

# Bi-Univalent Functions of Complex Order Defined by Hohlov Operator Associated with $(P, Q)$ – Lucas Polynomial

**Elumalai Muthaiyan**

**Sahand Communications in  
Mathematical Analysis**

Print ISSN: 2322-5807  
Online ISSN: 2423-3900  
Volume: 21  
Number: 1  
Pages: 273-289

Sahand Commun. Math. Anal.  
DOI: 10.22130/scma.2023.1990927.1270

Volume 21, No. 1, January 2024

Print ISSN 2322-5807  
Online ISSN 2423-3900

Sahand Communications  
in  
Mathematical Analysis



Photo by Farhad Mansoori

Sahand Mountain, Maragheh, Iran.

SCMA, P. O. Box 55181-83111, Maragheh, Iran  
<http://scma.maragheh.ac.ir>

## Bi-Univalent Functions of Complex Order Defined by Hohlov Operator Associated with $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Lucas Polynomial

Elumalai Muthaiyan

---

ABSTRACT. On this study, two new subclasses of the function class  $\Xi$  of bi-univalent functions of complex order defined in the open unit disc are introduced and investigated. These subclasses are connected to the Hohlov operator with  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Lucas polynomial and meet subordinate criteria. For functions in these new subclasses, we also get estimates for the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$ . The results are also discussed as having a number of (old or new) repercussions.

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad s(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \xi^n$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{\xi : \xi \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |\xi| < 1\}.$$

Additionally, we'll use the symbol  $\mathcal{S}$  to represent the class of all functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  that are univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . The class  $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$  of starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  and the class  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  of convex functions of order  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  are two notable and well-studied subclasses of the univalent function class  $\mathcal{S}$ . Every function  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  has an inverse  $s^{-1}$ , which is defined by

$$s^{-1}(s(\xi)) = \xi, \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{U})$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45, 30C50, 30C55, 30C80.

*Key words and phrases.* Analytic functions, Univalent functions, Bi-univalent functions, Bi-starlike and bi-convex functions, Hohlov operator, Gaussian hypergeometric function,  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Lucas polynomial.

Received: 07 March 2023, Accepted: 25 June 2023.

and

$$s(s^{-1}(\omega)) = \omega, \quad \left( |\omega| < r_0(s); r_0(s) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad t(\omega) = s^{-1}(\omega) \\ = \omega - a_2\omega^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)\omega^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)\omega^4 + \dots$$

A function  $s \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be bi-univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  if both  $s(\xi)$  and  $s^{-1}(\xi)$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Let  $\Xi$  denote the class of bi-univalent functions in  $\mathbb{U}$  given by (1.1). Note that the functions

$$s_1(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{1-\xi}, \quad s_2(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi}, \quad s_3(\xi) = -\log(1-\xi)$$

with their corresponding inverses

$$s_1^{-1}(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{1-\omega}, \quad s_2^{-1}(\omega) = \frac{e^{2\omega} - 1}{e^{2\omega} + 1}, \quad s_3^{-1}(\omega) = \frac{e^\omega - 1}{e^\omega}$$

are components of  $\Xi$ . This topic is covered in great detail in the groundbreaking work by Srivastava et al. [30], who recently resurrected the study of analytic and bi-univalent functions. Many successors to Srivastava et al. [30] were produced after it.

An analytic function  $\omega$  defined on  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $\omega(0) = 0$  and  $|\omega(\xi)| < 1$  supporting  $s(\xi) = t(\omega(\xi))$ , then  $s$  is subordinate to an analytic function  $t$ , written  $s(\xi) \prec t(\xi)$ . Recently, Ma and Minda combined different subclasses of starlike and convex functions for which the quantity  $\frac{\xi s'(\xi)}{s(\xi)}$  or  $1 + \frac{\xi s''(\xi)}{s'(\xi)}$  is subordinate to a more general superordinate function. They examined an analytic function  $\phi$  with a positive real portion in the unit disc for this persistence  $\mathbb{U}$ ,  $\phi(0) = 1$ . In addition,  $\phi$  maps  $\mathbb{U}$  onto an area that is symmetric with respect to the real axis and starlike with respect to 1. Functions meeting the subordination  $\frac{\xi s'(\xi)}{s(\xi)} \prec \phi(\xi)$  fall within the category of Ma-Minda starlike functions.

The convolution or Hadamard product of two functions  $s, h \in \mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $s * h$  and is defined as

$$(1.3) \quad (s * h)(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n \xi^n,$$

where (1.1) gives the value of  $s(\xi)$  and  $h(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \xi^n$ . Dziok and Srivastava introduced and carefully explored the Dziok-Srivastava linear operator involving the generalised hypergeometric function in terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution) before being followed by numerous other authors. In this study, we recall the well-known convolution operator  $\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c}$  attributed to Hohlov [16, 17], which is undoubtedly a

highly specialized instance of the widely (and in-depthly) investigated Dziok-Srivastava operator as well as the much more general Srivastava Wright operator [31] (also see [19]).

