Fuzzy Normed Linear Spaces Generated By Linear Functionals

Ali Reza Khoddami and Fatemeh Kouhsari

Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis

Print ISSN: 2322-5807
Online ISSN: 2423-3900
Volume: 21
Number: 3
Pages: 1-19

Sahand Commun. Math. Anal.
DOI: 10.22130/scma.2023.2012528.1476



Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis (SCMA) Vol. 21 No. 3 (2024), 1-19
http://scma.maragheh.ac.ir
DOI: 10.22130/scma.2023.2012528.1476

Fuzzy Normed Linear Spaces Generated By Linear Functionals

Ali Reza Khoddami[1*] and Fatemeh Kouhsari[2]

Abstract. For a nonzero normed linear space X, we will define some different classes of fuzzy norms on X generated by linear and bounded linear functionals. Also separate continuity of the elements within each class are investigated. The aim of this research is to introduce a source of examples and counterexamples in the field of fuzzy normed spaces.

1. Introduction

The idea of fuzzy norm on a linear space introduced by Katsaras [11] in 1984. Also a type of fuzzy metric introduced by O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala [10] in 1984. In 1992, Felbin [7] extended the notion of a fuzzy norm on a linear space, such that its corresponding fuzzy metric is of type of introduced by O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala. Another idea of a fuzzy norm on a linear space was introduced by Cheng and Mordeson [6] in 1994. Following Cheng and Mordeson, a definition of a fuzzy norm whose associated fuzzy metric is similar to Kramosil and Michalek type [12], was introduced by T. Bag and S. K. Samanta [1] in 2003. A large number of papers concerning fuzzy norms have been published by different authors such as papers [2–5, 8, 9]. Sequence spaces are one type of normed linear space involving fuzziness [13–15]. In this paper we will consider X as a normed linear space over $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. Also let X' be the set of all linear functionals on X and let X^* be the set of all bounded linear functionals on X.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46S40, 26A15.
Key words and phrases. Fuzzy norm, Linear space, Linear functional, Separate continuity.
Received: 28 September 2023, Accepted: 09 December 2023.
* Corresponding author.

Given a normed linear space X, we will introduce some different classes of fuzzy normed linear spaces. Also separate continuity of the elements within each class are investigated. The results of this paper can be applied as a source of examples and counterexamples concerning fuzzy normed linear spaces.

2. Fuzzy Norms Generated By Linear Functionals

Definition 2.1 ([1])**.** Let X be a linear space and let $N : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ be a function with the following properties

(i) $N(x, t) = 0$ for all $t \leq 0$,
(ii) $N(x, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = \theta$,
(iii) $N(cx, t) = N\left(x, \frac{t}{|c|}\right)$ for all $c \neq 0$,
(iv) $N(x + y, s + t) \geq \min(N(x, s), N(y, t))$,
(v) for each fixed $x \in X, N(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ is an increasing function, and $\lim_{t\to\infty} N(x, t) = 1$.

Then (X, N) is called a fuzzy normed linear space.

Example 2.2. If X is a normed linear space, then $N : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ defined by

$$N(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \|x\| \\ \\ 1, & t > \|x\| \end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy norm on X.

In the sequel, we present some different classes of fuzzy normed linear spaces generated by linear functionals.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a normed linear space and $f \in X'$. Define $N_f : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ by*

$$N_f(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \|x\| + |f(x)| \\ \\ \frac{t-\|x\|-|f(x)|}{t+\|x\|+|f(x)|}, & t > \|x\| + |f(x)|. \end{cases}$$

Then N_f is a fuzzy norm on X.

Proof. We only prove parts (ii), (iv) and (v) of Definition 2.1.

(ii) If $x = \theta$, then clearly $N_f(x, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. For the converse let $N_f(x, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and suppose by contradiction that $x \neq \theta$.

If $t = \|x\| + |f(x)|$, then $N_f(x, t) = 0$ that is a contradiction.

(iv) Let $x, y \in X$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $s + t \leq 0$, then $s \leq 0$ or $t \leq 0$. So $N_f(x, s) = 0$ or $N_f(y, t) = 0$. Hence $0 = N_f(x + y, s + t) \geq \min(N_f(x, s), N_f(y, t)) = 0$. If $s + t > 0$ and $s + t \leq \|x + y\| + |f(x) + f(y)|$, then $s \leq \|x\| + |f(x)|$ or $t \leq \|y\| + |f(y)|$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= N_f(x + y, s + t) \\ &\geq \min(N_f(x, s), N_f(y, t)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $s + t > \|x + y\| + |f(x) + f(y)|$, then

$$N_f(x + y, s + t) = \frac{s + t - \|x + y\| - |f(x) + f(y)|}{s + t + \|x + y\| + |f(x) + f(y)|}.$$

