{4}\right|\right\} .
$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.
5. Coefficient Functional Associated with $\frac{\xi}{f(\xi)}$

In this section, we obtain Fekete-Szegö functional estimate related to the function $\frac{\xi}{f(\xi)}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\xi)=\frac{\xi}{f(\xi)}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n} \xi^{n}, \quad(\xi \in \Delta), \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $f$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\text {sinh }}(\beta)$.
Theorem 5.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\sinh }(\beta)$ and $N(\xi)$ is given by (5.1). Then for any complex number $\nu$, we have

$$
\left|k_{2}-\nu k_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3(2-\beta)} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{(2+\beta)-3(2-\beta) \nu}{4}\right|\right\} .
$$

Proof. It is straightforward to write

$$
\begin{align*}
N(\xi) & =\frac{\xi}{f(\xi)}  \tag{5.2}\\
& =1-a_{2} \xi+\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) \xi^{2}+\cdots .
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.1) and (5.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=-a_{2}, \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}=a_{2}^{2}-a_{3} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.7), (3.8) in (5.3) and (5.4), respectively, we get

$$
k_{1}=-\frac{q_{1}}{4},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{2} & =\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{16}-\left(\frac{q_{2}}{6(2-\beta)}-\frac{\beta}{12(2-\beta)} q_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{6+\beta}{48(2-\beta)} q_{1}^{2}-\frac{q_{2}}{6(2-\beta)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for any complex number $\nu$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|k_{2}-\nu k_{1}^{2}\right|=\frac{1}{6(2-\beta)}\left|q_{2}-\frac{(6+\beta)-3(2-\beta) \nu}{8} q_{1}^{2}\right| \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (5.5) gives a desired estimate by Lemma 2.2. The proof of Theorem 5.1 is complete.

## 6. Second Hankel Determinant for the Class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\text {sinh }}(\beta)$

Theorem 6.1. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\sinh }(\beta)$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{9(2-\beta)^{2}}
$$

Proof. Using relations (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) in the functional $\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|$ and after simplification, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}= & \frac{1}{32(3-2 \beta)} q_{1} q_{3}-\frac{4 \beta^{3}-39 \beta^{2}+24 \beta+12}{2304(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q_{1}^{4}  \tag{6.1}\\
& -\frac{23 \beta^{2}-39 \beta+18}{576(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q_{1}^{2} q_{2}-\frac{1}{36(2-\beta)^{2}} q_{2}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $q \in \mathcal{P}$, it follows that $q\left(e^{-i \theta} \xi\right) \in \mathcal{P}(\theta \in \mathbb{R})$. Therefore, we may assume without loss of any generality that $q_{1}=q \geq 0$. Substituting the values of $q_{2}$ and $q_{3}$ from Lemma 2.3 in the relation (6.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}= & -\frac{4 \beta^{3}-11 \beta^{2}-14 \beta+24}{2304(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q^{4} \\
& +\frac{6-\beta-5 \beta^{2}}{1152(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q^{2} x\left(4-q^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{64(3-2 \beta)} q\left(4-q^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z \\
& -\frac{1}{128(3-2 \beta)}\left(4-q^{2}\right) q^{2} x^{2}-\frac{\left(4-q^{2}\right)^{2} x^{2}}{144(2-\beta)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With the help of triangle inequality and replacing $|z| \leq 1$ and $|x|=\rho \leq$ 1 , we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq & \frac{4 \beta^{3}-11 \beta^{2}-14 \beta+24}{2304(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q^{4} \\
& +\frac{6-\beta-5 \beta^{2}}{1152(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q^{2}\left(4-q^{2}\right) \rho \\
& +\frac{1}{64(3-2 \beta)} q\left(4-q^{2}\right)\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{128(3-2 \beta)}\left(4-q^{2}\right) q^{2} \rho^{2}+\frac{\left(4-q^{2}\right)^{2} \rho^{2}}{144(2-\beta)^{2}} \\
= & H(q, \rho)(\text { say })
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating $H(q, \rho)$ partially with respect to $\rho$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial H}{\partial \rho}= & \frac{6-\beta-5 \beta^{2}}{1152(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q^{2}\left(4-q^{2}\right) \\
& +\left\{\frac{q^{2}}{64(3-2 \beta)}-\frac{q}{32(3-2 \beta)}+\frac{4-q^{2}}{72(2-\beta)^{2}}\right\}\left(4-q^{2}\right) \rho \\
= & \frac{6-\beta-5 \beta^{2}}{1152(2-\beta)^{2}(3-\beta)} q^{2}\left(4-q^{2}\right) \\
& +\left\{\frac{9(2-\beta)^{2} q^{2}-18 q(2-\beta)^{2}+8(3-2 \beta)\left(4-q^{2}\right)}{576(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)}\right\}\left(4-q^{2}\right) \rho \\
= & \frac{6-\beta-5 \beta^{2}}{1152(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q^{2}\left(4-q^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{(2-q)\left(-9 q \beta^{2}+20 q \beta-32 \beta-12 q+48\right)}{576(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)}\left(4-q^{2}\right) \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