For the complex parameters  $a, b$  and  $c$  with  $c \neq 0, -1, -2, -3, \dots$ , the Gaussian hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1(a, b, c; \xi)$  is defined as

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_2F_1(a, b, c; \xi) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n \xi^n}{(c)_n n!} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1} (b)_{n-1} \xi^{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1} (n-1)!} \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{U}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $(a)_n$  is the Pochhammer symbol (or the shifted factorial) defined as follows:

$$(1.5) \quad (a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}.$$

For the positive real numbers  $a, b$  and  $c$  with  $c \neq 0, -1, -2, -3, \dots$ . In order to present the well-known convolution operator  $\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c}$  Hohlov introduced the Gaussian hypergeometric function provided by (1.4) as follows:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{a,b,c}s(\xi) &= \xi {}_2F_1(a, b, c; \xi) * s(\xi) \\ &= \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \kappa_n a_n \xi^n, \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{U}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad \kappa_n = \frac{(a)_{n-1} (b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1} (n-1)!}.$$

Hohlov described some intriguing geometrical characteristics by the operator  $\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c}$ . The majority of the well-known linear integral or differential operators are included as special cases in the three-parameter family of operators  $\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c}$ . In instance,  $\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c}$  reduces to the Carlson-Shaffer operator if  $b = 1$  in (1.6). Similar to this, it is clear that the Bernardi-Libera-Livingston operator and the Ruscheweyh derivative operator are both generalizations of the Hohlov operator  $\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c}$ .

Interest in the study of the bi-univalent function class  $\Xi$  has recently increased, and non-sharp coefficient estimates have been found for the first two coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  of (1.1). However, the coefficient issue for each of the subsequent Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients is as follows:

$$|a_n|, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}; \quad \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}).$$

It's still an open issue. The first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  have non-sharp estimates, according to recent research that

has introduced and examined a number of intriguing subclasses of the bi-univalent function class  $\Xi$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{P}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(x)$  be polynomials with real coefficients. The  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Lucas polynomials  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)$  are defined by the recurrence relation

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x) = \mathcal{P}(x)\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n-1}(x) + \mathcal{Q}(x)\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n-2}(x), \quad (n \geq 2)$$

from which the first few Lucas polynomials can be found as follows:

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 0}(x) &= 2 \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}(x) &= \mathcal{P}(x) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 2}(x) &= \mathcal{P}^2(x) + 2\mathcal{Q}(x) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 3}(x) &= \mathcal{P}^3(x) + 3\mathcal{P}(x)\mathcal{Q}(x). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_n(x)\}}(\xi)$  be the generating function of the  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -Lucas polynomial sequence  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)$ . Then

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_n(x)\}}(\xi) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\xi^n \\ &= \frac{2 - \mathcal{P}(x)\xi}{1 - \mathcal{P}(x)\xi - \mathcal{Q}(x)\xi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for particular values of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ , the  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -polynomial  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)$  leads to various polynomials, among those, we list few cases here (see, [23] for more details, also [1, 18]):

- For  $\mathcal{P}(x) = x$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(x) = 1$ , we obtain the Lucas polynomials  $\mathcal{L}_n(x)$ .
- For  $\mathcal{P}(x) = 2x$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(x) = 1$ , we attain the Pell-Lucas polynomials  $\mathcal{Q}_n(x)$ .
- For  $\mathcal{P}(x) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(x) = 2x$ , we attain the Jacobsthal-Lucas polynomials  $j_n(x)$ .
- For  $\mathcal{P}(x) = 3x$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(x) = -2$ , we attain the Fermat-Lucas polynomials  $f_n(x)$ .
- For  $\mathcal{P}(x) = 2x$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(x) = -1$ , we have the Chebyshev polynomials  $T_n(x)$  of the first kind.

A study on bi-univalent functions by [3, 11, 13, 15, 22] as well as numerous recent works on the Fekete-Szegő functional and other coefficient estimates (see [2, 5, 6, 8, 25]), served as the inspiration for the current paper. In this paper we introduce new subclasses of the function class  $\Xi$  of complex order  $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  other relevant classes are taken into account and connections to previously reported results are made.

**Definition 1.3.** A function  $s \in \Xi$  given by (1.1) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, \delta, n; x)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(1.11) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{\xi(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))'}{(1-\delta)\xi + \delta\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi)} - 1 \right) \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\xi) - 1$$

and

$$(1.12) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{w(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega))'}{(1-\delta)\xi + \delta\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega)} - 1 \right) \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\omega) - 1$$

where the function  $t$  is given by (1.2).

On specializing the parameters  $\delta$  and  $a, b, c$  one can state the various new subclasses of  $\Xi$  as illustrated in the following examples.