In this case if $s \leq \|x\| + |f(x)|$ or $t \leq \|y\| + |f(y)|$, then clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s + t - \|x + y\| - |f(x) + f(y)|}{s + t + \|x + y\| + |f(x) + f(y)|} &\geq \min(N_f(x, s), N_f(y, t)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $s > \|x\| + |f(x)|$ and $t > \|y\| + |f(y)|$, then

$$N_f(x, s) = \frac{s - \|x\| - |f(x)|}{s + \|x\| + |f(x)|}, \qquad N_f(y, t) = \frac{t - \|y\| - |f(y)|}{t + \|y\| + |f(y)|}.$$

One can easily verify that if $N_f(x, s) \leq N_f(y, t)$, then

$$s(\|y\| + |f(y)|) \leq t(\|x\| + |f(x)|).$$

Also, if $N_f(y, t) \leq N_f(x, s)$, then $t(\|x\| + |f(x)|) \leq s(\|y\| + |f(y)|)$.

A straightforward calculation reveals that if

$$s(\|y\| + |f(y)|) \leq t(\|x\| + |f(x)|),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} N_f(x + y, s + t) &= \frac{s + t - \|x + y\| - |f(x) + f(y)|}{s + t + \|x + y\| + |f(x) + f(y)|} \\ &\geq \frac{s - \|x\| - |f(x)|}{s + \|x\| + |f(x)|} \\ &= \min(N_f(x, s), N_f(y, t)). \end{aligned}$$

Also, if $t(\|x\| + |f(x)|) \leq s(\|y\| + |f(y)|)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} N_f(x + y, s + t) &= \frac{s + t - \|x + y\| - |f(x) + f(y)|}{s + t + \|x + y\| + |f(x) + f(y)|} \\ &\geq \frac{t - \|y\| - |f(y)|}{t + \|y\| + |f(y)|} \\ &= \min(N_f(x, s), N_f(y, t)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $N_f(x+y, s+t) \geq \min(N_f(x,s), N_f(y,t))$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(v) Let $x \in X$ and $s \leq t$. If $N_f(x,s) = 0$, then clearly $N_f(x,s) \leq N_f(x,t)$. If $N_f(x,s) \neq 0$, then

$$N_f(x,s) = \frac{s-\|x\| - |f(x)|}{s+\|x\| + |f(x)|}$$

and $s > \|x\| + |f(x)|$. Hence $t > \|x\| + |f(x)|$ and

$$N_f(x,t) = \frac{t-\|x\| - |f(x)|}{t+\|x\| + |f(x)|}.$$

Since $s \leq t$,

$$s(\|x\| + |f(x)|) \leq t(\|x\| + |f(x)|).$$

Therefore a straightforward calculation reveals that

$$\begin{aligned} N_f(x,s) &= \frac{s-\|x\| - |f(x)|}{s+\|x\| + |f(x)|} \\ &\leq \frac{t-\|x\| - |f(x)|}{t+\|x\| + |f(x)|} \\ &= N_f(x,t). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $N_f(x,\cdot)$ is an increasing function for all $x \in X$. Also $\lim_{t\to\infty} N_f(x,t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{t-\|x\|-|f(x)|}{t+\|x\|+|f(x)|} = 1$. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a normed linear space, $f \in X^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Define $N_{f,\varepsilon} : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ by*

$$N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|) \\ \frac{t-|f(x)|}{t+|f(x)|}, & t > \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|). \end{cases}$$

Then $N_{f,\varepsilon}$ is a fuzzy norm on X.

Proof. We only prove parts (ii), (iv) and (v) of Definition 2.1.

(ii) If $x = \theta$, then clearly $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. For the converse let $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and suppose by contradiction that $x \neq \theta$.

If $t = \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$, then $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t) = 0$ that is a contradiction.

(iv) Let $x, y \in X$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $s + t \leq 0$, then $s \leq 0$ or $t \leq 0$. So $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s) = 0$ or $N_{f,\varepsilon}(y,t) = 0$. Hence, $0 = N_{f,\varepsilon}(x+y, s+t) \geq \min(N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s), N_{f,\varepsilon}(y,t)) = 0$. If $s + t > 0$ and $s + t \leq \|x + y\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$, then $s \leq \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$ or $t \leq \|y\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$. Therefore

$$0 = N_{f,\varepsilon}(x+y, s+t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \min(N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s), N_{f,\varepsilon}(y,t)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $s+t > \|x+y\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$, then

$$N_{f,\varepsilon}(x+y, s+t) = \frac{s+t-|f(x)+f(y)|}{s+t+|f(x)+f(y)|}.$$

In this case if $s \leq \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$ or $t \leq \|y\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$, then clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s+t-|f(x)+f(y)|}{s+t+|f(x)+f(y)|} &\geq \min(N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s), N_{f,\varepsilon}(y,t)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $s > \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$ and $t > \|y\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$, then

$$N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s) = \frac{s-|f(x)|}{s+|f(x)|}, \qquad N_{f,\varepsilon}(y,t) = \frac{t-|f(y)|}{t+|f(y)|}.$$