For $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ and for any fixed $q \in[0,2]$ we observe that $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \rho}>0$. Thus $H(q, \rho)$ is an increasing function of $\rho$ and for $q \in[0,2], H(q, \rho)$ has a maximum value at $\rho=1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{0 \leq \rho \leq 1} H(q, \rho) & =H(q, 1) \\
& =G(q)(s a y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(q) & =\frac{4 \beta^{3}-11 \beta^{2}-14 \beta+24}{2304(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q^{4}+\frac{6-\beta-5 \beta^{2}}{1152(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q^{2}\left(4-q^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{128(3-2 \beta)}\left(4-q^{2}\right) q^{2}+\frac{1}{144(2-\beta)^{2}}\left(4-q^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{\prime}(q)= & q\left[\frac{4 \beta^{3}-11 \beta^{2}-14 \beta+24}{576(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q^{2}+\frac{6-\beta-5 \beta^{2}}{288(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)}\left(2-q^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2-q^{2}}{32(3-2 \beta)}-\frac{\left(4-q^{2}\right) q}{36(2-\beta)^{2}}\right] \\
= & q\left[\frac{4 \beta^{3}-11 \beta^{2}-14 \beta+24}{576(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q^{2}+\frac{4 \beta^{2}-37 \beta+42}{288(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)}\left(2-q^{2}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.-\frac{\left(4-q^{2}\right)}{36(2-\beta)^{2}}\right]
$$

$G^{\prime}(q)=0$ then $q=0$. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{\prime \prime}(q)= & \frac{4 \beta^{3}-11 \beta^{2}-14 \beta+24}{192(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} q^{2}+\frac{\left(4 \beta^{2}-37 \beta+42\right)\left(2-3 q^{2}\right)}{288(2-\beta)^{2}(3-2 \beta)} \\
& -\frac{4-3 q^{2}}{36(2-\beta)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[G^{\prime \prime}(q)\right]_{q=0} } & =-\frac{4 \beta+3}{144(2-\beta)(3-2 \beta)} \\
& <0
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that the function $G(q)$ can take the maximum value at $q=0$. The maximum value is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{q \in[0,2]} G(q) & =G(0) \\
& =\frac{1}{9(2-\beta)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Theorem 6.1 is completed.
Letting $\beta=1$ in Theorem 6.1, we get the following result due to Barubak (see [5]).
Corollary 6.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{T}_{\text {s }}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H_{2,2}(f)\right| & =\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\beta=0$ in Theorem 6.1 gives the result for class $K_{\text {sinh }}$ as follows:
Corollary 6.3. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $K_{\text {sinh }}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H_{2,2}(f)\right| & =\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{36}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 7. Application of Borel Distribution

The distributions such as Binomial, Poisson, Pascal, logarithm, hypergeometric and their applications to the class of univalent functions have been intensively studied by various researchers from a different perspectives. Now, we discuss the application of the Borel distribution to the results obtained for the function class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\sinh }(\beta)$.

A discrete random variable $X$ is said to follow a Borel distribution with parameter $\mu$ if its probability mass function $p(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x=r)=\frac{(\mu r)^{r-1} e^{-\mu r}}{r!}, \quad r=1,2,3, \cdots \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, Wanas and Khuttar [32] introduced a power series whose coefficients are the probabilities of the Borel distribution i.e.

$$
M(\mu, \xi)=\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu(n-1))^{n-2} e^{-\mu(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} \xi^{n}, \quad(\xi \in \Delta)
$$

where $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$. By using the ratio test, it can be shown that the radius of convergence of the above series is infinite.