**Example 1.4.** A function  $s \in \Xi$ , given by (1.1) is said to belong to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, n; x)$  if the following criteria are met for  $\delta = 1$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ :

$$(1.13) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{\xi(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))'}{\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi)} - 1 \right) \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\xi) - 1$$

and

$$(1.14) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{w(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega))'}{\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega)} - 1 \right) \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\omega) - 1$$

where  $\xi, \omega \in \mathbb{U}$  and the function  $t$  is given by (1.2).

**Example 1.5.** A function  $s \in \Xi$ , given by (1.1) is said to belong to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, n; x)$  if the following criteria are met for  $\delta = 0$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ :

$$(1.15) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} ((\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))' - 1) \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\xi) - 1$$

and

$$(1.16) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} ((\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega))' - 1) \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\omega) - 1$$

where  $\xi, \omega \in \mathbb{U}$  and the function  $t$  is given by (1.2).

**Example 1.6.** In the case of  $\delta = 1$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . If the following criteria are met, a function  $s \in \Xi$ , given by (1.1), is considered to belong to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^*(\beta, n; x)$ :

$$(1.17) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{\xi s'(\xi)}{s(\xi)} - 1 \right) \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\xi) - 1$$

and

$$(1.18) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{\xi s'(\omega)}{s(\omega)} - 1 \right) \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\omega) - 1$$

where  $\xi, \omega \in \mathbb{U}$  and the function  $t$  is given by (1.2).

**Example 1.7.** For  $\delta = 0$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . If the following criteria are met, a function  $s \in \Xi$ , given by (1.1), is considered to belong to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^*(\beta, n; x)$ :

$$(1.19) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} (s'(\xi) - 1) \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\xi) - 1$$

and

$$(1.20) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} (s'(\omega) - 1) \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\omega) - 1$$

where  $\xi, \omega \in \mathbb{U}$  and the function  $t$  is given by (1.2).

By using the methods previously employed by Deniz in [11], we derive estimates on the coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for functions in the above described subclasses  $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, \delta, n; x)$  of the function class  $\Xi$ .

## 2. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR THE FUNCTION CLASS $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, \delta, n; x)$

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $s$  be given by (1.1) and in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, \delta, n; x)$ . Then

$$(2.1) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{\beta^2 |\mathcal{P}(x)| \sqrt{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}}{\sqrt{|\{(2-\delta)[(1-2\beta)\delta - 2]\kappa_2^2 + 2\beta(3-\delta)\kappa_3\} \mathcal{P}^2(x) - 2(2-\delta)^2 \kappa_2^2 \mathcal{Q}(x)|}}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{\beta^2 \mathcal{P}^2(x)}{(2-\delta)^2 \kappa_2^2} + \frac{\beta |\mathcal{P}(x)|}{2(3-\delta) \kappa_3}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $s \in \mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, \delta, n; x)$ . Then, from Definition 1.2, for some analytic functions,  $\Omega, \Lambda$  such that  $\Omega(0) = \Lambda(0) = 0$  and  $|\Omega(\xi)| < 1$ ,  $|\Lambda(\omega)| < 1$  for all  $\xi, \omega \in \mathbb{U}$ , we can write

$$(2.3) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{\xi (\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))'}{(1-\delta)\xi + \delta \mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi)} - 1 \right) = \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\Omega(\xi)) - 1$$

$$(2.4) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{\omega (\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega))'}{(1-\delta)\omega + \delta \mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega)} - 1 \right) = \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\Lambda(\omega)) - 1$$

or equivalently

$$(2.5) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{\xi (\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))'}{(1-\delta)\xi + \delta \mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi)} - 1 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},0}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}\Omega(\xi) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}\Omega^2(\xi) + \dots \\
 (2.6) \quad &1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{\omega(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c^s}(\omega))'}{(1-\delta)\omega + \delta\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c^s}(\omega)} - 1 \right) \\
 &= -1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},0}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}\Lambda(\omega) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}\Lambda^2(\omega) + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

From equalities (2.5) and (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad &1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{\xi(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c^s}(\xi))'}{(1-\delta)\xi + \delta\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c^s}(\xi)} - 1 \right) \\
 &= 1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)l_1\xi + [\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)l_2 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)l_1^2]\xi^2 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad &1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{\omega(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c^s}(\omega))'}{(1-\delta)\omega + \delta\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c^s}(\omega)} - 1 \right) \\
 &= 1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)r_1\omega + [\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)r_2 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)r_1^2]\omega^2 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

It is already known that if for  $\xi, \omega \in \mathbb{U}$ ,

$$\Omega(\xi) = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n l_i \xi^i \right| < 1$$

and

$$\Lambda(\omega) = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \omega^i \right| < 1$$

then

$$\Omega(\xi) = |l_i| < 1$$

and

$$\Lambda(\omega) = |r_i| < 1$$

where  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, comparing the corresponding coefficients in (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{2-\delta}{\beta} \kappa_2 a_2 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)l_1$$