One can easily verify that if $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s) \leq N_{f,\varepsilon}(y,t)$, then $2s|f(y)| \leq 2t|f(x)|$. Also, if $N_{f,\varepsilon}(y,t) \leq N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s)$, then $2t|f(x)| \leq 2s|f(y)|$. A straightforward calculation reveals that if $2s|f(y)| \leq 2t|f(x)|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} N_{f,\varepsilon}(x+y, s+t) &= \frac{s+t-|f(x)+f(y)|}{s+t+|f(x)+f(y)|} \\ &\geq \frac{s-|f(x)|}{s+|f(x)|} \\ &= \min(N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s), N_{f,\varepsilon}(y,t)). \end{aligned}$$

Also, if $2t|f(x)| \leq 2s|f(y)|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} N_{f,\varepsilon}(x+y, s+t) &= \frac{s+t-|f(x)+f(y)|}{s+t+|f(x)+f(y)|} \\ &\geq \frac{t-|f(y)|}{t+|f(y)|} \\ &= \min(N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s), N_{f,\varepsilon}(y,t)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x+y, s+t) \geq \min(N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s), N_{f,\varepsilon}(y,t))$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(v) Let $x \in X$ and $s \leq t$. If $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s) = 0$, then clearly $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s) \leq N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t)$. If $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s) \neq 0$, then

$$N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s) = \frac{s-|f(x)|}{s+|f(x)|}$$

and $s > \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$. Hence $t > \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$ and

$$N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t) = \frac{t-|f(x)|}{t+|f(x)|}.$$

Since $s \leq t$, $2s|f(x)| \leq 2t|f(x)|$. Therefore a straightforward calculation reveals that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,s) &= \frac{s-|f(x)|}{s+|f(x)|} \leq \frac{t-|f(x)|}{t+|f(x)|} \\ &= N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,\cdot)$ is an increasing function for all $x \in X$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t\to\infty} N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t) &= \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{t-|f(x)|}{t+|f(x)|} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a normed linear space and $g \in X'$. Then the maps*

$$N_g^{(1)} : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$$

$$N_g^{(1)}(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \|x\| + |g(x)| \\ \frac{t}{t+\|x\|+|g(x)|}, & t > \|x\| + |g(x)| \end{cases}$$

and

$$N_g^{(2)} : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$$

$$N_g^{(2)}(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq |g(x)| \\ \frac{t}{t+\|x\|+|g(x)|}, & t > |g(x)| \end{cases}$$

are fuzzy norms on X. Also, if $\ker g = \{\theta\}$, then the map

$$N_g^{(3)} : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$$

$$N_g^{(3)}(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq |g(x)| \\ \frac{t}{t+|g(x)|}, & t > |g(x)| \end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy norm on X.

Proof. First we prove that $N_g^{(2)}$ is a fuzzy norm. The proof of the other parts are similar. Note that for investigation of the fuzzy norm properties in the case $N_g^{(3)}$, $\ker g = \{\theta\}$ will be used in part (ii) of Definition 2.1. Since $|g(x)| = 0$ implies that $x = \theta$.

(i) If $t \leq 0$, then clearly $N_g^{(2)}(x,t) = 0$ for all $x \in X$.

(ii) If $x = \theta$, then $g(x) = 0$ and consequently for all $t > 0 = |g(x)|$, $N_g^{(2)}(x,t) = \frac{t}{t+0+0} = 1$. For the converse let $N_g^{(2)}(x,t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. At the first we will show that $g(x) = 0$. Suppose by contradiction that $g(x) \neq 0$.

If $t = |g(x)|$, then $N_g^{(2)}(x,t) = 0$ that is a contradiction. This shows that $g(x) = 0$. So by hypothesis, for all $t > 0 = |g(x)|$, $\frac{t}{t+\|x\|+0} = N_g^{(2)}(x,t) = 1$. It follows that $\|x\| = 0$ that implies $x = \theta$.