Let us introduce a linear operator $L_{\mu}: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{\mu} f(\xi) & =M(\mu, \xi) * f(\xi)  \tag{7.2}\\
& =\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu(n-1))^{n-2} e^{-\mu(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} a_{n} \xi^{n} \\
& =\xi+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}(\mu) a_{n} \xi^{n} \\
& =\xi+\alpha_{2} a_{2} \xi^{2}+\alpha_{3} a_{3} \xi^{3}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{n}=\alpha_{n}(\mu)=\frac{(\mu(n-1))^{n-2} e^{-\mu(n-1)}}{(n-1)!}$.
We define the class $R K_{\text {sinh }}^{\mu}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R K_{\sinh }^{\mu}(\beta)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: L_{\mu} f \in \mathcal{R}^{\sinh }(\beta)\right\} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, as in Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.8 we can obtain the coefficient bounds and Fekete-Szeg $\ddot{O}$ functional for the class $R K_{\sinh }^{\mu}(\beta)$ from the corresponding estimates for the function of the class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\sinh }(\beta)$.
Theorem 7.1. Let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $L_{\mu} f$ given by (7.2). If $f \in R K_{\sinh }^{\mu}(\beta)$, then for any complex number $\nu$, we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\nu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3(2-\beta) \alpha_{3}} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{\left(\beta \alpha_{3}-4 \alpha_{2}^{2}\right)+3(2-\beta) \nu \alpha_{3}}{4 \alpha_{2}^{2}}\right|\right\}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in R K_{\text {sinh }}^{\mu}(\beta)$, it follows from (7.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{\mu} f(\xi)\right]^{\beta}\left[\frac{\left(\xi\left(L_{\mu} f(\xi)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(L_{\mu} f(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}\right]^{1-\beta}=1+\sinh ^{-1}(\omega(\xi)) \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{\mu} f(\xi)\right]^{\beta}\left[\frac{\left(\xi\left(L_{\mu} f(\xi)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(L_{\mu} f(\xi)\right)^{\prime}}\right]^{1-\beta} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & 1+2 \alpha_{2} a_{2} \xi+\left[3(1-\beta) \alpha_{3} a_{3}-4(1-\beta) \alpha_{2}^{2} a_{2}^{2}\right] \xi^{2} \\
& +\left[4(3-2 \beta) \alpha_{4} a_{4}-18(1-\beta) \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} a_{2} a_{3}+8(1-\beta) \alpha_{2}^{3} a_{2}^{3}\right] \xi^{3}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.5) and (7.5) in (7.4) and equating the corresponding coefficients of $\xi$ and $\xi^{2}$, we get

$$
a_{2}=\frac{q_{1}}{4 \alpha_{2}}
$$

and

$$
a_{3}=\frac{1}{3(2-\beta) \alpha_{3}}\left[\frac{q_{2}}{2}-\frac{\beta}{4} q_{1}^{2}\right] .
$$

Thus, for any complex number $\nu$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{3}-\nu a_{2}^{2}\right| & =\left|\frac{q_{2}}{6(2-\beta) \alpha_{3}}-\frac{\beta}{12(2-\beta) \alpha_{3}} q_{1}^{2}-\nu \frac{q_{1}^{2}}{16 \alpha_{2}^{2}}\right|  \tag{7.6}\\
& =\frac{1}{6(2-\beta) \alpha_{3}}\left[q_{2}-\left(\frac{\beta \alpha_{3}}{2}+\frac{3(2-\beta) \nu \alpha_{3}}{8 \alpha_{2}^{2}}\right) q_{1}^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

An application of Lemma 2.2 to (7.6) yields

$$
\left|a_{3}-\nu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3(2-\beta) \alpha_{3}} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{\left(\beta \alpha_{3}-4 \alpha_{2}^{2}\right)+3(2-\beta) \nu \alpha_{3}}{4 \alpha_{2}^{2}}\right|\right\}
$$

The proof of Theorem 7.1 is thus completed.
The next theorem gives Fekete-Szegö inequality for the class $R K_{\sinh }^{\mu}(\beta)$ when $\nu$ is real. We omit the proof as the proof follows the same line as in Theorem 3.8.
Theorem 7.2. Let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $L_{\mu} f$ given by (7.2). For any real number $\nu$, we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\nu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{4 \alpha_{2}^{2}\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right)-3(2-\beta) \alpha_{3} \nu}{12 \alpha_{2}^{2}(2-\beta) \alpha_{3}}, & \nu \leq \frac{-4 \beta \alpha_{2}^{2}}{3(2-\beta)} \\ \frac{1}{3(2-\beta) \alpha_{3}}, & -\frac{4 \beta \alpha_{2}^{2}}{3(2-\beta)} \leq \nu \leq \frac{4 \alpha_{2}^{2}\left(2-\beta \alpha_{3}\right)}{3(2-\beta) \alpha_{3}} \\ \frac{3(2-\beta) \nu \alpha_{3}-4 \alpha_{2}^{2}\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right)}{12 \alpha_{2}^{2}(2-\beta) \alpha_{3}}, & \nu \geq \frac{4\left(2-\beta \alpha_{3}\right) \alpha_{2}^{2}}{3(2-\beta) \alpha_{3}}\end{cases}
$$

Concluding Remarks: In our current paper we investigated the coefficient bounds, Fekete-Szegö functional, second Hankel determinant for $f \in \mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\text {sinh }}(\beta)$ associated with the petal-shaped domain. Further, we determined the coefficient estimate and Fekete-Szegö inequalities to the inverse function class $f^{-1}$ and $\frac{\xi}{f(\xi)}$. We also established an application for Borel distribution to our main results. The class defined in this paper generalizes the class considered by Barukab et al.. The results in [5] are a special case of our results $(\beta=1)$. In recent years, the application of $(p, q)$-calculus or more specifically $q$-calculus has played
a dominant role in the theory of geometric function theory of complex analysis (see [31]). Researchers can make use of $q$-calculus to modify the class $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}_{\text {sinh }}(\beta)$ and all the results of this paper can be extended to the study of analytic or meromorphic functions [8].
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