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{\delta^2-2\delta}{\beta} \kappa_2^2 a_2^2 + \frac{3-\delta}{\beta} \kappa_3 a_3 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)l_2 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)l_1^2$$

$$(2.11) \quad -\frac{2-\delta}{\beta} \kappa_2 a_2 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)r_1$$

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{\delta^2-2\delta}{\beta} \kappa_2^2 a_2^2 + \frac{3-\delta}{\beta} \kappa_3 (2a_2^2 - a_3) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)r_2 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)r_1^2.$$

From (2.9) and (2.11),

$$(2.13) \quad a_2 = \frac{\beta l_1}{(2-\delta)\kappa_2} = \frac{-\beta r_1}{(2-\delta)\kappa_2}$$

$$(2.14) \quad l_1 = -r_1$$



$$(2.15) \quad (2 - \delta)^2 \kappa_2^2 a_2^2 = \beta^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^2(x) (l_1^2 + r_1^2)$$

adding (2.10) and (2.12),

$$(2.16) \quad 2 \left( \frac{\delta^2 - 2\delta}{\beta} \kappa_2^2 + \frac{3 - \delta}{\beta} \kappa_3 \right) a_2^2 \\ = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}(x) (l_2 + r_2) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 2}(x) (l_1^2 + r_1^2).$$

By using (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$(2.17) \quad [2\beta \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^2(x) [(\delta^2 - 2\delta)\kappa_2^2 + (3 - \delta)\kappa_3] - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 2}(x) (2 - \delta)^2 \kappa_2^2] a_2^2 \\ = \beta^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^3(x) (l_2 + r_2)$$

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\beta^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^3(x) (l_2 + r_2)}{[2\beta \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^2(x) [(\delta^2 - 2\delta)\kappa_2^2 + (3 - \delta)\kappa_3] - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 2}(x) (2 - \delta)^2 \kappa_2^2]}$$

which gives

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\beta^2 |\mathcal{P}(x)| \sqrt{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}}{\sqrt{|\{(2 - \delta)[(1 - 2\beta)\delta - 2]\kappa_2^2 + 2\beta(3 - \delta)\kappa_3\} \mathcal{P}^2(x) - 2(2 - \delta)^2 \kappa_2^2 \mathcal{Q}(x)|}}$$

also, by subtracting (2.12) from (2.10), we get

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{2(3 - \delta)}{\beta} \kappa_3 a_3 - \frac{2(3 - \delta)}{\beta} \kappa_3 a_2^2 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}(x) (l_2 - r_2).$$

Then, by using (2.14) and (2.15) in (2.18), we have

$$a_3 = \frac{\beta^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^2(x) (l_1^2 + r_1)^2}{(2 - \delta)^2 \kappa_2^2} + \frac{\beta \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}(x) (l_2 - r_2)}{2(3 - \delta) \kappa_3},$$

and by the help of (1.9), we conclude that

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\beta^2 \mathcal{P}^2(x)}{(2 - \delta)^2 \kappa_2^2} + \frac{\beta |\mathcal{P}(x)|}{2(3 - \delta) \kappa_3}. \quad \square$$

Fixing  $\delta = 1$  in Theorem 2.1, we have the following:

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $s$  be given by (1.1) and in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, n; x)$ . Then*

$$(2.19) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{\beta^2 |\mathcal{P}(x)| \sqrt{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}}{\sqrt{|\{(1 - 2\beta) - 2\} \kappa_2^2 + 4\beta \kappa_3\} \mathcal{P}^2(x) - 2\kappa_2^2 \mathcal{Q}(x)|}}$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{\beta^2 \mathcal{P}^2(x)}{\kappa_2^2} + \frac{\beta |\mathcal{P}(x)|}{4\kappa_3}.$$

Assuming that  $a = c$  and  $b = 1$ , in Corollary 2.2 we obtain the following:

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $s$  be given by (1.1) and in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, n; x)$ . Then*

$$(2.21) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{\beta^2 |\mathcal{P}(x)| \sqrt{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}}{\sqrt{|[(1-2\beta)-2] + 4\beta\} \mathcal{P}^2(x) - 2\mathcal{Q}(x)|}}$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad |a_3| \leq \beta^2 \mathcal{P}^2(x) + \frac{\beta |\mathcal{P}(x)|}{4}.$$

Fixing  $\delta = 0$  in Theorem 2.1, we have the following:

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $s$  be given by (1.1) and in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, n; x)$ . Then*

$$(2.23) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{\beta^2 |\mathcal{P}(x)| \sqrt{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}}{\sqrt{|[-4\kappa_2^2 + 6\beta\kappa_3] \mathcal{P}^2(x) - 8\kappa_2^2 \mathcal{Q}(x)|}}$$

and

$$(2.24) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{\beta^2 \mathcal{P}^2(x)}{4\kappa_2^2} + \frac{\beta |\mathcal{P}(x)|}{6\kappa_3}.$$

Using the above corollaries  $a = c$  and  $b = 1$ , we obtain the following:

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $s$  be given by (1.1) and in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, n; x)$ . Then*

$$(2.25) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{\beta^2 |\mathcal{P}(x)| \sqrt{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}}{\sqrt{|[6\beta - 4] \mathcal{P}^2(x) - 8\mathcal{Q}(x)|}}$$

and

$$(2.26) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{\beta^2 \mathcal{P}^2(x)}{4} + \frac{\beta |\mathcal{P}(x)|}{6}.$$

We demonstrate Fekete-Szegö inequalities for the functions  $s \in \mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, \delta, n; x)$  to Zaprawa [37].