(iii) If $c \neq 0$, then

$$N_g^{(2)}(cx,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq |g(cx)| \\ \\ \frac{t}{t+\|cx\|+|g(cx)|}, & t > |g(cx)| \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \frac{t}{|c|} \leq |g(x)| \\ \\ \frac{\frac{t}{|c|}}{\frac{t}{|c|}+\|x\|+|g(x)|}, & \frac{t}{|c|} > |g(x)| \end{cases}$$

$$= N_g^{(2)}\left(x, \frac{t}{|c|}\right), \quad x \in X, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(iv) Let $x, y \in X$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $s + t \leq |g(x+y)| = |g(x) + g(y)|$, then $s \leq |g(x)|$ or $t \leq |g(y)|$. So

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= N_g^{(2)}(x+y, s+t) \\ &\geq \min\left(N_g^{(2)}(x,s), N_g^{(2)}(y,t)\right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s + t > |g(x) + g(y)|$ and $s \leq |g(x)|$ or $t \leq |g(y)|$, then clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} N_g^{(2)}(x+y, s+t) &= \frac{s+t}{s+t+\|x+y\| + |g(x)+g(y)|} \\ &> 0 \\ &= \min\left(N_g^{(2)}(x,s), N_g^{(2)}(y,t)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $s + t > |g(x) + g(y)|$ and $s > |g(x)|$ and $t > |g(y)|$. Then

$$N_g^{(2)}(x+y, s+t) = \frac{s+t}{s+t+\|x+y\| + |g(x)+g(y)|},$$

$$N_g^{(2)}(x,s) = \frac{s}{s+\|x\| + |g(x)|},$$

$$N_g^{(2)}(y,t)=\frac{t}{t+\|y\|+|g(y)|}.$$

If

$$\frac{s}{s+\|x\|+|g(x)|}\leq\frac{t}{t+\|y\|+|g(y)|},$$

then

$$s(\|y\|+|g(y)|)\leq t(\|x\|+|g(x)|). \tag{2.1}$$

If

$$\frac{t}{t+\|y\|+|g(y)|}\leq\frac{s}{s+\|x\|+|g(x)|},$$

then

$$t(\|x\|+|g(x)|)\leq s(\|y\|+|g(y)|). \tag{2.2}$$

In the case where $N_g^{(2)}(x,s)\leq N_g^{(2)}(y,t)$, inequality 2.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{s+t}{s+t+\|x+y\|+|g(x)+g(y)|}&=N_g^{(2)}(x+y,s+t)\\&\geq\frac{s}{s+\|x\|+|g(x)|}\\&=\min\left(N_g^{(2)}(x,s),N_g^{(2)}(y,t)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Also in the case where $N_g^{(2)}(y,t)\leq N_g^{(2)}(x,s)$, inequality 2.2 implies that $N_g^{(2)}(x+y,s+t)\geq\min\left(N_g^{(2)}(x,s),N_g^{(2)}(y,t)\right)$.

(v) Let $s\leq t$. If $N_g^{(2)}(x,s)=0$, then clearly $N_g^{(2)}(x,s)\leq N_g^{(2)}(x,t)$. Let $N_g^{(2)}(x,s)\neq 0$. Then $s>|g(x)|$. It follows that $t>|g(x)|$. Since $s(\|x\|+|g(x)|)\leq t(\|x\|+|g(x)|)$, $N_g^{(2)}(x,s)\leq N_g^{(2)}(x,t)$. So $N_g^{(2)}(x,\cdot)$ is an increasing function and also

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to\infty}N_g^{(2)}(x,t)&=\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{t}{t+\|x\|+|g(x)|}\\&=1.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.6. *Let X be a normed linear space and $h\in X'$. Then the map*

$$N_h^{(1)}:X\times\mathbb{R}\to[0,1]$$

$$N_h^{(1)}(x,t)=\begin{cases}0, & t\leq\|x\|+|h(x)|\\ \frac{t-\|x\|-|h(x)|}{t}, & t>\|x\|+|h(x)|\end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy norm on X.
Also, if $\ker h = \{\theta\}$*, then the map*

$$N_h^{(2)} : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$$

$$N_h^{(2)}(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq |h(x)| \\ \\ \frac{t-|h(x)|}{t}, & t > |h(x)| \end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy norm on X.

Proof. A similar argument used to Proposition 2.5 can be applied. □

3. The separate Continuity of N_f, $N_{f,\varepsilon}$, $N_g^{(i)}$, $N_h^{(j)}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, $1 \leq j \leq 2$

In this section we characterize separate continuity of the fuzzy norms N_f, $N_{f,\varepsilon}$, $N_g^{(i)}$, $N_h^{(j)}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, $1 \leq j \leq 2$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f, g, h \in X^*$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a normed linear space and $f \in X^*$. If $N_f : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ is defined by*

$$N_f(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \|x\| + |f(x)| \\ \\ \frac{t-\|x\|-|f(x)|}{t+\|x\|+|f(x)|}, & t > \|x\| + |f(x)|, \end{cases}$$

then the map

$$N_f(\cdot,t) : X \to [0,1]$$
$$x \to N_f(x,t),$$

is continuous for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Also the map

$$N_f(x,\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$$
$$t \to N_f(x,t),$$

is continuous for all $x \in X$ except $x = \theta$.

Proof. If $t \leq 0$, then $N_f(x,t) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. So $N_f(\cdot,t) : X \to [0,1]$ is a constant function and consequently is continuous on X for all $t \leq 0$. For a fixed $t > 0$, let $x \in X$ and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence such that $x_n \to x$, as $n \to \infty$. If $t = \|x\| + |f(x)|$, then for all subsequences $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $t \leq \|x_{n_k}\| + |f(x_{n_k})|$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k\to\infty} N_f(x_{n_k},t) &= \lim_{k\to\infty} 0 \\ &= 0 \\ &= N_f(x,t). \end{aligned}$$

Also for all subsequences $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $t > \|x_{n_k}\| + |f(x_{n_k})|, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty} N_f(x_{n_k}, t) &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{t-\|x_{n_k}\| - |f(x_{n_k})|}{t+\|x_{n_k}\| + |f(x_{n_k})|} \\ &= \frac{t-t}{t+t} \\ &= 0 \\ &= N_f(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

If $t < \|x\|+|f(x)|$, then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t < \|x_n\|+|f(x_n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$. So $\lim_{n\to\infty} N_f(x_n, t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} 0 = 0 = N_f(x,t)$.

If $t > \|x\| + |f(x)|$, then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t > \|x_n\| + |f(x_n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_f(x_n, t) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t-\|x_n\| - |f(x_n)|}{t+\|x_n\| + |f(x_n)|} \\ &= \frac{t-\|x\| - |f(x)|}{t+\|x\| + |f(x)|} \\ &= N_f(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

Hence for each $t > 0$, $N_f(\cdot, t)$ is continuous at every $x \in X$. So $N_f(\cdot, t)$ is continuous on X for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

For any fixed $x \neq \boldsymbol{\theta}$, let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t_n \to t$, as $n \to \infty$. If $t = \|x\|+|f(x)|$, then for all subsequences $\{t_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $t_{n_k} \leq \|x\| + |f(x)|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty} N_f(x, t_{n_k}) &= \lim_{k\to\infty} 0 \\ &= 0 \\ &= N_f(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

Also for all subsequences $\{t_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $t_{n_k} > \|x\|+|f(x)|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty} N_f(x, t_{n_k}) &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{t_{n_k}-\|x\| - |f(x)|}{t_{n_k}+\|x\| + |f(x)|} \\ &= \frac{t-\|x\| - |f(x)|}{t+\|x\| + |f(x)|} \\ &= \frac{t-t}{t+t} \\ &= 0 \\ &= N_f(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

If $t < \|x\| + |f(x)|$, then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_n < \|x\| + |f(x)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$. So $\lim_{n\to\infty} N_f(x, t_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} 0 = 0 = N_f(x, t)$. If $t > \|x\| + |f(x)|$, then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_n > \|x\| + |f(x)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_f(x, t_n) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t_n - \|x\| - |f(x)|}{t_n + \|x\| + |f(x)|} \\ &= \frac{t - \|x\| - |f(x)|}{t + \|x\| + |f(x)|} \\ &= N_f(x, t).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that for any fixed $x \neq \boldsymbol{\theta}$, $t_n \to t$, as $n \to \infty$, implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} N_f(x, t_n) = N_f(x, t)$. Hence $N_f(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ is continuous for all $x \neq \boldsymbol{\theta}$.

We show that $N_f(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ is not continuous at $t = 0$.

Since

$$N_f(\boldsymbol{\theta}, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 0 \\ \\ 1, & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

$\lim_{t\to 0^+} N_f(\boldsymbol{\theta}, t) = 1 \neq N_f(\boldsymbol{\theta}, 0) = 0$. This shows that $N_f(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ is not continuous on $\mathbb{R}$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a normed linear space, $f \in X^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If $N_{f,\varepsilon} : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ is defined by*

$$N_{f,\varepsilon}(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|) \\ \\ \frac{t - |f(x)|}{t + |f(x)|}, & t > \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|), \end{cases}$$

then

(i) the map

$$\begin{aligned} N_{f,\varepsilon}(\cdot, t) : X &\to [0, 1] \\ x &\to N_{f,\varepsilon}(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

is continuous for all $t \leq 0$.

(ii) if $t > 0$, then $N_{f,\varepsilon}(\cdot, t)$ is continuous at every $x \in X \setminus S$, where

$$S = \left\{ x \in X |\ \|x\| = \frac{t}{\varepsilon + \|f\|} \right\}.$$

(iii) the map $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x, \cdot)$ is continuous at every $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus T$, where

$$T = \{ t \in \mathbb{R} |\ t = \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|) \}.$$

Proof. (i) If $t \leq 0$, then $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. So $N_{f,\varepsilon}(\cdot,t)$ is a constant function on X that is continuous.