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $s$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\beta, \delta, n; x)$ . Then,*

$$(2.27) \quad |a_3 - \tau a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{(3-\delta)\kappa_3}, & 0 \leq |\mathcal{T}(\tau; x)| < \frac{\beta}{2(3-\delta)\kappa_3} \\ 2|\mathcal{P}(x)| |\mathcal{T}(\tau; x)|, & |\mathcal{T}(\tau; x)| \geq \frac{\beta}{2(3-\delta)\kappa_3} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}(\tau; x) = \frac{(1-\tau)\beta^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^2(x)}{[2\beta \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^2(x)[(\delta^2 - 2\delta)\kappa_2^2 + (3-\delta)\kappa_3] - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 2}(x)(2-\delta)^2 \kappa_2^2}.$$

*Proof.* From equations (2.17) and (2.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \tau a_2^2 &= \frac{\beta \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^2(x)(l_2 - r_2)}{2(3 - \delta)\kappa_3} + (1 - \tau)a_2^2 \\ &= \frac{\beta \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^2(x)(l_2 - r_2)}{2(3 - \delta)\kappa_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 - \tau)\beta^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^3(x)(l_2 + r_2)}{[2\beta \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^2(x)[(\delta^2 - 2\delta)\kappa_2^2 + (3 - \delta)\kappa_3] - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 2}(x)(2 - \delta)^2 \kappa_2^2]} \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}(x) \left[ \left( \mathcal{T}(\tau; x) + \frac{\beta}{2(3 - \delta)\kappa_3} \right) l_2 + \left( \mathcal{T}(\tau; x) - \frac{\beta}{2(3 - \delta)\kappa_3} \right) l_2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}(\tau; x) = \frac{(1 - \tau)\beta^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^2(x)}{[2\beta \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}^2(x)[(\delta^2 - 2\delta)\kappa_2^2 + (3 - \delta)\kappa_3] - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 2}(x)(2 - \delta)^2 \kappa_2^2}.$$

□

One may easily assert the following by assuming that  $\tau = 1$  in above Theorem 2.1.

**Remark 2.7.** Let the function  $s$  be assumed by  $s \in \mathcal{M}_{\Xi}^{a, b; c}(\beta, \delta, n; x)$ . Then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{(3 - \delta)\kappa_3}$$

### 3. SUBCLASS OF BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTION $\mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^{a, b; c}(\varsigma, n; x)$

Obradovic et al. provided several requirements for univalence in the cited work, expressing them mathematically as  $\mathcal{R}(s'(\xi)) > 0$ , for the linear combinations

$$\varsigma \left( 1 + \frac{\xi s''(\xi)}{s'(\xi)} \right) + (1 - \varsigma) \frac{1}{s'(\xi)} > 0, \quad (\varsigma \geq 1, \xi \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Recently, Lashin in [20] introduced and explored the new subclasses of bi-univalent function based on the aforementioned definitions.

**Definition 3.1.** If a function  $s \in \Xi$  given by (1.1) satisfies the following criteria, it is said to belong to the class  $\mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^{a, b; c}(\varsigma, n; x)$ :

$$(3.1) \quad \varsigma \left( 1 + \frac{\xi (\mathcal{J}_{a, b, c} s(\xi))''}{(\mathcal{J}_{a, b, c} s(\xi))'} \right) + (1 - \varsigma) \frac{1}{(\mathcal{J}_{a, b, c} s(\xi))'} \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\xi) - 1$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \varsigma \left( 1 + \frac{\omega (\mathcal{J}_{a, b, c} s(\omega))''}{(\mathcal{J}_{a, b, c} s(\omega))'} \right) + (1 - \varsigma) \frac{1}{(\mathcal{J}_{a, b, c} s(\omega))'} \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, n}(x)\}}(\omega) - 1$$

where  $\varsigma \geq 1, \xi, \omega \in \mathbb{U}$  and the function  $t$  is given by (1.2).