(ii) If $t > 0$ and $x \in S$, then $\|x\| = \frac{t}{\varepsilon+\|f\|}$ and $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t) = 0$. Set

$$x_n = \frac{t - \frac{t}{2n}}{\|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)}x, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So $x_n \to x$, as $n \to \infty$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_n\| &= \frac{t - \frac{t}{2n}}{\|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)}\|x\| \\ &< \frac{t}{(\varepsilon+\|f\|)}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $t > \|x_n\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_{f,\varepsilon}(x_n,t) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t - |f(x_n)|}{t + |f(x_n)|} \\ &= \frac{t - |f(x)|}{t + |f(x)|} \\ &\neq N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t) \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

since, $t = \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|) > \|x\|\|f\| \geq |f(x)|$. Therefore $N_{f,\varepsilon}(\cdot,t)$ is discontinuous at every $x \in S$.

Let $x \notin S$ and let $x_n \to x$, as $n \to \infty$. So $t > \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$ or $t < \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$. If $t > \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$, then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t > \|x_n\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_{f,\varepsilon}(x_n,t) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t - |f(x_n)|}{t + |f(x_n)|} \\ &= \frac{t - |f(x)|}{t + |f(x)|} \\ &= N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

If $t < \|x\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$, then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t < \|x_n\|(\varepsilon+\|f\|)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_{f,\varepsilon}(x_n,t) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} 0 \\ &= 0 \\ &= N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently in the case where $t > 0$, $N_{f,\varepsilon}(\cdot,t)$ is continuous at every point $x \in X \setminus S$.

(iii) Let $t \in T$. So $t = \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|)$ and $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t) = 0$. Set

$$t_n = \left(\|x\| + \frac{1}{n}\right)(\varepsilon + \|f\|), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly $t_n \to t$, as $n \to \infty$, and $t_n > \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t_n) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t_n - |f(x)|}{t_n + |f(x)|} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & x = \theta \\ \frac{t-|f(x)|}{t+|f(x)|}, & x \neq \theta. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t_n) \neq N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t) = 0$. Note that if $t = \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|)$ and $x \neq \theta$, then $t - |f(x)| \neq 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|) \\ &> \|x\|\|f\| \\ &\geq |f(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

We show that $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,\cdot)$ is continuous at every $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus T$.

Let $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus T$ and let $t_n \to t$, as $n \to \infty$. Then $t < \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|)$ or $t > \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|)$. If $t < \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|)$, then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_n < \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t_n) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} 0 \\ &= 0 \\ &= N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

If $t > \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|)$, then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_n > \|x\|(\varepsilon + \|f\|)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t_n) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t_n - |f(x)|}{t_n + |f(x)|} \\ &= \frac{t - |f(x)|}{t + |f(x)|} \\ &= N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $N_{f,\varepsilon}(x,\cdot)$ is continuous at every $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus T$. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a normed linear space and $g \in X^*$. If $N_g^{(1)} : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ and $N_g^{(2)} : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ are defined by*

$$N_g^{(1)}(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \|x\| + |g(x)| \\ \\ \frac{t}{t+\|x\|+|g(x)|}, & t > \|x\| + |g(x)|, \end{cases}$$

$$N_g^{(2)}(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq |g(x)| \\ \\ \frac{t}{t+\|x\|+|g(x)|}, & t > |g(x)|, \end{cases}$$

and in the case where $\ker g = \{\theta\}$, $N_g^{(3)} : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ is defined by

$$N_g^{(3)}(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq |g(x)| \\ \\ \frac{t}{t+|g(x)|}, & t > |g(x)|, \end{cases}$$

then

(i) the maps $N_g^{(1)}(\cdot,t)$, $N_g^{(2)}(\cdot,t)$ and $N_g^{(3)}(\cdot,t)$ are continuous on X for all $t \leq 0$,

(ii) if $t > 0$, then the map $N_g^{(1)}(\cdot,t)$ is continuous at every $x \in X \backslash S_1$, where $S_1 = \{x \in X \mid t = \|x\| + |g(x)|\}$,

(iii) if $t > 0$, then the maps $N_g^{(2)}(\cdot,t)$ and $N_g^{(3)}(\cdot,t)$ are continuous at every $x \in X \setminus S_2$, where $S_2 = \{x \in X \mid t = |g(x)|\}$,

(iv) for $x \in X$, the map $N_g^{(1)}(x,\cdot)$ is continuous at every $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus T_1$, where $T_1 = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t = \|x\| + |g(x)|\}$,

(v) for $x \neq \theta$, the map $N_g^{(2)}(x,\cdot)$ is continuous at every $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus T_2$, where $T_2 = \{t > 0 \mid t = |g(x)|\}$. Also the map $N_g^{(2)}(\theta,\cdot)$ is continuous at every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ except $t = 0$,

(vi) for $x \in X$, the map $N_g^{(3)}(x,\cdot)$ is continuous at every $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus T_3$, where $T_3 = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t = |g(x)|\}$.

Proof. (i) It is obvious.

(ii) Let $t > 0$ and $x \in S_1$. So $t = \|x\| + |g(x)|$. Set

$$x_n = \frac{t - \frac{t}{2n}}{\|x\| + |g(x)|} x, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Obviously $x_n \to x$ and so $g(x_n) \to g(x)$, as $n \to \infty$. Also

$$\|x_n\| = \frac{t - \frac{t}{2n}}{\|x\| + |g(x)|} \|x\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \frac{t}{\|x\| + |g(x)|}\|x\| \\ &= \|x\|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x_n)| &= \frac{t - \frac{t}{2n}}{\|x\| + |g(x)|}|g(x)| \\ &\leq \frac{t}{\|x\| + |g(x)|}|g(x)| \\ &= |g(x)|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\|x_n\| + |g(x_n)| < \|x\| + |g(x)| = t$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} N_g^{(1)}(x_n, t) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t}{t + \|x_n\| + |g(x_n)|} \\ &= \frac{t}{t+t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \\ &\neq N_g^{(1)}(x, t) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $N_g^{(1)}(\cdot, t)$ is discontinuous at every $x \in S_1$. Now let $x \in X \setminus S_1$ and $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence such that $z_n \to x$, as $n \to \infty$. So $t > \|x\| + |g(x)|$ or $t < \|x\| + |g(x)|$. If $t > \|x\| + |g(x)|$, then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t > \|z_n\| + |g(z_n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} N_g^{(1)}(z_n, t) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t}{t + \|z_n\| + |g(z_n)|} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \|x\| + |g(x)|} \\ &= N_g^{(1)}(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

If $t < \|x\| + |g(x)|$, then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t < \|z_n\| + |g(z_n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} N_g^{(1)}(z_n, t) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} 0 \\ &= 0 \\ &= N_g^{(1)}(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $N_g^{(1)}(\cdot, t)$ is continuous at every $x \in X \setminus S_1$.

(iii) Let $x \in S_2$. So $t = |g(x)|$, $x \neq \theta$ and $N_g^{(2)}(x,t) = N_g^{(3)}(x,t) = 0$. Set $x_n = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right) x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $x_n \to x$, $g(x_n) \to g(x)$, as $n \to \infty$. Also $|g(x_n)| = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right) |g(x)| < |g(x)| = t$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_g^{(2)}(x_n,t) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t}{t+\|x_n\| + |g(x_n)|} \\ &= \frac{t}{t+\|x\| + t} \\ &= \frac{t}{2t+\|x\|} \\ &\neq 0 \\ &= N_g^{(2)}(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_g^{(3)}(x_n,t) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t}{t + |g(x_n)|} \\ &= \frac{t}{t+t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \\ &\neq 0 \\ &= N_g^{(3)}(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $N_g^{(2)}(\cdot,t)$ and $N_g^{(3)}(\cdot,t)$ are discontinuous at every $x \in S_2$.

Let, $x \notin S_2$ and $z_n \to x$, as $n \to \infty$. Then $t < |g(x)|$ or $t > |g(x)|$. If $t < |g(x)|$, then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t < |g(z_n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_g^{(2)}(z_n,t) &= 0 \\ &= N_g^{(2)}(x,t),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_g^{(3)}(z_n,t) &= 0 \\ &= N_g^{(3)}(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

Also, if $t > |g(x)|$, then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t > |g(z_n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_g^{(2)}(z_n,t) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t}{t+\|z_n\| + |g(z_n)|} \\ &= \frac{t}{t+\|x\| + |g(x)|}\end{aligned}$$

$$= N_g^{(2)}(x,t),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_g^{(3)}(z_n,t) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t}{t+|g(z_n)|}\\ &= \frac{t}{t+|g(x)|}\\ &= N_g^{(3)}(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $N_g^{(2)}(\cdot,t)$ and $N_g^{(3)}(\cdot,t)$ are continuous at every $x \in X\setminus S_2$.

(iv) Let $t \in T_1$. Then $t = \|x\| + |g(x)|$ and $N_g^{(1)}(x,t) = 0$. Set $t_n = \|x\| + |g(x)| + \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $t_n > \|x\| + |g(x)|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = t$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_g^{(1)}(x,t_n) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t_n}{t_n+\|x\| + |g(x)|}\\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & x = \theta \\ \\ \frac{t}{t+t} = \frac{1}{2}, & x \neq \theta. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} N_g^{(1)}(x,t_n) \neq N_g^{(1)}(x,t) = 0$. This shows that $N_g^{(1)}(x,\cdot)$ is discontinuous at every $t \in T_1$. One can easily verify that if $t \in \mathbb{R}\setminus T_1$, then $N_g^{(1)}(x,\cdot)$ is continuous at t.