**Remark 3.2.** If a function  $s \in \Xi$  provided by (1.1) satisfies the following criteria, it is said to belong to the class  $\mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(1, n; x) = \mathcal{R}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(n; x)$ :

$$\left(1 + \frac{\xi(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))''}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))'}\right) \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},n}(x)\}}(\xi) - 1$$

and

$$\left(1 + \frac{\omega(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega))''}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega))'}\right) \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},n}(x)\}}(\omega) - 1$$

where  $\xi, \omega \in \mathbb{U}$  and the function  $t$  is given by (1.2).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $s$  be given by (1.1) and  $s \in \mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\varsigma, n; x)$ ,  $\varsigma \geq 1$ . Then

$$(3.3) \quad |a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{2(2\varsigma-1)\kappa_2}, \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}|\mathcal{P}(x)|\sqrt{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}}{\sqrt{|[(2(1+\varsigma)-8(2\varsigma-1)^2]\mathcal{P}(x)^2-16\mathcal{Q}(x)(2\varsigma-1)^2]\kappa_2^2|}} \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad |a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{3(3\varsigma-1)\kappa_3} + \frac{|\mathcal{P}^2(x)|}{4(2\varsigma-1)^2\kappa_2^2}, \\ \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{3(3\varsigma-1)\kappa_3} + \frac{2\mathcal{P}^3(x)}{|[(2(1+\varsigma)-8(2\varsigma-1)^2]\mathcal{P}(x)^2-16\mathcal{Q}(x)(2\varsigma-1)^2]\kappa_2^2|}. \end{array} \right.$$

*Proof.* From (3.1) and (3.2), it is evident that

$$(3.5) \quad \varsigma \left(1 + \frac{\xi(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))''}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))'}\right) + (1-\varsigma) \frac{1}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))'} = \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},n}(x)\}}(\Omega(\xi)) - 1$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \varsigma \left(1 + \frac{\omega(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega))''}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega))'}\right) + (1-\varsigma) \frac{1}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega))'} = \mathcal{G}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},n}(x)\}}(\Lambda(\omega)) - 1$$

or equivalently

$$(3.7) \quad \varsigma \left(1 + \frac{\xi(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))''}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))'}\right) + (1-\varsigma) \frac{1}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\xi))'} = -1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},0}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}\Omega(\xi) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}\Omega^2(\xi) + \dots$$

$$(3.8) \quad \varsigma \left(1 + \frac{\omega(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega))''}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega))'}\right) + (1-\varsigma) \frac{1}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,c} s(\omega))'} = -1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},0}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}\Lambda(\omega) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}\Lambda^2(\omega) + \dots$$

from equalities (3.7) and (3.8)

$$(3.9) \quad \varsigma \left( 1 + \frac{\omega(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,cS}(\omega))''}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,cS}(\omega))'} \right) + (1 - \varsigma) \frac{1}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,cS}(\omega))'}$$

$$= 1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)l_1\xi + [\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)l_2 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)l_1^2]\xi^2 + \dots$$

$$(3.10) \quad \varsigma \left( 1 + \frac{\omega(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,cS}(\omega))''}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,cS}(\omega))'} \right) + (1 - \varsigma) \frac{1}{(\mathcal{J}_{a,b,cS}(\omega))'}$$

$$= 1 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)r_1\omega + [\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)r_2 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)r_1^2]\omega^2 + \dots$$

it is already known that if for  $\xi, \omega \in U$ .

Consequently, we obtain by comparing the equivalent coefficients in (3.9) and (3.10)

$$(3.11) \quad 2(2\varsigma - 1)\kappa_2a_2 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)l_1$$

$$(3.12) \quad 3(3\varsigma - 1)\kappa_3a_3 + 4(1 - 2\varsigma)\kappa_2^2a_2^2 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)l_2 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)l_1^2$$

$$(3.13) \quad -2(2\varsigma - 1)\kappa_2a_2 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)r_1$$

$$(3.14) \quad 2(5\varsigma - 1)\kappa_2a_2 - 3(3\varsigma - 1)\kappa_3a_3 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)r_2 + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)r_1^2.$$

From (3.11) and (3.13),

$$(3.15) \quad l_1 = -r_1$$

from (3.11) by using (1.9)

$$(3.16) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)}{2(2\varsigma - 1)\kappa_2} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{2(2\varsigma - 1)\kappa_2}.$$

Also

$$8(2\varsigma - 1)^2\kappa_2^2a_2^2 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}^2(x)(l_1^2 + r_1^2)$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad a_2^2 = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}^2(x)(l_1^2 + r_1^2)}{8(2\varsigma - 1)^2\kappa_2^2}.$$

Thus by (1.9), we get

$$(3.18) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}^2(x)}{2(2\varsigma - 1)\kappa_2} = \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{2(2\varsigma - 1)\kappa_2}.$$

Now from (3.12), (3.14) and using (3.17), we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad [4(1 - 2\varsigma)\kappa_2^2 + 2(5\varsigma - 1)\kappa_2^2]a_2^2$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)(l_2 + r_2) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)(l_1^2 + r_1^2).$$

Thus, by (3.19) we obtain

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}^3(x)(l_2 + r_2)}{[\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}^2(x)2(1 + \varsigma) - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)8(2\varsigma - 1)^2]\kappa_2^2}$$

$$|a_2^2| = \frac{2|\mathcal{P}^3(x)|}{|[\mathcal{P}^2(x)2(1 + \varsigma) - (\mathcal{P}^2(x) + 2\mathcal{Q}(x))8(2\varsigma - 1)^2]\kappa_2^2|}$$