(v) Let $x \neq \theta$. If $t \in T_2$, then $t = |g(x)| > 0$ and $N_g^{(2)}(x,t) = 0$. Set $t_n = \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)|g(x)|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $t_n \to t$, as $n \to \infty$, and $t_n > |g(x)|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} N_g^{(2)}(x,t_n) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{t_n}{t_n+\|x\| + |g(x)|}\\ &= \frac{t}{2t+\|x\|}\\ &\neq 0\\ &= N_g^{(2)}(x,t).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $N_g^{(2)}(x,\cdot)$ is discontinuous at every $t \in T_2$.

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}\setminus T_2$. Then $t \leq 0$ or $t \neq |g(x)|$. In the case where $t < 0$ or $t \neq |g(x)|$, the continuity of $N_g^{(2)}(x,\cdot)$ at t, can be obviously verified. If $t = 0$ and $t_n \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$, then for all subsequences $\{t_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $t_{n_k} \leq |g(x)|$ we have, $\lim_{k\to\infty} N_g^{(2)}(x,t_{n_k}) = 0 = N_g^{(2)}(x,0)$. Also for

all subsequences $\{t_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $t_{n_k} > |g(x)|$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty} N_g^{(2)}(x, t_{n_k}) &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{t_{n_k}}{t_{n_k+\|x\|+|g(x)|}} \\ &= \frac{0}{0+\|x\| + |g(x)|} \\ &= 0 \\ &= N_g^{(2)}(x, 0).\end{aligned}$$

So $N_g^{(2)}(x, \cdot)$ is continuous at $t = 0$.

Clearly the map $N_g^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \cdot)$ is continuous at every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ except $t = 0$.

(vi) Inspired by part (v), the proof is obvious. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a normed linear space and $h \in X^*$. If $N_h^{(1)} : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ is defined by*

$$N_h^{(1)}(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \|x\| + |h(x)| \\ \\ \frac{t-\|x\|-|h(x)|}{t}, & t > \|x\| + |h(x)|, \end{cases}$$

and in the case where $\ker h = \{\boldsymbol{\theta}\}$, $N_h^{(2)} : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ is defined by

$$N_h^{(2)}(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq |h(x)| \\ \\ \frac{t-|h(x)|}{t}, & t > |h(x)|, \end{cases}$$

then

(i) the map $N_h^{(1)}(\cdot, t)$ is continuous for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

(ii) the map $N_h^{(1)}(x, \cdot)$ is continuous for all $x \in X$ except $x = \boldsymbol{\theta}$,

(iii) the map $N_h^{(2)}(\cdot, t)$ is continuous for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

(iv) the map $N_h^{(2)}(x, \cdot)$ is continuous for all $x \in X$ except $x = \boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Proof. An argument similar to the proofs of the previous theorems can be applied. □

References

1. T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, *Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces*, J. Fuzzy Math., 11 (2003), pp. 687-705.
2. T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, *Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., 6 (2013), pp. 271-283.

3. T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, *Fuzzy bounded linear operators*, Fuzzy Sets and Syst., 151 (2005), pp. 513-547.
4. T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, *Fixed point theorems on fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Inf. Sci., 176 (2006), pp. 2910-2931.
5. T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, *Some fixed point theorems on fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Inf. Sci., 177 (2007), pp. 3271-3289.
6. S. C. Cheng and J.N. Mordeson, *Fuzzy linear operators and fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 86 (1994), pp. 429-436.
7. C. Felbin, *Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Syst., 48 (1992), pp. 239-248.
8. Ioan. Golet, *On generalized fuzzy normed spaces and coincidence point theorems*, Fuzzy Sets and Syst., 161 (2010), pp. 1138-1144.
9. A. Hasankhani, A. Nazari and M. Saheli, *Some properties of fuzzy Hilbert spaces and norm of operators*, Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst., 7 (2010), pp. 129-157.
10. O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala, *On fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Syst., 12 (1984), pp. 215-229.
11. A.K. Katsaras, *Fuzzy topological vector spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Syst., 12 (1984), pp. 143-154.
12. A.K. Kramosil and J. Michalek, *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika, 11 (1975), pp. 326-334.
13. B.C. Tripathy and A.J. Dutta, *Bounded variation double sequence space of fuzzy real numbers*, Comput Math. Appl., 59 (2010), pp. 1031-1037.
14. B.C. Tripathy and A. Baruah, *Nörlund and Riesz mean of sequences of fuzzy real numbers*, Applied Math. Letters, 23 (2010), pp. 651-655.
15. B.C. Tripathy and P.C. Das, *On the class of fuzzy number sequences* $bv^F{}_p$, Warasan Songkhla Nakharin, 41 (2019), pp. 934-941.

---

[1] Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahrood University of Technology, P. O. Box 3619995161-316, Shahrood, Iran.
Email address: khoddami.alireza@shahroodut.ac.ir

[2] Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahrood University of Technology, P. O. Box 3619995161-316, Shahrood, Iran.
Email address: fatemehkouhsari@shahroodut.ac.ir