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}|\mathcal{P}(x)|\sqrt{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}}{\sqrt{|[\mathcal{P}^2(x)2(1 + \varsigma) - (\mathcal{P}^2(x) + 2\mathcal{Q}(x))8(2\varsigma - 1)^2]\kappa_2^2|}}.$$

From (3.12),(3.14) and using (3.15), we get

$$(3.20) \quad a_3 = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)(l_2 - r_2)}{6(3\varsigma - 1)\kappa_3} + a_2^2.$$

Then taking modulus, we get

$$(3.21) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)}{3(3\varsigma - 1)\kappa_3} + |a_2^2|$$

using (3.16) and (3.18), we get

$$(3.22) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)}{3(3\varsigma - 1)\kappa_3} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}^2(x)}{4(2\varsigma - 1)^2\kappa_2^2}$$

$$= \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{3(3\varsigma - 1)\kappa_3} + \frac{\mathcal{P}^2(x)}{4(2\varsigma - 1)^2\kappa_2^2}.$$

Now by using (3.19) in (3.21)

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)}{3(3\varsigma - 1)\kappa_3} + |a_2^2|$$

$$= \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{3(3\varsigma - 1)\kappa_3}$$

$$+ \frac{2\mathcal{P}^3(x)}{|([2(1 + \varsigma) - 8(2\varsigma - 1)^2]\mathcal{P}(x)^2 - 16\mathcal{Q}(x)(2\varsigma - 1)^2)\kappa_2^2|}.$$

□

Due to Zaprawa [37], we prove Fekete-Szegő inequalities [12] for functions  $s \in \mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\varsigma, n; x)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $s$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\varsigma, n; x)$ . Then,*

$$(3.23) \quad |a_3 - \tau a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{3(3\varsigma - 1)\kappa_3}, & 0 \leq |\mathcal{T}(\tau; x)| < \frac{1}{6(3\varsigma - 1)\kappa_3} \\ 2|\mathcal{P}(x)||\mathcal{T}(\tau; x)|, & |\mathcal{T}(\tau; x)| \geq \frac{1}{6(3\varsigma - 1)\kappa_3} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}(\tau; x) = \frac{(1 - \tau)\mathcal{P}^2(x)}{|([2(1 + \varsigma) - 8(2\varsigma - 1)^2]\mathcal{P}^2(x) - 16\mathcal{Q}(x)(2\varsigma - 1)^2)\kappa_2^2|}.$$

*Proof.* From equations (3.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \tau a_2^2 &= \frac{\mathcal{P}(x)(l_2 - r_2)}{6(3\zeta - 1)\kappa_3} + (1 - \tau)a_2^2 \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{P}(x)(l_2 - r_2)}{6(3\zeta - 1)\kappa_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 - \tau)\mathcal{P}^3(x)(l_2 + r_2)}{[(2(1 + \zeta) - 8(2\zeta - 1)^2)\mathcal{P}^2(x) - 16\mathcal{Q}(x)(2\zeta - 1)^2]\kappa_2^2} \\ &= \mathcal{P}(x) \left[ \left( \mathcal{T}(\tau; x) + \frac{1}{6(3\zeta - 1)\kappa_3} \right) l_2 + \left( \mathcal{T}(\tau; x) - \frac{1}{6(3\zeta - 1)\kappa_3} \right) l_2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}(\tau; x) = \frac{(1 - \tau)\mathcal{P}^2(x)}{[(2(1 + \zeta) - 8(2\zeta - 1)^2)\mathcal{P}^2(x) - 16\mathcal{Q}(x)(2\zeta - 1)^2]\kappa_2^2}. \quad \square$$

One can easily assert the following by using the above Theorem 3.4 and taking  $\tau = 1$ .

**Remark 3.5.** Let  $s \in \mathcal{V}_{\Xi}^{a,b;c}(\zeta, n; x)$  represent the function  $s$ . Then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{3(3\zeta - 1)\kappa_3}.$$

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank the editor and referees for their insightful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Altinkaya and S. Yalçın, *On the  $(p, q)$ -Lucas polynomial coefficient bounds of the bi-univalent function class  $\sigma$* , Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex., 25 (2019), pp. 567-575.
2. E.A. Adegani, A. Zireh and M. Jafari, *Coefficient estimates for a new subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions by Hadamard product*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat., 39 (2021), pp. 87-104.
3. R.M. Ali, S.K. Leo, V. Ravichandran, S. Supramaniam, *Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda star-like and convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., 25 (2012), pp. 344-351.
4. D.A. Brannan and J.G. Clunie (Editors), *Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis*, Academic Press, London, 1980.
5. V.D. Breaz, A. Cătaș and L.I. Cotirla, *On the Upper Bound of the Third Hankel Determinant for Certain Class of Analytic Functions Related with Exponential Function*, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta, 2022.

6. S. Bulut, *Coefficient estimates for a subclass of meromorphic bi-univalent functions defined by subordination*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 65 (2020), 57-66.
7. B.C. Carlson and D.B. Shafer, *Starlike and prestarlike Hypergeometric functions*, J. Math. Anal., 15 (1984), pp. 737-745.
8. A. Cătaş, *On the Fekete-Szegő problem for certain classes of meromorphic functions using  $(p, q)$ -derivative operator and a  $(p, q)$ -Wright type hypergeometric function*, Symmetry, 13 (2021), 2143.
9. J. Dziok, H.M. Srivastava, *Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Appl. Math. Comput., 103 (1999), pp. 1-13.
10. J. Dziok and H.M. Srivastava, *Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 14 (2003), pp. 7-18.
11. E. Deniz, *Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions*, J. Classical Anal., 2 (2013), pp. 49-60.
12. M. Fekete and G. Szegő, *Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen*, J. London Math. Soc., 8 (1933), pp. 85-89.
13. B.A. Frasin and M.K. Aouf, *New subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., 24 (2011), pp. 1569-1573.
14. A.W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, Mariner Publishing Company Inc., Tampa, FL, USA, 1983, Volumes I and II.
15. T. Hayami and S. Owa, *Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions*, Pan Amer. Math. J., 22 (2012), pp. 15-26.
16. Yu.E. Hohlov, *Convolution operators that preserve univalent functions*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh., 37 (1985), pp. 220-226.
17. Yu.E. Hohlov, *Hadamard convolutions, hypergeometric functions and linear operators in the class of univalent functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Ser. A, 7 (1984), pp. 25-27.
18. M.B. Khan, M.A. Noor, K.I. Noor and Y.M. Chu, *New Hermite Hadamard-type inequalities for convex fuzzy-interval-valued functions*, Adv. Differ. Equ., 28 (2017), pp. 693-706.
19. V. Kiryakova, *Criteria for univalence of the Dziok Srivastava and the Srivastava Wright operators in the class A*, Appl. Math. Comput., 218 (2011), pp. 883-892.
20. A.Y. Lashin, *Coefficient Estimates for Two Subclasses of Analytic and Bi-Univalent Functions*, Ukr. Math. J., 70 (2019), pp. 1484-1492.
21. G. Lee and M. Asci, *Some properties of the  $(p, q)$ -Fibonacci and  $(p, q)$ -Lucas polynomials*, Journal of Applied Mathematics, 2012 (2012), 264842.



22. X.F. Li and A.P. Wang, *Two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Internat. Math. Forum, 7 (2012), pp. 1495- 1504.
23. A. Lupas, *A guide of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials*, Octogon Math. Mag., 7 (1999), pp. 3-12.
24. W.C. Ma and D. Minda, *A unified treatment of some special classes of functions*, Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Tianjin, 1992, 157-169, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal. 1. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
25. G. Murugusundaramoorthy, H.O. Guney and K. Vijaya, *Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of bi-prestarlike functions associated with the Gegenbauer polynomial*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., 32 (2022), pp. 5- 15.
26. M. Obradovic, T. Yaguchi and H. Saitoh, *On some conditions for univalence and starlikeness in the unit disc*, Rend. Math. Ser. VII., 12 (1992), pp. 869-877.
27. C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
28. T. Panigarhi and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions analytic functions associated with Hohlov operator*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., 16 (2013), pp. 91-100.
29. H.M. Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, *Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Hohlov operator*, Glob. J. Math. Anal., 2 (2013), pp. 67-73.
30. H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., 23 (2010), pp. 1188- 1192.
31. H.M. Srivastava, *Some Fox-Wright generalized hypergeometric functions and associated families of convolution operators*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math., 1 (2007), pp. 56-71.
32. H.M. Srivastava and P.W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian hypergeometric series*, Wiley, New York, 1985.
33. Sr Swamy and A.K. Wanas, *A comprehensive family of bi-univalent functions defined by  $(m, n)$ -Lucas polynomials*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex., 28 (2022), pp. 1-10.
34. G.I. Oros and L.I. Cotîrlă, *Coefficient estimates and the feketé szegő problem for new classes of  $m$ -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions*, Mathematics 10 (2022), 129.
35. A.K. Wanas, *Applications of  $(M, N)$ -Lucas polynomials for holomorphic and bi-univalent functions*, Filomat, 34 (2020), pp. 3361-3368.
36. A.K. Wanas and Luminița-Ioana Cotîrlă, *Applications of  $(M, N)$ -Lucas polynomials on a certain family of bi-univalent functions*,

Mathematics, 10 (2022), pp. 1-11.

37. P. Zaprawa, *On the Fekete-Szegő problem for classes of bi-univalent functions*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 21 (2014), pp. 169-178.

---

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ST. JOSEPH'S INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,  
OMR, CHENNAI - 600 119, TAMILNADU, INDIA.

*Email address:* 1988malai@gmail.com